INTRODUCTION: Cefpodoxime proxetil (Cef) is chemically (RS)-1(isopropoxycarbonyloxy) ethyl (+) - (6R, 7R) - 7 - [2 - (2 - amino - 4 - thiazolyl) - 2 - [{(Z) methoxymino} acetamido] - 3 - methoxy methyl - 8-oxo - 5 - thia - 1 - azabicyclo oct-2-ene-2-carboxylate and is official in United State Pharmacopoeia and British Pharmacopoeia. Potassium clavulanate (Pot. clav.) is monopotassium (Z)-(2R, 5R)-3-(2-hydroxyethylidene)-7-oxo-4-oxa-1-azabicyclo heptane -2-carboxylate and is official in British Pharmacopoeia. Cef is active against a wide-spectrum of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. The bactericidal activity of Cef results from its inhibition of cell wall synthesis. It is used in the treatment of acute otitis media, typhoid fever, pharyngitis and tonsillitis. The similarity in chemical structures allows the Pot. clav. to act as a competitive inhibitor of beta-lactamases secreted by certain bacteria which helps to restore the antimicrobial activity of Cef.

Literature survey reveals that several methods such as, U.V. spectroscopy, HPLC, HPTLC which have been reported for the estimation of individual drugs as well as in combination with other drugs. The non-availability of UV-Spectrophotometric, HPLC and HPTLC method until now for the simultaneous analysis of these components made it worthwhile to pursue the present research work.
Therefore, in the proposed work a successful attempt has been made to develop a RP-HPLC method with due consideration of accuracy, sensitivity, rapidity and economy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

Materials: Analytical pure samples of Cef (% purity -99.98) and Pot. clav.(% purity -98.70) (Emcure Pharmaceutical, India) were used in the study. The pharmaceutical dosage form used in this study was Cepodem XP 325 tablet (Ranbaxy Pharmaceutical, India) procured from local market and labeled to contain 200 mg of Cef and 125 mg of Pot. clav. per tablet. The solvents and chemicals used in the study were of analytical-grade (Qualigens fine chemicals, Mumbai).

Instrumentation: Gradient HPLC (Merck Hitachi) with Quaternary gradient pump L-7400, UV detector L-7100 and Winchrom software.

Method:

Preparation of stock solutions: About 10 mg each of Cef and Pot.clav. were accurately weighed and transferred to separate 100 ml volumetric flasks respectively. It was dissolved in the mobile phase consisting of phosphate buffer(5.5 pH): acetonitrile (51:49 % v/v) and the solutions were made up to volume with same solvent to obtain stock solutions of concentration 100 µg mL\(^{-1}\) of Cef and Pot.clav. each.

Selection and optimization of mobile phase: Pure drug of Cef and Pot.clav. were injected into the HPLC system and run in different solvent systems. Different mobile phases systems like 0.03M potassium dihydrogen phosphate: acetonitrile and 0.03M potassium dihydrogen phosphate: methanol, were initially tried in the isocratic mode in order to determine the best condition for the effective separation of Cef and Pot.clav. The mobile phase consisting of phosphate buffer (pH 5.5): acetonitrile (51:49 % v/v) in isocratic mode was selected as it gave high resolution of Cef and Pot.clav. with improved peak shapes under selected chromatographic conditions.

Chromatographic conditions:

HPLC Column: Hypersil-BDS-C18 column (5 µm, 250 X 4.6 mm)

Column temperature: Ambient temperature

Mobile Phase : Phosphate buffer(pH 5.5): acetonitrile (51:49 %v/v)

Flow rate : 1mL/min.

UV detection : 233.0 nm

Injection volume : 20 µL.

Run time : 10 mins.

Procedure:

Preparation of standard calibration curves: Appropriate dilutions of the standard stock solutions of Cef and Pot.clav. were prepared in triplicate. From these triplicate solutions, 20 µL injections of each concentration of the drugs were injected into the RP-HPLC system two times separately. Evaluation of the drugs was performed with the UV detector set at 233.0 nm and the peak areas were recorded. Calibration plots were constructed by plotting peak areas against the concentration (µg mL\(^{-1}\)) of the drugs. For Cef, response was linear in the concentration range 1-60 µg mL\(^{-1}\) and 0.5-60 µg mL\(^{-1}\) for Pot.clav. The regression coefficient (r\(^2\)) for Cef and Pot.clav. were 0.9966 and 0.9954 respectively.

Analysis of mixed standards: The proposed method was employed for the analysis of mixed standards of Cef and Pot.clav. in the concentrations ratio of 2:1.25 as in the tablet formulation. The analysis was performed under the optimized chromatographic conditions.

Estimation of Marketed Formulation: Twenty tablets (Cepodem XP 325 containing 200 mg Cef and 125 mg Pot.clav. per tablet manufactured by Ranbaxy Pharmaceutical, India) were weighed and crushed to fine powder. An accurately weighed powder sample equivalent to 10 mg of Cef was weighed, transferred to a 100 mL volumetric flask and dissolved in mobile phase. The solution was sonicated for 30 mins to allow for dissolution of the active components and the volume was made up to the mark with the mobile phase. It was then filtered through Whatman filter paper No.42. Appropriate dilutions were made and the concentrations of Cef
and Pot.clav. in the sample solutions were determined by the proposed method. The well resolved chromatogram of the tablet formulation is shown in Fig. 1. The method was validated in accordance with ICH guidelines.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:**

**Method validation:**

**System suitability parameters:** System suitability tests are used to verify that resolution and reproducibility of the chromatographic system are adequate for the analysis to be done. Resolution is a measure of quality of separation of adjacent bands; obviously overlapping bands have small Rs values.

The tailing factor, $T$, is a measure of peak tailing and is unity for perfectly symmetrical peaks and its value increases as tailing becomes more pronounced. As peak asymmetry increases, integration, and hence precision becomes less reliable. The developed chromatogram showed good resolution of peaks with Rs value of 2.21 between Cef and Pot. clav. with minimal tailing (Table 1).

**Specificity:** The specificity of the developed method was determined by forced degradation studies. Standard stock solutions (100 µg mL⁻¹) were prepared by dissolving 10 mg each of Cef and Pot.clav. in 100 mL mobile phase consisting of phosphate buffer (pH 5.5): acetonitrile (51:49 % v/v).

In the forced degradation studies, acid degradation (refluxed in 0.001M HCl for 30 min. at 40°C), base degradation (refluxed in 0.001M NaOH for 30 min. at 40°C), oxidative degradation (refluxed in 3% H₂O₂ for 30 min. at 40°C), wet degradation (refluxed in mobile phase for 30 min. at 40°C), dry degradation (in oven at 40 °C for 24 hrs) and photo stability studies (in photo stability chamber for 24 hr.) were carried out.

In forced degradation study, it was found that Cef was not affected by the acid, oxidative, wet, dry and photo degradation conditions employed. However it shows degradation in basic condition and shows an additional peak at retention time ($t_R$) of 5.06 (9 % degradation) (Fig. 2). Pot.clav. was not affected only in the wet degradation condition employed but it was unstable to acid, base, oxidative, dry and photo degradation.

Pot.clav. showed an additional peak at retention time ($t_R$) of 3.55 in acid (17% degradation) (Fig. 3), 3.35 in base (23% degradation) (Fig. 4), 3.31 and 3.74 in oxidative degradation (3% degradation) (Fig. 5), 3.33 in dry degradation (4% degradation) (Fig. 6) and 2.93, 3.16 in photo degradation conditions (23 % degradation) (Fig. 7).
FIGURE 2: CHROMATOGRAM OF BASE DEGRADATION OF CEF

FIGURE 3: CHROMATOGRAM OF ACID DEGRADATION OF POT.CLAV.

FIGURE 4: CHROMATOGRAM OF BASE DEGRADATION OF POT.CLAV.

FIGURE 5: CHROMATOGRAM OF OXIDATIVE DEGRADATION OF POT.CLAV.

FIGURE 6: CHROMATOGRAM OF DRY DEGRADATION OF POT.CLAV.

FIGURE 7: CHROMATOGRAM OF PHOTO DEGRADATION OF POT.CLAV.
**Precision:** Precision of the method was determined with the marketed formulation. The repeatability of sample application and measurement of peak area were expressed in terms of % R.S.D. Inter- and intraday relative standard deviation values for Cef were 0.801% and 0.692% respectively and 0.905% and 1.042% for Pot.clav. respectively. The %R.S.D values were found to be less than 2%, indicating that the proposed method provides acceptable intra-day and inter-day precision.

**Accuracy (Recovery study):** The accuracy study was performed at three different levels (80%, 100% and 120%), the mean recovery was found to be between 98–102% as required by ICH guidelines. (Table 2)

**TABLE 2: ASSAY AND RECOVERY DATA OF TABLET FORMULATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Amount present (mg)</th>
<th>Amount found*</th>
<th>% Relative standard Deviation*</th>
<th>Level of % recovery</th>
<th>% Recovery**</th>
<th>Relative standard Deviation**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cef.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>99.71</td>
<td>0.517</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>99.61</td>
<td>0.763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>99.76</td>
<td>0.176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>99.76</td>
<td>0.612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pot.clav.</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>99.51</td>
<td>0.374</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>99.80</td>
<td>0.191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>98.98</td>
<td>0.601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>99.76</td>
<td>0.330</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Denotes average of six estimations; ** Denotes average of three estimations at each level of recovery.

**Limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantitation (LOQ):** The LOD and LOQ were separately determined based on the standard deviation of the y-intercept and slope of the calibration curves with RSD values less than 2%. The LOD were found to be 0.046 µg mL\(^{-1}\) for Cef and 0.510 µg mL\(^{-1}\) for Pot.clav. Similarly, the LOQ were 0.139 µg mL\(^{-1}\) for Cef and 1.547 µg mL\(^{-1}\) for Pot.clav.

**Robustness and Ruggedness:** To evaluate the robustness of the developed HPLC method, deliberate variations were made in method parameters such as change in flow rate [-0.1 level(0.9 ml/min.), 0.0 level(1 ml/min.), +0.1 level(1.1 ml/min.)], ratio of aqueous: organic composition and pH of the mobile phase [+1:+1 level-(52:48/pH 6.28), 0:0 level -(51:49/pH 6.29), -1:+1 level - (50:50/ pH 6.30)]. The effect of the modified parameters on retention time, tailing factor and % content were determined which indicated that the developed method was unaffected by small changes in method parameters. The ruggedness of the developed method was evaluated by studying the effect of parameters like different analysts [Analyst 1 and 2] and chemicals and solvents (Brand 1-Qualigens fine chemicals, Mumbai, India, Brand 2- Universal lab, Mumbai, India) employed on retention time, tailing factor, and % content .The low %R.S.D values for retention time, tailing factor and % content indicated that the developed method is robust and rugged.

**CONCLUSION:** An isocratic RP-HPLC method has been developed using a Hypersil-BDS (C\(_{18}\)) column (5 μm, 250 mm × 4.60 mm), a mobile phase containing phosphate buffer (5.5 pH): acetominitrile (51:49 v/v), in isocratic flow rate 1 mL/min. with UV detection at 233.0 nm for the simultaneous determination of Cef and Pot.clav. in tablet dosage form. The result of the marketed formulation analysis and its validation study indicates that the proposed method is simple, accurate, precise, specific, robust and rugged.

The method enabled successful separation and quantitative determination of Cef and Pot.clav. in tablet formulation. Also the specificity studies indicated that the method can be employed to assess the stability of the dosage form. As analytical methods are not available for this combination the developed RP-HPLC method can be used for the simultaneous estimation of Cef and Pot.clav. in tablet dosage form.
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