SIMULTANEOUS ESTIMATION OF PARACETAMOL AND TAPENTADOL IN COMBINED DOSAGE FORM BY DERIVATIVE METHOD
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ABSTRACT: Two simple, rapid, precise and accurate spectrophotometric methods have been developed for simultaneous analysis of Paracetamol (PCM) and Tapentadol (TAP) in their combined dosage form. Method A, First order derivative method involves measurement of absorbance at 257.060 nm (for TAP) and 289.406 nm (for PCM) in first order derivative spectra. Method B, Second order derivative method involves measurement of absorbance at 238.391 nm (for TAP) and 279.381 nm (for PCM) in Second order derivative spectra. Developed methods were validated according to ICH guidelines. The calibration graph follows Beer’s law in the range of 1.0 to 5.0 μg/mL for Tapentadol and 6.5 to 32.5 μg/mL for Paracetamol with R² value greater than 0.997 (for Method A) and 0.998 (for Method B). Accuracy of all methods was determined by recovery studies and showed % recovery between 98 to 102%. Intraday and inter day precision was checked for all methods and mean %RSD was found to be less than 2 for all the methods. The methods were successfully applied for estimation of Paracetamol and Tapentadol in marketed formulation.

INTRODUCTION: Tapentadol (TAP) chemically is 3 - [(1R, 2R) -3 -(dimethylamino) –1 - ethyl-2 -methylpropyl] phenol Monohydrochloride (Fig. 1), is an agonist at the µ-opioid receptor and as a norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor. This dual mode of action provides analgesia at similar levels of more potent narcotic analgesics such as hydrocodone, oxycodone and morphine, but with a more tolerable side effect profile. Tapentadol is not official in Pharmacopoeia. Paracetamol (PCM) Chemically is 4-hydroxyacetanilide (Fig. 2), used as antipyretic and analgesic.

Paracetamol is official in Indian Pharmacopoeia (IP), British Pharmacopoeia (BP) and United States Pharmacopoeia (USP).

FIG. 1: TAPENTADOL

FIG. 2: PARACETAMOL
Objective of Study: Survey of literature revealed that numbers of method have been reported in literature for the individual analysis of Tapentadol and Paracetamol by UV spectrophotometric and RP-HPLC method. UV spectrophotometric method available in literature for simultaneous determination of Paracetamol with other drugs like Aceclofenac, Lornoxicam, Etodolac, Nimesulide. RP-LC method available in literature for simultaneous determination of Paracetamol with Tapentadol. RP-HPLC and UV Spectrophotometric method available in literature for determination of Tapentadol. However, to our knowledge, there is no reported uv-spectrophotometric method available for simultaneous estimation of Tapentadol and Paracetamol.

The aim of the present work was to develop easy, economic, accurate, specific and precise spectrophotometric methods for simultaneous estimation of Paracetamol and Tapentadol in bulk drugs and combined pharmaceutical formulations and validation of newly developed analytical methods.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

Apparatus and Software: Shimadzu UV-1800 double beam spectrophotometer connected to a computer loaded with Shimadzu UV Probe 2.34 software was used for all the spectrophotometric measurements. The absorbance spectra of the reference and test solutions were carried out in 1cm quartz cells over the range of 200-400 nm. Contech analytical balance with minimum weighing capacity of 1mg was used in the study.
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Preparation of Stock Solution: Accurately weighed PCM and TAP (in quantities of 25.0 mg) were transferred to two separate 25 ml volumetric flasks, dissolved with the use of 0.1N NaOH and volume was made up to the mark with same 0.1N NaOH to obtain stock solution of PCM (1000 μg/mL) and TAP (1000 μg/mL).

Preparation of Working Standard Solution: From the above solution, standard stocks solutions of PCM (100 μg/mL) and TAP (100 μg/mL) were prepared by transferring 1 mL aliquots to 100 mL volumetric flasks and making up the volume with 0.1N NaOH.

Then working standard solution of TAP (10 μg/ml) was prepared from previous solution (100 μg/ml) by transferring 1 mL aliquots to 10 ml volumetric flask and making up the volume with 0.1N NaOH.

Preparation of Calibration Curve of Standard PCM and TAP: From working std. solution of PCM (100 μg/ml) 0.65, 1.3, 1.95, 2.6 and 3.25 mL were transferred to 10 ml volumetric flasks and volume were made up to the mark with 0.1N NaOH. This gives 6.5 to 32.5 μg/mL of PCM. From working std. solution of TAP (10 μg/mL) 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 mL were transferred to 10 mL volumetric flasks and volume were made up to the mark with 0.1N NaOH. This gives 1.0 to 5.0 μg/mL of TAP.

Method A:

First Order Derivative Method: The solutions of standard PCM and TAP were prepared in the range of 1.0 to 5.0 μg/mL and 6.5 to 32.5 μg/mL respectively. The absorption spectra of the solutions of PCM and TAP were recorded in the range of 200 nm to 400 nm and were stored in the memory of the instrument and transformed to first derivative with Δλ = 2nm and scaling factor 200 (Fig. 3, 4, 5). At 257.060 nm, PCM is having zero crossing point and TAP can be determined. At 289.406 nm, TAP is having zero crossing point and PCM can be determined.

The amplitudes at 257.060 nm were plotted against respective concentrations of TAP and the amplitudes at 289.406 nm were plotted against the respective concentrations of PCM for the preparation of calibration graph. Calibration graph for TAP and PCM are shown (Fig. 3.1 & 4.1).
Method B:

Second Order Derivative Method: The solutions of standard PCM and TAP were prepared in the range of 1 to 5.0 μg/ml and 6.5 to 32.5 μg/ml respectively. The absorption spectra of the solutions of PCM and TAP were recorded in the range of 200 nm to 400 nm and were stored in the memory of the instrument and transformed to second derivative with Δλ = 2nm and scaling factor 20 (Fig. 6, 7).

At 238.391 nm, PCM is having zero crossing point and TAP can be determined. At 279.381 nm, TAP is having zero crossing point and PCM can be determined. The amplitudes at 238.391 nm were plotted against respective concentrations of TAP and the amplitudes at 279.381 nm were plotted against the respective concentrations of PCM for the preparation of calibration graph. Calibration graph for TAP and PCM are shown (Fig. 6.1 & 7.1).
Assay of Commercial Formulation by Method A and B: 20 tablets were powdered and an amount equivalent to 10 mg TAP and 65 mg PCM was weighed and dissolved in 0.1N NaOH. Solutions were filtered using whatmann filter paper grade 1. Appropriate dilutions were prepared in 0.1N NaOH taking suitable aliquots of the clear filtrates and subjected to analysis using both methods described above. The result of analysis is reported in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>TAP ±SD</th>
<th>PCM ±SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>99.81 ±0.0697</td>
<td>99.82 ±0.1392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>100.17 ±0.0492</td>
<td>100.23 ±0.08576</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean value of three determinations

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: Developed spectrophotometric methods for the simultaneous estimation of PCM and TAP were validated according to ICH guidelines and data complying with the standards were obtained. The results of validation parameters for both developed methods are reported (Table 2 and 3).
TABLE 2: SUMMARY OF VALIDATION PARAMETERS BY DEVELOPED METHODS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Method A TAP</th>
<th>Method B TAP</th>
<th>Method A PCM</th>
<th>Method B PCM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Analytical wavelength (nm)</td>
<td>257.060</td>
<td>238.391</td>
<td>289.406</td>
<td>279.381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beer's range (µg/mL)</td>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>6.5-32.5</td>
<td>6.5-32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope</td>
<td>0.0921</td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td>0.0965</td>
<td>0.9996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.0108</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation coefficient</td>
<td>0.9973</td>
<td>0.9965</td>
<td>0.9965</td>
<td>0.9989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraday precision (%RSD)</td>
<td>0.8732</td>
<td>0.7945</td>
<td>0.5784</td>
<td>0.4832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interday precision (%RSD)</td>
<td>1.301</td>
<td>1.261</td>
<td>0.6921</td>
<td>0.5764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOD (µg/mL)</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>0.308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOQ (µg/mL)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.934</td>
<td>4.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONCLUSION: Two Spectrophotometric methods (First and Second order derivative) were developed for simultaneous estimation of PCM and TAP in their combined formulation without prior separation. Methods were found to be precise and accurate as can be reflected from validation data. Developed methods were successfully applied for estimation of PCM and TAP in marketed formulation.
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