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ABSTRACT: Context: Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) early diagnosis of disease can reduce the morbidity and mortality by prevention of maternal and fetal complications. Aims: To assess the impact of clinical pharmacist knowledge on GDM mothers. Setting Tertiary care teaching hospital of North Karnataka, India. Methods and Materials: A randomized controlled cross-sectional study was carried out for a period of 4 years. Pregnant women with GDM were divided into control (C) and interventional (I) groups. Group C patients received only physicians’ counselling whereas, group I patients received both clinical pharmacist counselling and physicians’ counselling. Both the groups were screened for blood glucose levels at baseline and follow-up. Results: A total of 500 (group C, n=250 and group I, n=250) mothers diagnosed to have GDM participated in the study and randomized into two groups. Initially the knowledge of GDM among pregnant women was poor. After the clinical pharmacist counselling, knowledge was enhanced, which prompts better education and use of new methods such as phemplets, audio visual methods and flipcharts. Conclusions: The results of this study showed noteworthy changes in knowledge after clinical pharmacist structured counselling.

INTRODUCTION: Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is one of the major health issues and the most common metabolic disorders in pregnant women characterized by glucose intolerance of varying degree with onset or first recognition during pregnancy. In India, the prevalence of GDM varies widely, depending on the population studied and the diagnostic test employed, may range from approximately 4% to 40% of all pregnancies. Moreover, Indian women are 11 times more vulnerable to GDM, compared to Caucasians.

GDM is a serious health issue which need to be addressed urgently, it is estimated that about 4 million women are affected by GDM in India.

Based on the recent reports from South India, GDM complicates 17.8% of the pregnancies in urban areas, 13.8% in semi-urban areas, and 9.9% in rural areas based on 2 h 75 g post glucose value ≥ 140 mg/dL. Modern lifestyle which include increasing urbanization, decreasing levels of physical activity, changes in dietary patterns, advanced maternal age and obesity are some of the factors which influence the risk of GDM in Indian women. Moreover, ancestral disparities and a family history of diabetes also intensify the GDM risk which attribute to adverse perinatal outcomes, including increased risk of cardiovascular diseases, early childhood obesity, increased rates of pre-eclampsia, caesarean and even stillbirths.
Infants born to mothers with GDM are at an increased risk of macrosomia, hypoglycemia, jaundice, respiratory distress syndrome, polycythemia, and hypocalcemia\textsuperscript{7,9}. Data suggests that medications alone are not enough to manage GDM, educational strategies need to be implemented. In the United States, the national Diabetes Prevention Program has shown the positive impact of educational interventions to prevent progression of GDM to type 2 diabetes\textsuperscript{10}. Early counselling of families has been recommended by the Fifth International Workshop Conference on GDM\textsuperscript{11} to avoid excessive maternal and fetal weight gain. Educational programs have been recommended that emphasize reduced fat and energy intake, regular physical activity and regular clinic visits. However, in India, despite higher frequency of GDM, studies regarding patients' awareness are seldom investigated.

We hypothesized that, counselling of the pregnant women by the clinical pharmacist along with a physician, may enhance the knowledge in pregnant women which may result in adoption of a healthy lifestyle, better healthcare-seeking pattern, better self-care. Thus, prevention and early diagnosis of the disease may help to reduce the morbidity and mortality by prevention of complications among the mothers and new-born.

**SUBJECTS AND METHODS:**

**Study Design:** This cross-sectional study was conducted for a period of 4 years (October 2014 to March 2017) at a tertiary care teaching hospital of north Karnataka, India Fig. 1. Pregnant women were divided into group C (control group, patients received counselling by physicians only) and group I (interventional group, patients received counselling by clinical pharmacists along with physicians).

**Patients:** Registered pregnant women with \( \geq 24 \) weeks of gestation diagnosed to have GDM residing within 5 km of study area aged more than 18 years who were willing to participate in the study were recruited. Pregnant women with endocrinal complications, renal complications, pregnancy complications, prior type 2 diabetes mellitus, multiple gestations and having significant difficulties to cooperate were excluded from the study.

**Sample Size Calculation:** Considering the prevalence of GDM as 20\%, standard error as 10\%, the minimum effect sample size was calculated as 250 women presenting with GDM in each group.

**Assessments:** Those who were eligible along with their family members were briefed about the nature of the study, the intervention and the procedure involved in the study. The knowledge about GDM was assessed using the questionnaire which comprised of 18 questions and emphasized on dietary habits, exercise program, random blood sugar level monitoring, treatment, insulin intake, medications, adverse drug reactions, complication in mother as well as fetus and birth outcomes. The interpretation of knowledge was done based on the scores obtained \( i.e., \) those who answered correctly were interpreted as having adequate knowledge and were graded with score of one for each question.
The sum of total points was calculated and these total scores were converted in terms of percentage and the grading was done as having excellent knowledge if the percentage was ≥ 75, good knowledge if the percentage was 51 to 75, average knowledge if the percentage was 26 to 50, and poor knowledge if the percentage was ≤ 25.

**Study Procedure:** Subjects were randomized by SNOOZE method, where patients were provided with sealed opaque envelopes and asked to choose the envelope. After incorporation of baseline data intervention patients were counselled in local languages. Demographic data such as age, religion, education, occupation, place of residence (civilization), socioeconomic status, history of diabetes mellitus, family history, current treatment, past medical history, personal history and dietary habits were collected. Clinical pharmacists provided structured counselling on the management of GDM; socioeconomic factors in the management of GDM; importance of monitoring blood glucose levels; insulin administration by using various educational strategies such as (1) pamphlets, (2) flipcharts and (3) demonstration; importance of physical exercise; adherence to the management of GDM; and importance of regular follow up. Pregnant women with GDM in control group only underwent routine counselling by physician or nurse.

**Ethics Approval:** Prior to the commencement, the study was approved by Institutional Ethics Committee and pregnant women with GDM based on the criteria set by Diabetes in Pregnancy Study group India (DIPS) were screened for eligibility. The study was registered under Clinical Trials Registry India Number- CTRI/2017/01/007622. Permission to conduct this study was obtained from KLE University’s Institutional Ethics Committee Belagavi, Karnataka, India.

**Statistical Analysis:** The categorical data was expressed in terms of rates, ratios and percentages. The comparison between groups for demographic characteristics was done using Fishers exact test or chi-square test. Continuous data was expressed as mean ± standard deviation and the comparison was done using independent sample t-test. A probability (p value) of ≤ 0.05 at 95% confidence interval was considered as statistically significant. The data was analyzed using SPSS statistical software version 20.0.

**RESULTS:**

**Descriptive Analysis:** A total of 500 women provided written consent to participate in the study and these women were randomized into group C (n=250) and group I (n=250). The overall age of the women ranged between 22 years to 44 years with mean age of 28 years. About 38% of women in group C and 59% of women in group I were aged between 26 years to 30 years Table 1. The BMI in 67.60% of the women who belonged to group C was between 19.8 kg/m² to 26.0 kg/m² compared with 66.40% of the women in group I Table 2. Most of the patients (52.4%) had primary education in group C compared with 62% in group I Table 3. When distributed based on religion, most of the patients belonged to Hindu in both group C (50.80%) and group I (58.8%; Fig. 2) and majority of them were working (group C, 59.6% and group I, 55.6%; Fig. 3) and resided in slum area (group C, 38.8% in group C and group I, 47.60%; Table 4).

**TABLE 1: DISTRIBUTION OF STUDY POPULATION ACCORDING TO AGE DISTRIBUTION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group (years)</th>
<th>Group C</th>
<th>Group I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of patients*</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD⁹</td>
<td>27.73 ± 2.57</td>
<td>27.31 ± 2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 25</td>
<td>50 (20.0%)</td>
<td>55 (22.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 to 30</td>
<td>168 (67.2%)</td>
<td>170 (68.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 to 35</td>
<td>29 (11.6%)</td>
<td>25 (10.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 to 40</td>
<td>2 (0.8%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 40</td>
<td>1 (0.4%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p=0.526; #p=0.052; Group C, control group; Group I, interventional group.

**TABLE 2: DISTRIBUTION OF STUDY POPULATION ACCORDING TO BODY MASS INDEX**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body mass index, kg/m²</th>
<th>Group C</th>
<th>Group I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of patients*</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 19.8</td>
<td>4 (1.6%)</td>
<td>1 (0.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.8 to 26.0</td>
<td>169 (67.6%)</td>
<td>166 (66.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 26.0</td>
<td>77 (30.8%)</td>
<td>83 (33.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p=0.391; Group C, control group; Group I, interventional group.

**TABLE 3: DISTRIBUTION OF STUDY POPULATION ACCORDING TO EDUCATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Education</th>
<th>Group C</th>
<th>Group I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of patients*</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College</td>
<td>17 (6.8%)</td>
<td>18 (7.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiterate</td>
<td>45 (18.0%)</td>
<td>41 (16.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postgraduation</td>
<td>16 (6.4%)</td>
<td>15 (6.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>131 (52.4%)</td>
<td>155 (62.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary School Leaving Certificate</td>
<td>41 (16.4%)</td>
<td>21 (8.4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p=0.069; Group C, control group; Group I, interventional group.
Knowledge about GDM were notably improved post intervention in group I with 33.2% showed good knowledge and 7.6% had excellent knowledge compared with 24.4% and 3.2% of the women in group C respectively Table 5.

### TABLE 5: CHANGE IN KNOWLEDGE POST INTERVENTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Knowledge</th>
<th>Group C</th>
<th>Group I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of patients*</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>8 (3.2%)</td>
<td>19 (7.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>61 (24.4%)</td>
<td>83 (33.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>130 (52.0%)</td>
<td>118 (47.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>51 (20.4%)</td>
<td>30 (12.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p=0.003; Group C, control group; Group I, interventional group

### DISCUSSION:
This cross-sectional study was designed to measure the impact of clinical pharmacist counselling in GDM women. Our results showed greater enhancement of knowledge about GDM in pregnant women who belonged to interventional group. Our results suggest that women need to be targeted for better education in order to reduce the risk of GDM.

Increase rate of GDM in Indian women is certainly a major concern, given the adverse events associated with it. However, despite the availability of guidelines for screening and management in the general population, management of GDM is still challenging. The challenges include, lack of consensus among the physicians regarding the timing of oral glucose tolerance test after delivery,
ineffective communication among physicians, patients, and primary care providers. Due to these challenges, GDM patients fail to control their glucose levels through lifestyle modifications and end up in using insulin.

Previous reports suggest that interventions such as self-management are effective in improving glycaemic control, lowering health-care costs, and improving the quality of life in patients with GDM. However, self-management of GDM are associated with certain unavoidable challenges, such as, lack of information in communities and sometimes cultural perspectives are barriers to GDM care. Hence, many women remain sedentary during pregnancy because of these perceived barriers. This condition requires a certain level of expertise, knowledge, and experience to manage.

Increase in patient compliance and proper educational interventions may promote better pregnancy outcomes which is affordable and easily accessible at the primary, secondary, and tertiary levels of health care in India. Existing studies showed knowledge gaps, and inefficient approach to GDM management, which eventually contribute to suboptimal patient outcomes. Moreover, performance implication of clinical pharmacists for the management of GDM are seldom investigated.

It is well accepted that processes of care influence the diabetes outcomes and many processes are considered indicators of quality of diabetes care. Thus, achieving optimal processes of care is postulated to be a key element in the care of patients with diabetes, for example, a model has been proposed by Brown et al., to explain this association between socioeconomic status and health outcomes in patients with diabetes. It suggests that health outcomes (which show the effects of care on the health status of patients) are influenced by distal factors, such as individual (i.e., cultural background) or community characteristics, as well as by more proximal factors such as health behaviours, access to health care, and processes of care (i.e., what is done to care for a patient).

The pharmacists are trained to provide patient care and possess knowledge of pharmacotherapy and experience in medication management. The collaboration between pharmacists and women health providers are essential when managing high-risk patients. This collaboration allows patients the option to remain in their original medical home. Clinical pharmacists may provide services that are culturally and linguistically appropriate throughout each stage of pregnancy and follow-up. Hence, clinical pharmacists’ intervention may contribute to the better management of GDM though. The WINGS study demonstrated that a collaborative approach between pharmacists, physicians and patients may yield better management of GDM. The relationship established between the providers, pharmacist, and patients aligns well with the patient-centered medical home model. A recent study in the pregnant women from Jordan, also demonstrated that clinical pharmacist assisted services in the management of pregnancy hyperglycemia, fundamentally and significantly improve the knowledge and control the disease.

Counselling helps achieving the personalized therapeutic goal of each individual patient. Evidences suggest that integrated pharmaceutical care by clinical pharmacist results in reduction of glycemic control of diabetic patients. Hence, in a developing country like India, where there is an increase in the number of diabetic populations observed in recent years. Like every cross-sectional study, this study was not different and has some limitations, first being the number of populations studied and data collections.

Further, this study was carried out in a specific region, hence generalizability of results may be an issue. Nevertheless, it was a pretty long duration study, hence the results can play a role of precursor for focused sequential studies to find out more regarding the importance of clinical pharmacists in the management of GDM in India. Further, it was evident that even short pharmaceutical care programs provide important information related to patient counselling, disease characteristics, drugs and lifestyle modifications etc., hence, the findings of our study, may have substantial impact.

**CONCLUSION:** Overall the present study highlights poor knowledge about GDM among the pregnant women in study area and intervention in the form of counselling by the pharmacist enhances the knowledge. This prompt need for the education through counselling on GDM to the pregnant women.
women, which may help to create awareness about this condition through the healthcare providers and pharmacists.
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