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ABSTRACT

Objective of the present study was to prepare and evaluate floating Microspheres using different ratio of ethylcellulose to control the release rate of 5-Fluorouracil (5-Fu) which was taken as a model drug. The microspheres were prepared by the modified emulsion solvent diffusion technique using different ratio of ethanol (ETN) and acetone (ACTN) as solvent system. To study the morphology of microspheres optical and scanning electron microscopy was used. The maximum yield of microspheres was up to 84.85±3.97%. Microspheres showed passable flow properties. On the basis of results of optical microscopy particle size range was found to be ranging from 130.90±12.10 to 175.43±12.32 µm. SEM photographs confirmed their spherical size, perforated smooth surface and a hollow cavity in them. Microspheres exhibited floating properties for more than 10 hours. In vitro drug release studies were performed in 0.1N HCl with 0.1 % SLS. Different drug release kinetics models were applied for selected batches.

INTRODUCTION: Oral ingestion is the most convenient and commonly used method of drug delivery. More than 50% of drug delivery systems available in the market are oral drug delivery systems. These systems have the obvious advantages of ease of administration and patient acceptance. It is difficult to development of oral controlled release drug delivery systems because of unpredictable gastric emptying time (GET) and pH variation in different segment of gastrointestinal tract (GIT). Various attempts have been made to enhance the residence time of the dosage form within the stomach ¹.

Gastroretentive system can remain in the gastric region for several hours and hence significantly prolong the gastric residence time of drug in the GIT ². The prolongation of gastric residence time (GRT) of delivery system could be achieved by the mechanism of mucoadhesion ³, ⁴, floatation ⁵, sedimentation ⁶, ⁷, expansion ⁸, ⁹, modified shape system ¹⁰, ¹¹, or by the simultaneous administration of pharmacological agents ¹², ¹³ that delay the gastric emptying.

In the present study, enhancement of GRT is based on the mechanism of floatation. Floating drug delivery systems are less dense than the gastric fluid. Floating single unit dosage form, also called hydro dynamically balanced systems (HBS), have been extensively studied ¹⁴. These single unit dosage forms have the disadvantage of a release all-or-nothing emptying process ¹⁵. However, the multiple unit particulate dosage forms pass through the GIT to avoid the vagaries of gastric emptying and thus release the drug more uniformly, which results in more reproducible drug absorption and reduce risk of local irritation than the use of single unit dosage form ¹⁶.

Ethyl Cellulose was selected for this study since, that has been approved by FDA and is widely used in pharmaceutical industry.
5-Fluorouracil (5-FU) is an antimetabolite of the pyrimidine analog class, which is widely used alone or in combination chemotherapy regimens in a variety of solid cancers, such as stomach, colon, lung, and breast cancer. It interferes with nucleic acid synthesis, inhibits DNA synthesis, and eventually inhibits cell growth. However, 5-FU may cause the following adverse effects: Bone marrow depression, gastrointestinal tract reaction, or even leucopenia and thrombocytopenia.

It is usually given intravenously, as absorption of it from the gastrointestinal tract is erratic and unpredictable. Because of the short plasma half-life of 10–20 min, high doses, e.g. 400–600 mg/m², have to be administered weekly, to reach a therapeutic drug level. It is poorly absorbed after oral administration with extremely variable bioavailability. These disadvantages make this drug as an suitable candidate for proposed delivery system.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS:** 5-fluorouracil was obtained as a gift sample from Intas Pharmaceutical Ltd., Gujarat. Ethyl Cellulose was used as polymers. Light Liquid Paraffin (Central Drug House Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi) served as non aqueous dispersion phase. Acetone (ACTN) was also obtained from CDH New Delhi. All other chemicals and reagents were of analytical grade and were used without further purification.

**Preparation method of Hollow Microspheres:** Floating microspheres were prepared by modified non aqueous solvent evaporation method established by Kawashima et al. 5-FU and Ethyl Cellulose (18-22 cps) were mixed in a mixture of Acetone and Dichloromethane (Table 1). The resulting suspension was added slowly to stirring 250 ml light liquid paraffin at room temperature from the bottom side. The stirring was done for 2 hours at 1000-1200 rpm by mechanical stirrer equipped with four bladed propellers to evaporate the solvent. After evaporation of solvent, microspheres were collected by filtration, washed repeatedly with petroleum ether until free from oil. The collected microspheres were dried at room temperature and stored in a desiccator over fused calcium chloride.

**Table 1: Batch Specification of the Prepared Floating Microspheres.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Batch</th>
<th>Drug polymer ratio (5 Fu : EC) (mg:mg)</th>
<th>Stirring Rate (RPM)</th>
<th>Solvent Ratio (ETN: ACTN)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D-1</td>
<td>1:1 (100mg :100mg)</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>1:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-2</td>
<td>1:2 (100mg :200mg)</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>1:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-3</td>
<td>1:3 (100mg :300mg)</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>1:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-4</td>
<td>1:4 (100mg :400mg)</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>1:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-5</td>
<td>1:5 (100mg :500mg)</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>1:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-6</td>
<td>1:6 (100mg :600mg)</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>1:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-7</td>
<td>1:4 (100mg :400mg)</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>1:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-8</td>
<td>1:4 (100mg :400mg)</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>1:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-9</td>
<td>1:4 (100mg :400mg)</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>1:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-10</td>
<td>1:4 (100mg :400mg)</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>1:3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-11</td>
<td>1:4 (100mg :400mg)</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>3:1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Characterization of Floating Microspheres:**

**Micromeritic study:** The prepared microspheres were characterized by their micromeritic properties such as particle size, true density, tapped density, % Compressibility index and flow properties like angle of repose (θ).

The size of microspheres was determined by using an optical microscope (Magnus MLX-DX, Olympus, India) fitted with an ocular micrometer and stage micrometer. The mean particle size was calculated by measuring 200-300 particles.

**Mass of microspheres**

\[
\text{Mass of microspheres} = \frac{\text{Volume of microspheres}}{\text{Density of microspheres}} 
\]

\[
\text{Tapped Density} = \frac{\text{Mass of microspheres}}{\text{Volume of microspheres after tapping}} \quad \rightarrow (1)
\]

\[
\text{% Compressibility index} = (1 - \frac{V_{a}}{V_{d}}) \times 100 \quad \rightarrow (2)
\]

The tapping method was used to calculate tapped densities and % compressibility index, as follow (equation 1 and 2)-
Here, V and Vo are the volumes of the samples after before the standard tapping, respectively.

True Densities of hollow microspheres were determined by immersing the microparticles in 0.02% tween 80 solution for three days in a metal mesh basket. The microparticles that were sunk after that process were used for density measurement as carried out by the displacement method 19.

\[
\tan \theta = \frac{h}{r} \rightarrow (3)
\]

The angle of repose, (θ) of the microspheres, which measures the resistance to particle flow, was determined by fixed funnel method 20 and calculated as follow (equation 3)-

Where, h= height of pile, r = radius of the base of pile on the graph paper.

**Morphology:** Optical and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was done to study morphology of microspheres. Microspheres were taken from the solution during their developing phase and spread over a glass slide. The prepared slide was studied under optical microscope at 100 X magnification.

The samples for SEM were prepared by sprinkling the powder on a both side adhesive tape stuck to a stub. Gold palladium coating on the prepared stub was carried out by using Sputter coater (POLARON model SC- 76430). The thickness of coating was about 200Å. The coated stubs were randomly scanned under Electron microscope (LEO-430, UK).

**Yield of microspheres:** The prepared microspheres were collected and weighted. The actual weight of obtained microspheres divided by the total amount of all non-volatile material that was used for the preparation of the microspheres multiplied by 100 gives the % yield of microspheres 21 (equation 4).

\[
\text{Actual weight of product} \\
\text{% yield} = \frac{100}{\text{Total weight of excipients and drug}} \rightarrow (4)
\]

Incorporation efficiency 50 mg microspheres were taken and dissolved in 25 ml of 0.1 N HCl. Thus the solution was filtered to separate shell fragments. The estimation of drug was carried out by using a U-V double-beam spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-1700 series) at the \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) of 266 nm and the incorporation efficiency was calculated as follow (equation5)

\[
\text{Calculated Drug Content} \\
\text{Incorporation Efficiency} = \frac{\text{Actual weight of product}}{\text{Total weight of excipients and drug}} \times 100 \rightarrow (5)
\]

\[
\text{Theoretical Drug Content}
\]

**In-vitro Floating Ability:** 50 mg of floating microspheres were placed in 50 ml beakers. 20 ml of 0.1 N HCl containing 0.02% Tween 20 was added to that. The beakers were shaken horizontally in a water bath at 37±0.1°C. Floated particles were collected after 10 hours and dried in a desiccator till constant weight. The percentage of floating microspheres was calculated as (equation 6)-

\[
\text{Weight of floating microspheres} \\
% \text{ floating ability} = \frac{\text{Initial Weight of microspheres}}{\text{Initial Weight of microspheres}} \times 100 \rightarrow (6)
\]

**In-vitro Drug Release:** The drug release rate from floating microspheres was determined by using USP type- II dissolution apparatus. A weighted amount of floating microspheres equivalent to 75 mg drug was placed in a non-reacting muslin cloth that had a smaller mesh size than the microspheres. The mesh was tied with a nylon thread to avoid the escape of any microspheres and a glass bead was used in the mesh to induce the sinking of microspheres in the dissolution medium 19. The dissolution test was performed in 900 ml 0.1 N HCl with 0.1% SLS at 100 rpm.

At specified time intervals, 5 ml aliquots were withdrawn, filtered, diluted with the same medium and assayed at 266 nm for 5-fluorouracil using a UV double-beam spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-1700 series) 22. Samples withdrawn were replaced with equal volume of the same dissolution medium.

All the experiments as specified above were conducted in triplicate.

**Statistical Analysis:** In this study, the results are given as mean ± SD. Student’s t-test and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) were applied to find out the significant difference in drug release from different batches by using GraphPad-Instat Software Programm (GraphPad-Instat Software Inc., San Diego). Considered statistically significant difference was at p<0.05.
Kinetics of Drug Release: The zero-order rate (Equation 7) describes systems where drug release is independent of its concentration and this is applicable to the dosage forms like transdermal system, coated forms, osmotic system as well as matrix tablets with low soluble drugs. The first-order equation (Equation 8) describes systems in which the release is dependent on its concentration (generally seen for water-soluble drugs in porous matrix). The Higuchi model describes the release of the drug from an insoluble matrix to be linearly related to the square root of time and is based on Fickian diffusion (Equation 9).

The Hixson-Crowell cube root law (Equation 10) describes the release of drug from systems where it depends on the change in surface area and diameter of the particles or tablets with time and mainly applies in the case of systems that dissolve or erode over time. In order to authenticate the release model, dissolution data can further be analyzed by Peppas and Korsmeyer equation (Equation 11).

\[ Q_t = k_{H} t \] \quad \rightarrow (7)

\[ \ln Q_t = \ln Q_0 - k_{1} t \] \quad \rightarrow (8)

\[ Q_t = k_{H}^{1/2} \] \quad \rightarrow (9)

\[ Q_0^{1/3} - Q_t^{1/3} = k_{HC} t \] \quad \rightarrow (10)

\[ M_t / M_{\infty} = k t^n \] \quad \rightarrow (11)

Where \( Q_t \) is the amount of drug released at time \( t \); \( Q_0 \) is the initial amount of the drug in the formulation; \( k_0 \), \( k_1 \), \( k_H \), and \( k_{HC} \) are release rate constants for zero-order, first-order, Higuchi model and Hixson-Crowell rate equations. In equation 11, \( M_t \) is the amount of drug released at time \( t \), and \( M_{\infty} \) is the amount released at time \( \infty \); \( k \) is the kinetic constant, and \( n \) is the diffusion coefficient.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION: The formulations of 5-flurouracil loaded microspheres were prepared by using different amount of Ethyl Cellulose and solvent (Acetone and Dichloromethane) by modified non aqueous solvent evaporation technique. Introduction of drug suspension from bottom side avoids the formation of aggregates at the top of liquid paraffin hence, improved the yield of microspheres (table 1). The formulation mechanism of polymer hollow microspheres is reported in the literature. According to this mechanism, the polymer and drug was dissolved in solvent mixture such as dichloromethane: acetone (1:1 v/v). Thus, the polymer solution was emulsified in non aqueous medium of light liquid paraffin. Then, the dichloromethane diffused out of the embryonic microspheres into non aqueous phase. On the other hand, acetone, which could not be diffused, retained in the microspheres as core material. On keeping these microspheres at 40°C, the vapors of acetone were generated which escaped them, leaving the microspheres as a hollow spheres.

Micromeretic study: The mean particle size of the floating microspheres was found to be ranging from 130.90±12.10 μm to 173.21±21.62 μm. It was observed that, on increasing the polymer amount the average particle size was found to be increasing. This may be due to diminished shearing efficiency at higher concentration of the polymer (higher viscosity). It was also observed that on increasing the volume of acetone, average particle size was found to be increased.

The measured tapped density was in range of 0.152±0.009 to 0.294±0.007 g/cm³. Compressibility index ranged from 10.66±2.31 to 30.66±6.43. The true density of these hollow spheres was found to be 0.74±0.04 to 0.95±0.05 g/cm³.

Batch D-6 showed good flow properties because the angle of repose for this batch was found to be 25.03±0.48°. Other batches showed passable flow properties (Table 2).

Morphology: Photographs from optical as well as scanning electron microscopy confirmed that prepared microspheres were spherical in shape. (Fig. 1)

SEM confirmed that microspheres were hollow with smooth perforated surface. The perforation may be due to evaporation of ethanol form embryonic microspheres.
FIG. 1: SCANNING ELECTRON MICROGRAPH OF MICROSPHERES
(A – population of spherical microspheres, B – spherical microspheres with hollow cavity, C – Spherical Microsphere, D – perforation formed due to solvent evaporation)

TABLE 2: MICROMERITIC PROPERTIES OF DIFFERENT FLOATING MICROSPHERES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BATCH CODE</th>
<th>MEAN PARTICLE SIZE a (µm)</th>
<th>TRUE DENSITY b (g/cm³)</th>
<th>TAPPED DENSITY b (gm/cm³)</th>
<th>COMPRESSIBILITY INDEX b (%)</th>
<th>ANGLE OF REPOSE b (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D-1</td>
<td>130.90±12.10</td>
<td>0.74±0.04</td>
<td>0.152±0.009</td>
<td>31.33±7.02</td>
<td>38.9±2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-2</td>
<td>141.30±14.16</td>
<td>0.86±0.06</td>
<td>0.220±0.008</td>
<td>22.66±3.05</td>
<td>36.80±1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-3</td>
<td>157.57±19.18</td>
<td>0.94±0.04</td>
<td>0.286±0.016</td>
<td>23.33±2.30</td>
<td>40.92±0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-4</td>
<td>167.69±18.53</td>
<td>0.83±0.08</td>
<td>0.201±0.009</td>
<td>11.33±1.15</td>
<td>31.31±0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-5</td>
<td>170.58±17.50</td>
<td>0.86±0.04</td>
<td>0.201±0.013</td>
<td>14.00±2.00</td>
<td>35.39±1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-6</td>
<td>173.21±21.62</td>
<td>0.92±0.02</td>
<td>0.225±0.004</td>
<td>10.66±2.31</td>
<td>25.03±0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-7</td>
<td>164.21±20.96</td>
<td>0.84±0.04</td>
<td>0.230±0.008</td>
<td>12.66±5.03</td>
<td>35.47±0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-8</td>
<td>156.51±18.18</td>
<td>0.95±0.05</td>
<td>0.294±0.007</td>
<td>23.33±2.31</td>
<td>36.60±1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-9</td>
<td>139.21±10.85</td>
<td>0.79±0.03</td>
<td>0.166±0.001</td>
<td>30.66±5.43</td>
<td>36.82±1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-10</td>
<td>157.18±18.36</td>
<td>0.94±0.06</td>
<td>0.231±0.013</td>
<td>16.00±6.00</td>
<td>35.69±2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-11</td>
<td>175.43±12.32</td>
<td>0.87±0.08</td>
<td>0.232±0.024</td>
<td>24.00±4.56</td>
<td>34.65±3.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Mean ± SD, n= 200—300. b Mean ± SD, n=

**Percentage Yield:** The maximum and minimum % yield was found to be 84.85±3.97, 69.53±2.00 in batch D-9 & D-1 respectively (Table 3).

**Drug Entrapment Efficiency:** The maximum and minimum drug entrapment efficiency of microspheres was found to be 57.28±3.75 to 74.53±4.58 % respectively (Table 3).

**In-vitro Floating Ability:** All microspheres showed good floating ability (64.87±2.65 to 81.80±3.07 %) for more than 18 hours (Fig. 2). Such floating performance was due to insolubility of Ethyl Cellulose in the gastric fluid. It was observed that the floating ability increased with increasing average particle size. It was also observed that the formulation prepared with higher volume of Ethanol (Batch D-11) showed better floating ability than other batches. The region behind this may be larger air core formation in the batch D-11, which made them lesser dense than that of gastric fluid (Table 3).
TABLE 3: VARIOUS FORMULATION PARAMETERS FOR MICROSPHERES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Batch code</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>Incorporation efficiency (%)</th>
<th>Buoyancy (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D-1</td>
<td>69.53±2.00</td>
<td>57.28±3.75</td>
<td>65.87±2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-2</td>
<td>70.22±4.70</td>
<td>61.00±4.00</td>
<td>69.20±1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-3</td>
<td>74.91±2.85</td>
<td>66.67±4.39</td>
<td>74.73±1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-4</td>
<td>78.41±4.68</td>
<td>69.66±3.51</td>
<td>73.13±5.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-5</td>
<td>82.11±2.34</td>
<td>72.08±5.63</td>
<td>76.13±1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-6</td>
<td>83.12±3.02</td>
<td>74.53±4.58</td>
<td>79.93±2.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-7</td>
<td>83.35±4.74</td>
<td>63.03±5.63</td>
<td>73.20±4.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-8</td>
<td>82.39±3.58</td>
<td>62.91±7.05</td>
<td>74.43±2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-9</td>
<td>84.85±3.97</td>
<td>67.58±4.38</td>
<td>76.23±1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-10</td>
<td>80.34±3.43</td>
<td>72.41±5.05</td>
<td>68.53±2.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-11</td>
<td>81.02±1.65</td>
<td>69.41±2.32</td>
<td>81.80±3.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Mean ± SD, n=3

**Fig. 2:** PHOTOGRAPH SHOWING THE FLOATING MICROSPHERES ON THE SURFACE OF 0.1 N HCl (pH 1.2)

In vitro Drug Release: The release of 5-flourouracil floating microspheres was studied in 0.1N HCl with 0.1% SLS (1.2pH). On increasing the amount of polymers there was a significant decrease (p<0.05) in the cumulative drug release (Fig. 3).

**Fig. 3:** EFFECT OF POLYMER CONCENTRATION ON IN-VITRO RELEASE OF DRUG IN 0.1N HCl (1.2 pH)

Significant increase was observed in cumulative drug release on increasing the volume of ethanol in solvent mixture (Fig. 4).

**Fig. 4:** EFFECT OF SOLVENT RATIO ON IN-VITRO RELEASE OF DRUG IN 0.1 N HCl (1.2 pH)
The microspheres prepared on higher RPM showed increased drug release, it may be because of increasing surface area of microspheres (Fig. 5).

![Release Profile in 0.1 N HCl (pH 1.2)](image)

**FIG. 5: EFFECT OF STIRRING RATE ON IN-VITRO DRUG RELEASE IN 0.1 N HCl (1.2 pH)**

**Kinetics of Drug Release:** All release kinetics model were applied on D-3, D-4, D-5, D-6 and D-11 because of their good floating ability. The best-fit model was found to be Higuchi (for D-3, D-4, D-5, D-6 and D-11). The selection criteria for the best model were based on goodness of fit and residual sum of squares.

**CONCLUSION:** Novel floating microspheres were successfully prepared by emulsion solvent diffusion method for prolonged as well as controlled action of 5-fluorouracil. Due to their low densities, this multi particulate drug delivery system showed good floating ability (more than 18 hrs). From *in vitro* drug release studies, it is concluded that by changing the ratio of polymers and solvents, 5-fluorouracil release can be controlled. These microballoons could be dispensed by filling them in the empty capsule shell.
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