IJPSR (2017), Vol. 8, Issue 4



(Research Article)



Received on 23 September, 2016; received in revised form, 19 December, 2016; accepted, 20 March, 2017; published 01 April, 2017

SEARCH

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL

COMPARISON OF POST PRANDIAL LIPID PROFILE AT AN INTERVAL OF 2 HOURS AND 4 HOURS IN PATIENTS OF CORONARY HEART DISEASE

S. Ayyappan^{*1}, A. Kalyananibehra¹ and T. Ilanchezhian²

Department of Biochemistry¹, Vivekananda Dental College for Women, Tiruchengode, Namakkal District, Tamil Nadu, India.

Tutor in Biochemistry², Shri Sathya Sai Medical College and Research Institute, Ammapettai, Kancheepuram District, Tamil Nadu, India.

Keywords:

Coronary heart disease, Mortality, Lipoproteins

Correspondence to Author: S. Ayyappan

Assistant Professor in Biochemistry, Vivekananda Dental College for women, Tiruchengode, Namakkal District, Tamil Nadu, India.

E-mail: s.n.ayyappan@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: Coronary heart disease (CHD) is widely prevalent both in the developed and developing countries and continues to be a leading cause of mortality despite recent advances in diagnostic facilities and treatment modalities. Recently it has been proposed that postprandial lipoprotein may be a better indicators of deranged lipoproteins metabolism and hence of atherosclerosis and CHD. The levels of nonfasting triglycerides are better at predicting future cardiovascular events than levels of fasting triglycerides. Furthermore, it is possible that nonfasting levels of lipids, lipoprotein, differ only minimally from levels in the fasting state simply because, most of the people consume for less fat at ordinary meals than during a fat tolerance test. The aim of the current study is to determine the postprandial (at the intervals of 2 hours and 4 hours) lipid parameters TC, TGL, HDL, LDL and VLDL levels in Coronary heart disease patients and to compare it with fasting lipid profile. 200 subjects were selected with 100 controls and 100 CHD cases. Statistical analysis was done. The study was concluded that the lipid parameters TC, TGL, LDL and VLDL levels are decreased in 2 hours and 4 hours postprandial and the HDL levels gradually increased in 2 hours and 4 hours in CHD compared to controls group.

INTRODUCTION: Coronary heart disease (CHD) is widely prevalent both in the developed and developing countries and continues to be a leading cause of mortality despite recent advances in diagnostic facilities and treatment modalities. It is a multifactorial disease where atherosclerosis and dyslipidaemia were the prominent causes involved.¹

QUICK RESPONSE CODE				
	DOI: 10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.8(4).1846-49			
	Article can be accessed online on: www.ijpsr.com			
DOI link: http://dx.doi.org/10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.8 (4).1846-49				

Hypercholesterolemia and hypertriglyceridaemia are considered the independent risk factors but most of the earliest studies in the area have considered only the fasting lipids and lipoproteins. Recently it has been proposed that postprandial lipoprotein may be a better indicators of deranged lipoproteins metabolism and hence of atherosclerosis and CHD.²

Despite this fact, plasma lipids, lipoprotein, for cardiovascular risk prediction are usually measured in fasting state. ³⁻⁵ A main reason is the increased in triglyceride level scene during a fat tolerance test, in which patient typically consume 1 gram fat per 1 Kg body weight. ⁵⁻⁶

However, levels of non-fasting triglycerides are better at predicting future cardiovascular events than levels of fasting triglycerides. Furthermore, it is possible that non-fasting levels of lipids, lipoprotein, differ only minimally from levels in the fasting state simply because most people consume for less fat at ordinary meals than during a fat tolerance test. ⁷ We tested the hypothesis that levels of total cholesterol, triglycerides, HDL, LDL and VLDL. In this TG and HDL will be estimated enzymatically with the use of gold standard diagnostic kits, while VLDL and LDL will be calculated using Friedewald equation.⁸⁻¹¹

MATERIALS AND METHODS: 200 subjects selected in which 100 were CHD cases and 100 normal pregnancy controls. 3ml of venous blood sample was collected aseptically for each subject after a twelve hours overnight fasting and then two hours and four hours after a mixed diet. After centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 10 minutes, the serum samples were analyzed for lipid parameters using standard kits. Total cholesterol (TC), HDL-

cholesterol (HDL-C) and TG were estimated enzymatically while VLDL and LDL were calculated using Friedewald equation.¹¹

Statistical Analysis: ANOVA test was used to compare the groups to continuous variables. All analysis was done using windows based SPSS statistical package.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: There were significant differences in the total cholesterol, triglyceride, HDL, LDL and VLDL between Control and coronary heart disease patients groups **Table 1** and **2**. P value (<0.05) significant for fasting, 2 hours and 4 hours lipid profile for CHD **Table 2**. The observation of the study was 2 hours and 4 hours interval of postprandial lipid profiles is the better indicator for Coronary heart disease patients. Coronary bypass grafting surgery and coronary angioplasty [Lipid Lowering Therapy] it help to increase HDL Level in 2 Hours and 4 Hours post prandial lipid profile in coronary heart disease patients.

TABLE 1: SHOWS THE CONTROL GROUP OF MEAN AND STANDARD DEVIATION OF FASTING, 2 HOURS, AND 4 HOURS OF LIPID PARAMETERS

Parameters (mg/dl)	Fasting	2 Hours	4 Hours	P-Value		
TC	120.7 ± 18.3	120.3 ± 19.1	117.0 ± 18.2	>0.05		
TGL	92.1 ±15.4	100.7 ± 12.1	98.1 ± 8.5	>0.05		
HDL	34.1 ±2.6	34.5 ± 4.3	35.2 ± 3.8	>0.05		
LDL	101.7 ± 8.6	103.9 ± 9.3	132.3 ± 12.3	>0.05		
VLDL	17.4 ±3.3	15.9 ± 3.3	16.6 ± 8.6	>0.05		

P value >0.05 denotes not significant. Values are given in Mean \pm SD

ABLE 2: SHOWS THE STUDY GROUP OF MEAN AND STANDARD DEVIATION OF FASTING, 2 HOURS, AN	٩D
HOURS OF LIPID PARAMETERS IN CHD PATIENTS	

Parameters (mg/dl)	Fasting	2 Hours	4 Hours	P-Value
TC	186.0 ± 18.0	179.0 ± 18.2	174.1 ± 19.8	>0.05
TGL	121.8 ± 22.7	111.3 ± 19.3	116.7 ± 19.9	>0.05
HDL	37.4 ± 6.0	39.0 ± 4.7	36.7 ± 3.9	>0.05
LDL	117.1 ± 18.9	119.7 ± 10.4	118.6 ± 9.6	>0.05
VLDL	29.0 ± 5.7	25.3 ± 6.6	23.5 ± 6.8	>0.05

P value >0.05 denotes not significant. Values are given in Mean \pm SD

DISCUSSION: Coronary revascularization by percutaneous techniques is widely used in the treatment of patients with stable angina pectoris, inducible myocardial ischemia, or both. Studies comparing medical treatment and percutaneous revascularization suggested that patients who underwent revascularization had an improvement in their quality of life, exercise performance, or both. However, effect of medical treatment, as compared percutaneous revascularization, on the

incidence of ischemic events and the need for subsequent revascularization was less certain. Lipid-lowering treatment has been shown to reduce significantly the incidence of cardiovascular events, overall mortality, and the need for revascularization. Without increasing the risk ischemic events. In a randomized, control study, we compared the outcomes in patients who received atorvastatin with the outcomes in similar patients who underwent percutaneous revascularization, with or without stenting, and the received usual medical treatment, which could include lipid-lowering medication.¹²

In our study due to after done the coronary artery bypass grafting surgery (or) angiogram, (lipid lowering therapy). HDL level increased gradually in 2 hours and 4 hours lipid profile in CHD patients to compare other lipid parameters (TC, TGL, LDL and VLDL).

It is well documented that Hyperlipidemia is a risk factor for cardiovascular disease and fasting lipoprotein measurements, according to ATP III recommendation guidelines, is currently considered the standard of care when assessing a patient's lipid profile. ¹³ In a clinical setting this creates an inconvenience for patients and providers alike. However recent studies have raised doubt as to the need to measure fasting lipids and thus changing clinical practice.

The studies in this review directly compared fasting versus non-fasting lipid concentration as a risk factor for cardiovascular events.¹⁴⁻¹⁶

In 2007, postprandial lipemia was proven to be an independent risk factor for cardiovascular events in a study of 1,793 adults from the Copenhagen general population study. The study showed that increasing levels of non-fasting triglyceride were associated with increased risk of MI, IHD, and consequently death in men and women. Their findings propose that every 1mmol/L increase in non-fasting triglyceride levels corresponds to further increase in the hazard ratio of the cardiovascular outcomes. ¹⁷ In our study concludes that HDL levels were increased rapidly in CHD patients, other parameters TC, TGL, LDL were decreased at 2 hours and 4 hours post prandial lipid profile in CHD patients. The significant value or the sustained postprandial increase, of the postprandial TG and VLDL levels shown in this study are also of significant importance. All the postprandial TG values up to the 12th hour of observation were significantly higher than the baseline at a range of 23.86 to 72.02 mg/dl (0.27 to 0.82 mmol/L).

In this study HDL levels were increased after done the bypass grafting surgery or angiogram, the levels of other parameters in lipid profile were decreased.

The postprandial VLDL levels showed a similar pattern to TG as mentioned above. However, there is still a lack of data regarding VLDL values. Although in our analysis, VLDL had a significant postprandial rise and is considered as a component of postprandial lipemia as well. With our results data of other studies presented, and this postprandial phenomenon should be addressed especially in this set of high risk patients in order to avoid recurrence of cardiovascular events. Of note, Boccalondro et al., have shown that patients with coronary artery diseases have a prolonged postprandial lipemia compared to healthy individuals. 18

Fasting levels of triglycerides, VLDL-C and total cholesterol in patients of CHD are significantly higher as compared to those in controls (p < 0.001). Fasting HDL-C in CHD patients is lower as compared to that in controls but not significantly. LDL-C is increased significantly in CHD.

Patients as compared to controls in fasting state. AI is significantly higher in patients of CHD than controls (p < 0.001). In a prospective cardiovascular Munster study, elevated TG has been found to be significant and independent risk factor for major coronary events even after adjustment for LDL-C and HDL-C levels and other risk factors. ¹⁹ Similar results have been reported by some other authors. ²⁰⁻²¹ Postprandially, TG levels in CHD patients are found to be raised significantly as compared to controls (p < 0.05) and fasting state (p < 0.001). Total cholesterol is high postprandially as compared to controls (p < 0.001) but decreased as compared to fasting in both controls (p > 0.05) and study group (p < 0.001).

Similar findings have been reported by Ernst JS *et al.*, but they observed significant decrease in both the groups 4 PP HDL-C is lower in study group as compared to control group (p < 0.05). TG rich lipoproteins in PP state act adversely on vascular endothelium through increasing superoxide anion radicals or by direct impairment of vascular endothelium by decreasing coronary bioactivity.²²⁻²³

In our study HDL levels increased in gradually after done the bypass grafting surgery in 2 hours and 4 hours lipid profile. **CONCLUSION:** Our study highlights that the postprandial lipid parameters TC, TGL, LDL and VLDL levels were decreased in 2 hours and 4 hours CHD patients to compare to the fasting samples. HDL levels were gradually increased 2 hours and 4 hours CHD patients to compare to the fasting lipid profile. So conclusion of the study was postprandial lipid profile is a better indicator to analyses the CHD patients.

In our study due to after done the coronary artery bypass grafting surgery (or) angiogram, (lipid lowering therapy). HDL level increased gradually in 2 hours and 4 hours lipid profile in CHD patients to compare other lipid parameters (TC, TGL, LDL and VLDL).

REFERENCES:

- 1. Rosenson RS. Hypertriglyceridemia and coronary heart disease risk. Cardiol Rev 1999; 7: 342-8.
- 2. Zilversmit DB. Atherogenesis: A postprandial phenomenon. Circulation 1979; 60: 473-85.
- Rifai N, Warnick GR. Lipids, lipoproteins, apolipoproteins, and other cardiovascular risk factors. In: Burtis CA, Ashwood ER, Bruns DE, eds. Tietz Textbook of Clinical Chemistry and Molecular Diagnostics a. 4th ed. Philadelphia, Pa: Elsevier Saunders; 2006:903–982.
- Executive summary of the Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). JAMA. 2001; 285: 2486–2497.
- 5. De Backer G, Ambrosioni E, Borch-Johnsen K, Brotons C, Cifkova R, Dallongeville J, Ebrahim S, Faergeman O, Graham I, Mancia G, Manger C, V, Orth-Gomer K, Perk J, Pyorala K, Rodicio JL, Sans S, Sansoy V, Sechtem U, Silber S, Thomsen T, Wood D. European guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice: Third Joint Task Force of European and Other Societies on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice. Eur Heart J. 2003; 24:16.
- Cohn JS, McNamara JR, Cohn SD, Ordovas JM, Schaefer EJ. Post- prandial plasma lipoprotein changes in human subjects of different ages. J Lipid Res. 1988; 29:469–479.
- Schaefer EJ, Audelin MC, McNamara JR, Shah PK, Tayler T, Daly JA, Augustin JL, Seman LJ, Rubenstein JJ. Comparison of fasting and post- prandial plasma lipoproteins in subjects with and without coronary heart disease. Am J Cardiol. 2001; 88:11.
- Anne langsted, BMSc; Jacob J. Freiberg, MD; Borge G. Nordestgaard, MD, DMSc. Fasting and nonfasting lipid levels influence of normal food intake in lipids, lipoproteins,

apolipoproteins, and cardiovascular risk prediction .0.1161/ circulationaha.108.804146.

- 9. Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study Group. Randomized trial of cholesterol lowering in 4444 patients with coronary heart disease: the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study (4S). Lancet 1994; 344:1383-9.
- Sacks FM, Pfeffer MA, Moye LA, *et al.*: The effect of pravastatin on coronary events after myocardial infarction in patients with average cholesterol levels. N Engl J Med 1996; 335:1001-9.
- 11. Friedewald WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of low density lipoprotein cholesterol without the use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. ClinChem1972; 18: 499-504.
- 12. Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study Group. Randomized trial of cholesterol lowering in 4444 patients with coronary heart disease: the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study (4S). Lancet 1994; 344:1383-9.
- Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on a. Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult b. Treatment Panel III) final report. Circulation. 2002; 106 (25): 3143-421.
- Bansal S, Buring JE, Rifai N, Mora S, Sacks FM, Ridker PM. Fasting compared with a. nonfasting triglycerides and risk of cardiovascular events in women. JAMA. 2007; 298(3):309-16.
- 15. Eberly LE, Stamler J, Neaton JD. Relation of triglyceride levels, fasting and nonfasting, to fatal and nonfatal coronary heart disease. Arch Intern Med. 2003; 163(9): 1077-83.
- Mora S, Rifai N, Buring JE, Ridker PM. Fasting compared with nonfasting lipids and apolipoproteins for predicting incident cardiovascular events. Circulation. 2008; 118(10): 993-1001.
- 17. Talmud PJ. Nonfasting Apolipoprotein B and Triglyceride Levels as a Useful Predictor of Coronary Heart Disease Risk in Middle-Aged UK Men. Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology. 2002; 22(11):1918-1923.
- Boccalandro F, Farias J, Boccalandro C, Vaisman D. Frequency of postprandial lipemia after a first acute coronary event (unstable angina pectoris or non-ST-segment elevation acute myocardial infarction) and the effects of atenolol on the lipemia. Am J Cardiol. 2002; 90(2):153-6.
- Assmann G, Cullen P, Schulta H. The Munster Heart Study (PROCAM) - results of follow up at 8 years. Eur Heart J 1998; 19: A2-A11.
- 20. Cullen P. Evidence that triglycerides are independent coronary heart disease risk factors. Am J Cardiol 2000; 86: 943-9.
- 21. Castelli WP. The triglyceride issue: a view from Framingham. Am Heart J 1986; 112: 432-7. 81.
- 22. Plotnick GD, Corretti MC, Vogel RA. Effect of antioxidant vitamins on the transient impairment of endothelium dependent brachial artery vasoactivity following a single high fat meal. J Am Med Assoc 1997; 278: 1682-6.
- 23. Kugiyama K, Doi H, Motoyama T, *et al.*: Association of remnant lipoprotein levels with impairment of endothelium dependent vasomotor function in human coronary arteries. Circulation 1998; 97: 2519-26.

How to cite this article:

Ayyappan S, Kalyananibehra A and Ilanchezhian T: Comparison of post prandial lipid profile at an interval of 2 hours and 4 hours in patients of coronary heart disease. Int J Pharm Sci Res 2017; 8(4): 1846-49.doi: 10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.8(4).1846-49.

All © 2013 are reserved by International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research. This Journal licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License.

This article can be downloaded to **ANDROID OS** based mobile. Scan QR Code using Code/Bar Scanner from your mobile. (Scanners are available on Google Playstore)