



Received on 07 March, 2016; received in revised form, 14 June, 2016; accepted, 08 July, 2016; published 01 August, 2016

DESIGN, SYNTHESIS AND ANTICANCER ACTIVITY OF 9-SUBSTITUTED CARBAZOLE DERIVATIVES

Nitin Kumar* and Devender Pathak

Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Rajiv Academy for Pharmacy, Mathura, Uttar Pradesh - 281001, India

Keywords:

Molecular docking; Carbazole;
Breast Cancer Cell Line;
Sulphorhodamine B Assay;
Topoisomerase-I

Correspondence to Author:

Nitin Kumar

Assistant Professor
Department of Pharmaceutical
Chemistry, Rajiv Academy for
Pharmacy, Delhi-Mathura Highway,
P.O. Chhatikara, Mathura, Uttar
Pradesh -281001, India


Email: mittalnitin_06@yahoo.co.in

ABSTRACT: Numerous carbazole derivatives were designed by the Chemskech software followed by 3D optimization. Docking studies were performed using AUTODOCK 4.2.6 software to check their binding interactions with eukaryotic topoisomerase-I, based on the crystal structure of Human Topoisomerase-I-DNA complex (PDB ID: 1A35). Results of docking studies of designed carbazole derivatives were compared on the basis of their minimum binding energy with a well known topoisomerase-I inhibitor i.e. Adriamycin,. Above results were used to find out active compounds and two series of such active compounds i.e. 2-[(4, 5-dihydro-2-substitutedphenyl)imidazol-1-ylamino]-1-(9*H*-carbazol-9-yl)ethanone (3a-3e) and 2-(9*H*-carbazol-9-yl)-*N*'-[(4-substitutedphenyl)(piperazin-1-yl)] methyl] aceto hydrazide (6a-6e) were synthesized. All the synthesized compounds were characterized by IR, ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, MASS spectrometry and elemental analysis and also screened for their *in vitro* anticancer activity against human breast cancer cell line (MCF 7) by sulphorodamine B (SRB) assay method. GI₅₀ was measured by using 10, 20, 40 and 80 µg/ml concentrations of tested compounds along with the standard i.e. Adriamycin. Results revealed that the tested compounds 3a, 3d, 6c, 6d and 6e were comparable to Adriamycin having GI₅₀<10µg/ml. Compound 3a and 6c were found to be most active among all the tested compounds.

INTRODUCTION: Cancer cells are different from the normal cells in a number of biochemical processes, mainly during the control of cell growth and division. These cells have high proliferative index as compare to normal cells. Therefore targeting of proliferative pathway is considered as effective strategy for cell death via apoptosis or prevention of cell division via cell cycle arrest for combating the disease.

A substantial number of new anti-neoplastic agents have been discovered. Even though major findings have been observed in the chemotherapeutic management of cancer patients but a laborious task is still considered necessary for the discovery of new clinically important anticancer agents. Due to the resistance and toxicity drawbacks of traditional cytotoxic treatments the combined anticancer therapies or multi acting drugs are clinically preferred., A more active and selective chemotherapeutic agent is therefore still needed for promising anticancer approach¹⁻³.

Topoisomerases are the enzymes involved in a number of cellular processes, such as transcription, replication and recombination. The importance of their role in cellular processes can be understood by the fact that they are the target of numerous

QUICK RESPONSE CODE 	DOI: 10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.7(8).3291-98
Article can be accessed online on: www.ijpsr.com	
DOI link: http://dx.doi.org/10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.7(8).3291-98	

anticancer agents. They are classified into two types: type I and type II. Topoisomerase which are capable of changing the topology of DNA via transient breaks on one or two of the DNA strands to allow passage of either a single or double DNA strand through the break followed by relegation. There are certain carbazole derivatives such as Strausporine, Adriamycine etc. which inhibit the topoisomerase I significantly and exhibit anticancer activity⁴⁻⁸.

The synthesis of various hetero annulated carbazole derivatives has attracted substantial attention in recent years because there are several naturally occurring compounds that have similar structural frameworks, displaying a wide range of biological activities such as antioxidant, antidiabetic, antimicrobial, anticancer, antitubercular, antipsychotic and anticonvulsant activity⁹⁻¹⁷. It has been noticed that introduction of additional heterocyclic rings to the carbazole core tends to exert profound influence in increasing the anticancer activity. We recently investigated and reported the discovery of various carbazole derivatives having potent anticancer properties¹⁸⁻²⁰. With this in mind, we envisaged the design and synthesis of a combination of carbazole with heterocyclic moiety like piperazine and imidazole to obtain therapeutically active anticancer agents.

MATERIAL AND METHODS:

Docking studies:

Experimentally around 500 structures based on carbazole moiety were drawn and 3D optimized by Chem Sketch software and docked with eukaryotic topoisomerase-I by using AUTODOCK 4.2.6 software in order to obtain the basic protein-ligand interactions. The ligands having conformational stability and structural diversity were docked with the crystal structure of Human Topoisomerase-I-DNA complex (PDB ID: 1A35). Carbazole derivative docks perpendicular to the DNA backbone, projects outward from the major groove and makes a network of potential hydrogen bonds with the active site of topoisomerase I residues. Active site was involved in hydrogen bonding with nitrogen atom of carbazole derivatives and gives rise to the inhibitory activity. Results of docking studies of heterocyclic compounds were compared with the standard drug i.e. Adriamycin, a well

known topoisomerase-I inhibitor (Baily *et al.*, 1999; Anizon., 1997), on the basis of their minimum binding energy. Above results were used to separate active compounds from inactive ones. Among the docked molecules 20 compounds were found to be close to minimum binding energy of the standard drug (-7.25 Kcal/mol).

Chemistry:

The purity of all the newly synthesized compounds were checked by TLC on pre-coated silica gel aluminum sheets (Type 60 GF₂₅₄, Merck) and the spots were detected by exposure to iodine vapors and UV-lamp at λ 254 nm. The melting points were determined in open capillary tubes and were uncorrected.

The infrared (FT-IR) spectra were recorded on 470-Shimadzu infrared spectrophotometer using the KBr disc prepared by pressed pellet technique and ν_{\max} are expressed in cm^{-1} . NMR spectra were measured in DMSO-*d*₆ as solvent at 300 MHz (¹H NMR) and 75 MHz (¹³C NMR) on a BRUKER AVANCE-300 spectrometer using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard. Chemical shifts (δ) are given in parts per million (ppm) and Coupling constants (*J*) are given in Hertz (Hz). Spin multiplicities are given as s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet) and m (multiplet). Mass spectra were obtained on Shimadzu 2010A LC-MS spectrometer. Elemental analysis was carried on Elemental Vario EL III Carlo Erba 1108 and the values were within $\pm 0.04\%$ of the theoretical values.

Synthesis of compound 1-2 and 4-5:

Compounds **1-2** and **4-5** were synthesized by following the scheme as given in literature¹⁹⁻²⁰.

General procedure for the synthesis of 3a-3e:

To a solution of compound **2** (0.0027 mol) in 30 ml of ethanol:dioxane (9:1 v/v) in an iodine flask, glyoxal (0.0027 mol), ammonium acetate (0.0027 mol) and substituted benzaldehyde (0.0027 mol) (**13a-e**) were added and refluxed. The completion of the reaction was monitored by TLC using 5% chloroform in benzene. The solvent was evaporated by using rotary evaporator and the crude product was recrystallized from ethanol to give compound **3a-3e**.

2-[2-{4-(dimethylamino) phenyl} - 4, 5-dihydro imidazol - 1- ylamino] - 1 - (9H-carbazol - 9-yl) ethanone 3a: Yield 65%; White crystals; IR (KBr, ν , cm^{-1}): 3450 (N-H), 3025 (C-H, Aromatic), 2869 (C-H, Aliphatic), 1672 (C=O), 1640 (C=C), 1340 (C-N), 840 (C-H, Ar-def., *p*-disubstituted); ^1H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ_{ppm} : 7.55 (dd, $J = 8.7, 2.7$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.42 (dd, $J = 8.4, 2.4$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.38 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.21 (dt, 2H, Ar-H), 7.15 (dt, 2H, Ar-H), 6.67 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 3.79 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2H, CH_2), 3.72 (s, 2H, CH_2), 3.20 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2H, CH_2), 2.81 (s, 6H, CH_3), 2.52 (s, 1H, NH, D_2O exchangeable); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ_{ppm} : 198.21, 163.45, 152.11, 130.12, 130.24, 122.62, 122.34, 120.24, 119.52, 118.43, 115.11, 111.42, 50.34, 46.42, 42.12, 39.91; EIMS (m/z): $[\text{M}]^+$ 411.21 $[\text{M}+1]^+$ 412.42; Fragments: 291.33, 233.56, 166.15, 120.89, 114.78. Anal. Calcd. For $\text{C}_{25}\text{H}_{25}\text{N}_5\text{O}$: C, 72.94; H, 6.10; N, 17.01. Found: C, 72.97; H, 6.12; N, 17.02.

2-[4,5-dihydro-2-(3-nitrophenyl) imidazol - 1- yl amino]-1-(9H-carbazol-9-yl)ethanone (3b): Yield 69%, Brown amorphous solid; IR (KBr, ν , cm^{-1}): 3444 (N-H), 3051 (C-H, Aromatic), 2872 (C-H, Aliphatic), 1682 (C=O), 1658 (C=N), 1547 (N-O, asymmetric), 1350 (N-O, symmetric), 748 (C-H, def., *m*-disubstituted); ^1H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ_{ppm} : 7.62-8.45 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.57 (dd, $J = 8.7, 2.7$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.44 (dd, $J = 8.4, 2.4$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.25 (dt, 2H, Ar-H), 7.19 (dt, 2H, Ar-H), 3.81 (s, 2H, CH_2), 3.75 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2H, CH_2), 3.24 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2H, CH_2), 2.57 (s, 1H, NH, D_2O exchangeable); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ_{ppm} : 198.25, 163.47, 147.83, 135.12, 133.64, 130.26, 129.83, 124.42, 123.61, 120.34, 119.61, 118.61, 111.43, 50.38, 46.49, 42.14; EIMS (m/z): $[\text{M}]^+$ 413.15, $[\text{M}+1]^+$ 414.18; Fragments: 291.18, 233.32, 166.38, 120.02, 114.16; Anal. Calcd. For $\text{C}_{23}\text{H}_{19}\text{N}_5\text{O}_3$: C, 66.80; H, 4.62; N, 16.93. Found: C, 66.82; H, 4.63; N 16.94.

2-[4,5-dihydro-2-(3-methoxyphenyl) imidazol -1- yl amino]-1-(9H-carbazol-9-yl)ethanone(3c): Yield 72%; Yellow amorphous solid; IR (KBr, ν , cm^{-1}): 3421 (N-H), 3050 (C-H, Aromatic), 2960 (C-H, Aliphatic), 1696 (C=O), 1660 (C=N), 1485 (C-N), 1250 (C-O-C, asymmetric), 1069 (C-O-C, symmetric), 840 (C-H, def., *m*-disubstituted); ^1H

NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ_{ppm} : 7.54 (dd, $J = 8.7, 2.7$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.42 (dd, $J = 8.4, 2.4$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.23 (dt, 2H, Ar-H), 7.17 (dt, 2H, Ar-H), 6.75-7.14 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 3.78 (s, 2H, CH_2), 3.75 (s, 3H, OCH_3), 3.72 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2H, CH_2), 3.22 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2H, CH_2), 2.54 (s, 1H, NH, D_2O exchangeable); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ_{ppm} : 198.24, 163.46, 161.12, 134.24, 130.28, 129.81, 122.37, 121.56, 119.54, 118.42, 116.81, 113.71, 111.46, 56.12, 50.38, 46.45, 42.16; EIMS (m/z): $[\text{M}]^+$ 398.17, $[\text{M}+1]^+$ 399.52; Fragments: 290.88, 233.68, 166.24, 114.03, 107.05; Anal. Calcd. For $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{22}\text{N}_4\text{O}_2$: C, 72.31; H, 5.58; N, 14.08. Found: C, 72.340; H, 5.57; N, 14.06.

2-[4,5-dihydro-2-(2-methoxyphenyl) imidazol-1-yl amino]-1-(9H-carbazol-9-yl) ethanone(3d): Yield 71%; White crystal; IR (KBr, ν , cm^{-1}): 3428 (N-H), 3026 (C-H, Aromatic), 2960 (C-H, Aliphatic), 1678 (C=O), 1590 (C=N), 1558 (C-N), 1308 (C-O-C, asymmetric), 1145 (C-O-C, symmetric), 772 (C-H, def., *o*-disubstituted); ^1H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ_{ppm} : 7.53 (dd, $J = 8.7, 2.7$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.39 (dd, $J = 8.4, 2.4$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.21 (dt, 2H, Ar-H), 7.17 (dt, 2H, Ar-H), 6.80-7.14 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 3.76 (s, 2H, CH_2), 3.73 (s, 3H, OCH_3), 3.71 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2H, CH_2), 3.21 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2H, CH_2), 2.52 (s, 1H, NH, D_2O exchangeable); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ_{ppm} : 198.25, 163.44, 161.14, 132.42, 130.26, 122.38, 121.41, 120.28, 119.55, 118.46, 114.74, 111.43, 56.15, 50.42, 46.48, 42.17; EIMS (m/z): $[\text{M}]^+$ 398.46 $[\text{M}+1]^+$ 399.48 Fragments: 291.58, 233.65, 166.03, 114.56, 107.68, 69.04; Anal. Calcd. For $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{22}\text{N}_4\text{O}_2$: C, 72.31; H, 5.58; N, 14.08. Found: C, 72.33; H, 5.56; N, 14.06.

2-[2-(4-chlorophenyl)-4,5-dihydroimidazol - 1- yl amino]-1-(9H-carbazol-9-yl)ethanone (3e): Yield 59%; Yellow crystal; IR (KBr, ν , cm^{-1}): 3488 (N-H), 3058 (C-H, aromatic), 2886 (C-H, aliphatic), 1679 (C=O), 1601 (C=N), 779 (C-Cl), 708 (840 (C-H, def., *p*-disubstituted); ^1H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ_{ppm} : 7.58 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.54 (dd, $J = 8.4, 2.4$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.40 (dd, $J = 8.7, 2.7$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.20 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.18 (dt, 2H, Ar-H), 7.12 (dt, 2H, Ar-H), 3.77 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2H, CH_2), 3.72 (s, 2H, CH_2), 3.21 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2H, CH_2), 2.53 (s, 1H, NH, D_2O exchangeable); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz,

DMSO- d_6) δ_{ppm} : 198.22, 163.43, 137.10, 131.43, 130.68, 129.24, 122.36, 120.26, 119.54, 118.46, 111.44, 50.37, 46.46, 42.17; EIMS (m/z): [M]⁺ 402.88, [M+1]⁺ 403.25, [M+2]⁺ 404.63; Fragments: 290.89, 234.01, 166.03, 114.86, 111.00, 69.03; Anal. Calcd. For C₂₃H₁₉ClN₄O; C, 68.57; H, 4.75; N, 13.91. Found: C, 68.55; H, 4.73; N, 13.90.

General procedure for the synthesis of 6a-6e:

To a mixture of 2-(9H-carbazol-9-yl) acetohydrazide (5) (0.01 mol) and substituted benzaldehyde (0.01 mol) (16a-e) in 50 ml ethanol, piperazine (0.02 mol) and hydrochloric acid (1 ml) were added in RBF. The resulting mixture was refluxed for 9-11 h. The content was poured into ice-cold water. The solid product thus obtained was filtered, washed with water and recrystallized from ethanol to give compound 6a-6e.

2-(9H-carbazol-9-yl) - N' - [(4 - chlorophenyl) (piperazin - 1- yl)] methyl} acetohydrazide (6a):

Yield 62%; White crystals; IR (KBr, ν , cm⁻¹): 3425 (N-H 2° amine), 3386 (N-H 2° amide), 3089 (C-H, aromatic), 2887 (C-H, aliphatic), 1675 (C=O), 1598 (C=C), 1343 (C-N), 1064 (C-Cl), 838 (C-H, def., *p*-disubstituted); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ_{ppm} : 8.12 (s, 1H, NH, D₂O exchangeable), 7.42 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 2.4 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.32 (dd, *J* = 8.7, 2.4 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.20 (dt, 2H, Ar-H), 7.16 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.11 (dt, 2H, Ar-H), 7.06 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 5.21 (s, 1H, CH), 4.68 (s, 2H, CH₂), 3.84 (s, 1H, NH, D₂O exchangeable), 2.85 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 4H, CH₂), 2.62 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 4H, CH₂), 2.06 (s, 1H, NH, D₂O exchangeable); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ_{ppm} : 165.12, 136.56, 133.11, 130.45, 130.28, 129.12, 122.38, 120.28, 119.59, 118.45, 111.42, 77.82, 50.21, 47.12, 45.73; EIMS (m/z): [M]⁺ 447.97, [M+1]⁺ 448.85, [M+2]⁺ 449.55; Fragments: 412.21, 336.18, 238.19, 180.69, 116.22; Anal. Calcd. for C₂₅H₂₆N₅OCl: C, 67.03; H, 5.85; N, 15.63. Found: C, 67.01; H, 5.82; N, 15.61.

2-(9H-carbazol-9-yl) - N' - [(4 - nitrophenyl) (piperazin-1-yl)] methyl} acetohydrazide (6b):

Yield 64%; Yellow solid; IR (KBr, ν , cm⁻¹): 3452 (N-H 2° amine), 3338 (N-H 2° amide), 3078 (C-H, aromatic), 2894 (C-H, aliphatic), 1648 (C=O), 1506 (C=C, aromatic), 1547 (N-O, asymmetric), 1350

(N-O, symmetric), 1283 (C-N), 819 (C-H, def., *p*-disubstituted); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ_{ppm} : 8.18 (s, 1H, NH, D₂O exchangeable), 8.09 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.44 (dd, *J* = 8.7, 2.4 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.38 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.34 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 2.4 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.24 (dt, 2H, Ar-H), 7.14 (dt, 2H, Ar-H), 5.25 (s, 1H, CH), 4.72 (s, 2H, CH₂), 3.91 (s, 1H, NH, D₂O exchangeable), 2.92 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 4H, CH₂), 2.65 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 4H, CH₂), 2.12 (s, 1H, NH, D₂O exchangeable); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ_{ppm} : 165.24, 147.54, 145.12, 130.48, 129.94, 122.42, 120.92, 120.32, 119.65, 118.49, 111.47, 77.92, 50.27, 47.19, 45.81; EIMS (m/z): [M]⁺ 458.29, [M+1]⁺ 459.35; Fragments: 412.22, 336.04, 238.28, 180.24, 116.66; Anal. Calcd. for C₂₅H₂₆N₆O₃: C, 65.49; H, 5.72; N, 18.33. Found: C, 65.46; H, 5.71; N, 18.31.

2-(9H-carbazol-9-yl) - N' - [(4-hydroxyphenyl) (piperazin-1-yl)]methyl} acetohydrazid(6c):

Yield 68%; White solid; IR (KBr, ν , cm⁻¹): 3665 (O-H), 3492 (N-H 2° amine), 3339 (N-H 2° amide), 3062 (C-H, aromatic), 2839 (C-H, aliphatic), 1632 (C=O), 1517 (C=C, aromatic), 1319 (C-N), 813 (C-H, def., *p*-disubstituted); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ_{ppm} : 8.11 (s, 1H, NH, D₂O exchangeable), 7.40 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 2.1 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.31 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 2.4 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.18 (dt, 2H, Ar-H), 7.08 (dt, 2H, Ar-H), 6.92 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.65 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 5.22 (s, 1H, CH), 4.87 (s, 1H, OH, D₂O exchangeable), 4.65 (s, 2H, CH₂), 3.82 (s, 1H, NH, D₂O exchangeable), 2.82 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 4H, CH₂), 2.59 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 4H, CH₂), 2.09 (s, 1H, NH, D₂O exchangeable); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ_{ppm} : 165.11, 156.82, 131.12, 130.42, 130.23, 122.35, 120.22, 119.54, 118.41, 115.82, 111.38, 77.79, 50.19, 47.10, 45.71; EIMS (m/z): [M]⁺ 429.53, [M+1]⁺ 448.85; Fragments: 412.21, 336.81, 238.86, 180.25, 116.69; Anal. Calcd. for C₂₅H₂₇N₅O₂: C, 69.91; H, 6.34; N, 16.30. Found: C, 69.89; H, 6.33; N, 16.29.

2-(9H-carbazol-9-yl) - N' - [(4 - methoxyphenyl) (piperazin-1-yl)]methyl} acetohydrazide(6d):

Yield 68%; Brown crystals; IR (KBr, ν , cm⁻¹): 3487 (N-H 2° amine), 3342 (N-H 2° amide), 3087 (C-H, aromatic), 2925 (C-H, aliphatic), 1650 (C=O), 1593 (C=C, aromatic), 1325 (C-N), 1248 (C-O-C, asymmetric), 1080 (C-O-C, symmetric), 806 (C-H,

def., *p*-disubstituted); ^1H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ_{ppm} : 8.12 (s, 1H, NH, D₂O exchangeable), 7.41 (dd, $J = 8.1, 2.4$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.32 (dd, $J = 8.4, 2.4$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.18 (dt, 2H, Ar-H), 7.09 (dt, 2H, Ar-H), 6.98 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.70 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 5.24 (s, 1H, CH), 4.68 (s, 2H, CH₂), 3.86 (s, 1H, NH, D₂O exchangeable), 3.76 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.84 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 4H, CH₂), 2.62 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 4H, CH₂), 2.11 (s, 1H, NH, D₂O exchangeable); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ_{ppm} : 165.13, 159.42, 130.72, 129.82, 122.38, 120.25, 119.56, 118.43, 114.34, 111.39, 77.81, 56.22, 50.21, 47.14, 45.73; EIMS (m/z): [M]⁺ 443.46, [M+1]⁺ 444.35; Fragments: 412.82, 336.52, 238.65, 180.79, 116.69; Anal. Calcd. for C₂₆H₂₉N₅O₂: C, 70.41; H, 6.59; N, 15.79. Found: C, 70.40; H, 6.56; N, 15.76.

2-(9H-carbazol-9-yl) - N' - [3-(3-methoxyphenyl)(piperazin-1-yl)methyl]acetohydrazide(6e):

Yield 69%; Light brown crystals; IR (KBr, ν , cm⁻¹): 3386 (N-H 2°amine), 3323 (N-H 2°amide), 3054 (C-H, aromatic), 2983 (C-H, aliphatic), 1649 (C=O), 1573 (C=C, aromatic), 1315 (C-N), 1239 (C-O-C, asymmetric), 1074 (C-O-C, symmetric), 832 (C-H, def., *m*-disubstituted); ^1H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ_{ppm} : 8.13 (s, 1H, NH, D₂O exchangeable), 7.40 (dd, $J = 8.4, 2.1$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.31 (dd, $J = 8.4, 2.4$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.17 (dt, 2H, Ar-H), 7.09 (dt, 2H, Ar-H) 6.68-7.04 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 5.25 (s, 1H, CH), 4.67 (s, 2H, CH₂), 3.87 (s, 1H, NH, D₂O exchangeable), 3.77 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.85 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 4H, CH₂), 2.63 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 4H, CH₂), 2.13 (s, 1H, NH, D₂O exchangeable); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ_{ppm} : 165.14, 160.56, 139.43, 130.42, 129.62, 122.39, 121.62, 120.24, 119.54, 118.45, 113.21, 111.41, 77.81, 56.23, 50.22, 47.15, 45.75; EIMS (m/z): [M]⁺ 443.55, [M+1]⁺ 444.96; Fragments: 412.25, 336.78, 238.19, 180.82, 116.35; Anal. Calcd. for C₂₆H₂₉N₅O₂: C, 70.41; H, 6.59; N, 15.79. Found: C, 70.39; H, 6.55; N, 15.74.

Anticancer activity:

Cell culture:

The cell lines were grown in RPMI1640 medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum and 2 mmol L-glutamine. In this screening experiment, cells were inoculated into 96 well micro titer plates in 100 μL at plating densities as shown in the study details,

depending on the doubling time of individual cell lines. After cell inoculation, the microtiter plates were incubated at 37° C, 5 % CO₂, 95 % air and 100 % relative humidity for 24 h prior to addition of experimental drugs.

Antitumor activity:

After 24 h one 96 well plate containing 5×10^3 cells/well was fixed *in situ* with trichloro acetic acid (TCA), to represent a measurement of the cell population at the time of drug addiction (Tz). Experimental drugs were initially solubilized in dimethyl sulfoxide at 100mg/ml and diluted to 1mg/ml using water were frozen and store prior to use. At the time of drug addition, an aliquot of frozen concentrate (1mg/ml) was thawed and diluted to 100 $\mu\text{g/ml}$, 200 $\mu\text{g/ml}$, 400 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ and 800 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ with complete medium containing test article. Aliquot of 10 μL of these different drug dilutions were added to the appropriate micro -titer wells already containing 90 μL of medium, resulting in the required final drug concentrations i.e. 10, 20, 40, 80 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$. After compound addition, plates were incubated at standard conditions for 48 h and assay was terminated by the addition of cold TCA. Cells were fixed *in situ* by the gentle addition of 50 μL of cold 30 % (w/v) TCA (final concentration, 10 % TCA) and incubated for 60 minutes at 4°C.

The supernatant liquid was discarded; the plates were washed five times with tap water and air dried. Sulforhodamine B (SRB) solution (50 μL) at 0.4 % (w/v) in 1 % acetic acid was added to every well, and plates were incubated for 20 minutes at room temperature. After staining, unbound dye was recovered and the residual dye was removed by washing five times with 1 % acetic acid, the plates were air dried. Bound stain was subsequently eluted with 10 mmol Tris base (TrizmaTM), and the absorbance was read on a plate reader at a wavelength of 540 nm with 690 nm reference wavelength. Percentage growth was expressed as the ratio of average absorbance of the test well to the average absorbance of the control wells $\times 100$. Percentage control growth was measured and a graph was plotted to calculate the GI₅₀ value for each compound and was compared with reference drug Adriamycin.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

On the basis of docking results two series of such active compounds i.e. 2-[(4, 5-dihydro-2-substitutedphenyl) imidazol - 1 - yl amino] - 1 - (9H-carbazol-9-yl) ethanone (**3a-3e**) and 2-(9H-carbazol-9-yl) - N' - {[4-substitutedphenyl) (piperazin-1-yl)] methyl} acetohydrazide (**6a-6e**) were synthesized and identified by spectroscopic studies. The titled compounds were synthesized through multistep synthetic route as shown in Scheme 1. As mentioned in scheme 1 carbazole on reaction with chloroacetyl chloride afforded N⁹-(chloroacetyl)-carbazole (**1**) which on treatment with hydrazine has yielded N⁹-(hydrazinoacetyl)-carbazole (**2**), Condensation of (**2**) with various derivatives of benzaldehyde with glyoxal in the presence of ammonium acetate yielded 2-[(4,5-dihydro-2-substitutedphenyl)imidazol-1 - yl amino]-1-(9H-carbazol-9-yl)ethanone (**3a-3j**).

In another way series of carbazole derivatives 2-(9H-carbazol- 9 - yl) - N' - {[4-substitutedphenyl) (piperazin-1-yl)] methyl} acetohydrazide (**6a-6e**) was synthesized by Mannich reaction. Wherein, carbazole on treatment with ethylchloroacetate yielded 2-(9H-carbazole-9-yl) acetate (**4**) which on further reaction with hydrazine hydrate produced 2-(9Hcarbazole-9-yl) acetohydrazide (**5**).

Finally, Refluxing compound **5** with various aryl - aldehydes and piperazine in the presence of acid afforded compounds 2-(9H-carbazol-9-yl)- N'-(substitutedphenyl) (piperazin-1- yl) methyl) aceto hydrazide (**6a-6e**). All the synthesized compounds were analyzed by the TLC, melting point (as given in **Table 1**) and characterized by spectroscopic studies.

Characteristic peaks were observed in FTIR, ¹H-NMR, ¹³C-NMR and MASS spectrometry. In the FTIR spectra of compounds (**3a-3e**), derivatives have a strong, characteristic peak in the region 1680-1640 cm⁻¹ due to the amide C=O stretching vibration. The N-H stretching vibration band is observed in the region 3400-3300 cm⁻¹. In another series of derivatives (**6a-6e**) a characteristic peak was observed at 1690-1650 cm⁻¹ due to amide (C=O) stretching vibrations. The ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR spectral data were also consistent with the assigned structures. In the mass spectra of all

compounds (**3a-3e**) and (**6a-6e**), the [M+1]⁺ peak was observed. All compounds gave satisfactory elemental analysis.

Biological Activity:**Anticancer Activity:**

All the newly synthesized compounds were also screened for their *in vitro* anticancer activity against MCF 7 (Human breast cancer cell line) by SRB (Sulphorodamine B) assay method ²¹ by taking Adriamycin as standard. A nondestructive and indefinitely stable colorimetric end point was observed in SRB assay method. Hence, it is an appropriate and sensitive assay to measure percentage growth inhibition. Percentage control growth was measured at four different concentrations (10, 20, 40 and 80 µg/ml) and compared with the standard. GI₅₀ was calculated for each compound and compared with standard. Results for anticancer activity are shown in **Table 2**. Results revealed that the tested compounds 3a, 3d, 6c, 6d and 6e are comparable to the reference drug Adriamycin with the value of GI₅₀<10µg/ml.

Compound 3a and 6c having dimethylamino and hydroxyl group as substituent at para position respectively showed highest activity. Electron donating property of above mentioned group increases the electron density of parent molecule which rationally increases the potency of compound towards anticancer activity. Besides, combination of piperazine or imidazole moiety with carbazole increases the therapeutic value for the same.

TABLE 1: PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF THE SYNTHESIZED COMPOUNDS (3a-3e) and (6a-6e)


Compound code	R	R _f value	m.p (°C)
3a	4-N(CH ₃) ₂	0.73*	236-237
3b	3-NO ₂	0.62*	208-209
3c	3-OCH ₃	0.69*	184-185
3d	2-OCH ₃	0.75*	221-222
3e	4-Cl	0.59*	202-203
6a	4-Cl	0.63*	272-273
6b	4-NO ₂	0.65 [#]	279-280
6c	4-OH	0.68 [#]	260-261
6d	4-OCH ₃	0.70 [#]	264-265
6e	3-OCH ₃	0.72 [#]	267-268

*Solvent system:- Benzene: Chloroform: Methanol (4:3:2);

[#]Solvent System:- Chloroform: Methanol (8:2)

TABLE 2: RESULTS OF ANTICANCER ACTIVITY FOR COMPOUNDS (3a-3e) and (6a-6e)

Compound no.	Human Breast Cancer Cell Line MCF7				GI ₅₀ Value (µg/mL)
	%Control Growth				
	Drug Concentrations (µg/mL)				
	10	20	40	80	
3a	10.8	2.6	-15.6	-30.4	<10
3b	58.5	45.3	38.2	30.8	16.4
3c	52.4	40.9	34.7	29.2	12.1
3d	43.7	25.6	10.0	2.8	<10
3e	59.6	41.5	30.2	22.6	15.3
6a	59.2	30.5	27.3	10.6	13.2
6b	60.2	43.9	16.8	9.6	16.2
6c	11.2	1.3	-15.6	-26.2	<10
6d	25.8	10.5	-10.6	-15.9	<10
6e	25.7	2.5	-14.6	-25.2	<10
Adriamycin (Std.)	0.3	-10.7	-33.6	-59.7	<10



13a-e: (13a) 4-dimethylamino; (13b) 3-nitro; (13c) 3-methoxy; (13d) 2-methoxy; (13e) 4-chloro;
16a-e: (16a) 4-chloro; (16b) 4-nitro; (16c) 4-dihydroxy; (16d) 4-methoxy; (16e) 3-methoxybenzaldehyde

SCHEME 1: SYNTHESIS OF COMPOUNDS (3a-3e) AND (6a-6e) REAGENTS: (a) ClCOCH₂Cl; (b, f) NH₂NH₂; (c) NH₄Ac; (d) GLYOXAL; (e) ClCH₂COOC₂H₅; (g) PIPERAZINE

CONCLUSION: In conclusion, we have developed topoisomerase-I inhibitors by the combination of heterocycles like piperazine and imidazole with carbazole moiety. Hydrogen attached with nitrogen atom was found to be involved in the formation of hydrogen bond with active site of the target i.e. GLN397 of

topoisomerase I. The presence of an electron releasing group on the benzene ring also increases the potency. Potency of the newly synthesized compounds was determined on the basis of their GI₅₀ value and percentage control growth. Study stated that carbazole in combination with other heterocycles might be used as a lead for finding of the potent anticancer agents. Substitution at 9th position also increases the therapeutic value of carbazole toward the treatment of cancer.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: Authors would like to thank management of Rajiv Academy for Pharmacy, Mathura for providing research facilities. Research is also supported by Tata Memorial Research Center, Navi Mumbai by performing anticancer activity. IIT, Delhi is acknowledged for providing the spectral data of the synthesized compounds.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST: None of the author has any conflict of interest in the context of this work.

REFERENCES:

- Chandrappa S, Kavitha CV, Shahabuddin MS, Vinya K, Ananda CS, Ranganatha SR, Raghavan SC, Rangappa KS: Synthesis of 2-(5-((5-(4-chlorophenyl)furan-2-yl)methylene)-4-oxo-2-thioxo-thiazolidin-3-yl)acetic acid derivatives and evaluation of their cytotoxicity and induction of apoptosis in human leukemia cells. *Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry* 2009; 17: 2576-2584.
- Ibrahim MED, Said MB, Magda AES, Alaa AMA: Synthesis and antitumor evaluation of novel cyclic arylsulfonylureas: ADME-T and pharmacophore prediction. *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* 2010; 45: 2516-2530.

3. Laronze M, Boisbrun M, Leonce S, Pfeiffer B, Renard P, Lozach O, Merjer L, Lansiaux A, Bailly C, Sapi J, Laronze JY: Synthesis and anticancer activity of new pyrrolo-carbazoles and pyrrolo- β -carbolines. *Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry* 2005; 13: 2263-2283.
4. Bugreev DV, Nevinsky GA: Structure and Mechanism of Action of Type IA DNA Topoisomerases. *Biochemistry (Moscow)* 2009; 74: 1467-1481.
5. Baker NM, Rajan R, Mondragon A: Structural studies of type I topoisomerases. *Nucleic Acids Research* 2009; 37: 693-701.
6. Bailly C, Qu X, Anizon F, Prudhomme M, Riou J, Chaires JB: Enhanced Binding to DNA and Topoisomerase I Inhibition by an Analog of the Antitumor Antibiotic Rebeccamycin Containing an Amino Sugar Residue. *Molecular Pharmacology* 1999; 55: 377-385.
7. Mal D, Senapati BK, Pahari P: Anionic [4+2] cycloaddition strategy in the regioselective synthesis of carbazoles formal synthesis of ellipticine and murrayaquinone A. *Tetrahedron* 2007; 63: 3768-3774.
8. Gaddam V, Nagarajan R: A one-pot synthesis approach to the functionalized isomeric ellipticine derivatives through and imino Diels-Alder reaction. *Tetrahedron Letters* 2009; 50: 1243-1248.
9. Indumathi T, Ahamed VS, Moon SS, Fronczek FR, Prasad KJR: *L*-Proline anchored multicomponent synthesis of novel pyrido [2,3-*a*] carbazoles investigation of *in vitro* antimicrobial, antioxidant, cytotoxicity and structure activity relationship studies. *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* 2011; 46: 5580-5590.
10. Balamani J, Velmurugan R, Dhandapani M, Sekar M: Synthesis and antimicrobial activity of substituted 1-oxotetrahydrocarbazoles and benzo [1,2-*b*] carbazole. *Indian Journal of Heterocyclic Chemistry* 2010; 20: 69-72.
11. Kumar BD, Mitra A, Mahadevappa M: Antidiabetic and hypolipidemic effects of Mahanimbine (carbazole alkaloid) from *Murraya koenigii* (Rutaceae) leaves. *International Journal of Phytomedicine* 2010; 2: 22-30.
12. Thongthoom T, Promsuwan P, Yenjai C: Synthesis and cytotoxic activity of the heptaphylline and 7-methoxyheptaphylline series. *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* 2011; 46: 3755-3761.
13. Guillonnet C, Nault A, Raimbaud E, Leonce S, Berther LK, Pierre A, and Goldstein S: Cytotoxic and antitumor properties in a series of new ring D modified, olivacine analogues. *Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry* 2005; 13: 175-184.
14. Taj T, Kamble RR, Gireesh TM, Hunnur RK, Margankop SB: One-pot synthesis of pyrazoline derivatised carbazoles as antitubercular, anticancer agents, their DNA cleavage and antioxidant activities. *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* 2011; 46: 4366-4373.
15. Dumitriu GM, Ghinet A, Belei D, Rigo B, Gautret P, Dubois J, Bicu E: Investigation of New Phenothiazine and Carbazole Derivatives as Potential Inhibitors of Human Farnesyltransferase. *Letters in Drug Design and Discovery* 2012; 12 (2): 85-92.
16. Zbancioc AMV, Zbancioc GN, Tanase C, Miron A, Ursu C, Mangalagiu II: Design, Synthesis and In Vitro Anticancer Activity of a new class of Bifunctional DNA Intercalators. *Letters in Drug Design and Discovery* 2007; 7(9): 644-649.
17. Kaur H, Kumar S, Vishwakarma P, Sharma M, Saxena KK, Kumar A: Synthesis, antipsychotic and anticonvulsant activity of some new substituted oxa/thiodiazolylazetidinyloxy/ thiazolidinonylcarbazoles. *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* 2010; 45: 2777-2783.
18. Kumar N, Sharma GK, Pathak D: Microwave Assisted and Parallel Synthesis of Novel Substituted Carbazole Derivatives of Biological Interest. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Chemistry and Research* 2013; 2: 273-281.
19. Kaushik K, Kumar N, Pathak D: Synthesis of Some Newer Carbazole Derivatives and Evaluation for Their Pharmacological Activity. *Der Pharmacia Sinica* 2012; 3: 470-478.
20. Sharma D, Kumar N, Pathak D: Synthesis, Characterization and Biological Evaluation of Some Newer Carbazoles. *Journal of Serbian Chemical Society* 2014; 79: 125-132.
21. Skehn P, Storeng R, Scudiero A, Monks J, McMohan D, Vistica D, Jonathan TW, Bokesch H: New colorimetric cytotoxicity assay for anticancer drug screening. *Journal of Natural Cancer Institute* 1990; 82: 1107-1112.

How to cite this article:

Kumar N and Pathak D: Design, Synthesis and Anticancer Activity of 9-Substituted Carbazole Derivatives. *Int J Pharm Sci Res* 2016; 7(8): 3291-98. doi: 10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.7(8).3291-98.

All © 2013 are reserved by International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research. This Journal licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License.

This article can be downloaded to **ANDROID OS** based mobile. Scan QR Code using Code/Bar Scanner from your mobile. (Scanners are available on Google Playstore)