IJPSR (2024), Volume 15, Issue 6



(Research Article)



Received on 01 December 2023; received in revised form, 01 January 2024; accepted, 05 April 2024; published 01 June 2024

ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE PATTERN IN A TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL: RETROSPECTIVE STUDY

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL

SEARCH

Hiren N. Hirapara^{*}, Divyesh B. Sondarva, Prakash P. Malam and Anurag K. Pipalava

Department of Pharmacology, GMERS Medical College, Junagadh - 362001, Gujarat, India.

Keywords:

Antibiotic, Antibiotic resistance pattern, Culture & sensitivity, *Kleibsella, E. coli*, Pseudomonas

Correspondence to Author: Dr. Hiren Narendrabhai Hirapara

Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacology, GMERS Medical College, Junagadh -362001, Gujarat, India.

E-mail: dr.hirenhirpara@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: Introduction: Antibiotics' efficacy is declining over a period of time because of haphazard use in humans and animals. Empirical use of antibiotics and reduction in the new drug development strategies by pharmaceutical industry can lead to antibiotic resistance crisis in near future. Hence, identifying the common causative organism and their resistance pattern in the geographical region would be helpful in choosing appropriate antibiotics for the diseases. Methods: This retrospective study is done at GMERS Medical College and hospital, Junagadh, Gujarat, India. Bacterial isolates and antibiotic susceptibility data from May 2021 to May 2023 were studied from culture and sensitivity register maintained by the microbiology department. Data were analyzed by descriptive statistics. Results: Among 43 positive samples 62.8 % were male and 37.2 % were female. Major samples were of sputum 39.53% and urine 32.56%. Organisms identified were Klebsiella 37.2%, E. coli 30.2%, Pseudomonas 16.3%, Acinetobacter 4.65%, S. aureus 9.3% and Enterococcus 2.3%. E. coli (69 %) were resistant to ampicillin/sulbactam and co-trimoxazole. Klebsiella (75%) were resistant to tetracyclin and ciprofloxacin. Pseudomonades (85.71 %) were resistant to tetracycline and chloramphenicol. Acinetobacter, S. aureus and enterococcus showed resistant to many antibiotics. Discussion: Different level of antibiotic resistance observed by gram negative and positive bacteria in present study can challenge us in future infection control. It can lead to limited treatment options, increased healthcare costs and patient morbidity & mortality. Thereby prudent use of antibiotic by using antibiotic sensitivity test is requirement for the current antibiotic stewardship programs.

INTRODUCTION: The discovery of antibiotics in the mid-twentieth century was one of the greatest discoveries in the history of medicine related to the reduction of morbidity and mortality of human and live-stock. Since then the fatal and severe bacterial infection outcomes saw a great shift and became easily treatable.



However, eventually bacterial strains started developing resistance to the antibiotics and there is the rise of emergence of antibiotic-resistant (ABR) strains. This results in reduction in the efficacy of antibacterial agents making the treatment difficult, costly or in worst scenario even impossible ¹.

It is guestimated that ABR infections may be responsible for about 700,000 deaths per year. If proactive actions are not taken against this, it is predicted that ABR infections may have a higher mortality rate that of cancer and become the common cause of death by the 2050². Empirical use of antibiotics as well as decline in the new drug

development by pharmaceutical industry due to reduced incentives and challenging regulatory requirements might lead to antibiotic resistance crisis in near future ³. World Health Organization (WHO) has been focusing on the emergence of hospital and community acquired resistant infections because of inappropriate and irrational uses of antibiotics in humans and animals for therapeutic and non-therapeutic uses ⁴. A study conducted in India also evidenced the same by evaluating the samples of cow dung and drinking water showing the presence of MDR E. coli⁵. Geographical variation in antimicrobial sensitivity has been among different bacteria in different region of India. North India studies showed Vibrio cholerae being resistant to furazolidone, cotrimoxazole and nalidixic acid but sensitive to tetracycline around Delhi, but the resistance was noted against tetracycline in other parts 6 .

The national scenario of AMR is less known in India because of the unavailability of central monitoring agency. In outlook of this certainty and because of the geographical and time based disparity in antibiotic sensitivity pattern reported by many studies, our study was undertaken. Our study aim is to determine the prevalence pathogens causing community acquired infections medical college hospital in saurashtra region of Gujarat and the antibiotic resistance pattern to provide a database for reference. So, we designed the current study of retrospective analysis of commonly prevalent pathogens and their resistance pattern during previous 3 years in patients in a tertiary care hospital. Increase awareness and reporting for these findings will help in preventing the immerged strains from spreading in the community. Our aim was to identify the microorganisms commonly causing the community acquired infection in the tertiary care hospital and to find their antibiotic resistance pattern and to provide the effective antibiotic database for the reference to use in such patients in future.

MATERIAL AND METHODS: Present study was done at GMERS Medical College and hospital, Junagadh, Gujarat, India after due approval from the Institutional Ethics Committee (IEC), of our institution (Approval number:- IEC/12/2023, date: 21/07/2023). We included in-patient as well as outpatient data of urine, pus, sputum, CSF as well as blood culture and sensitivity from May 2021 to May 2023 through register maintained by microbiology department. Culture and sensitivity testing (HiMedia / Pathoteq 'Bio-Disc-12') was carried out as per the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines by microbiology department.

Demographic profile, specimen type, bacterial isolates and antibiotic susceptibility pattern were collected using a data collection sheet. Only positive cultures which showed significant bacterial growth were included in this study. The incomplete data were excluded from study such as nonreporting of the isolated organism, intermediate antibiotics susceptibility and incomplete demographic details.

The antibiotic sensitivity and resistance pattern against gram positive and gram negative antimicrobials including ampicillin+sulbactam, cotrimoxazole, piperacillin, tetracycline, amikacin, cloxacillin, linezolid, roxythromycin, chloramphenicol, cefotaxime *etc.* of standard strengths were scrutinized. The data were analyzed according to age, gender, organisms isolated and antibiotics sensitivity / resistance pattern with the help of Microsoft office software 2010.

RESULTS: As per inclusion criteria, total fortythree positive samples were identified during study period. Most of the positive samples were of sputum (39.53%), urine (32.56%) and pus (25.58%) from indoor and outdoor patient departments **Fig. 1**.



Out of 43 samples, 27 (62.8 %) were male and 16 (37.2 %) were female patients. Male predominance was seen among the sputum samples **Fig. 2.**



FIG. 2: DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PATIENTS (n=43)

According to the age group, 11 in 0-25 years, 17 in 26-50 years, 14 in 51-75 years and 1 in >76 years of age were culture positive. Urine and pus positive cultures were nearby same among all the age

groups up to 75 years. Sputum positive cultures were relatively high amongst the age of 26-75 years **Fig. 3.**



FIG. 3: AGE GROUP (0-25 YEARS, 26-50 YEARS, 51-75 YEARS, >76 YEARS) WISE POSITIVE CULTURE

In majority of cases we found gram negative isolates like *Klebsiella* (37.2%), *E. coli* (30.2%) and *Pseudomonas* (16.3%). Among other gram negative isolates we found Acinetobacter (4.65%) only in 2 urine culture samples. While gram

positive isolates like *S. aureus* (9.3%) and *Enterococcus* (2.3%) were only seen in pus cultures. Majority of sputum samples (n=13) were having *Klebsiella* growth while urine samples (n=9) were having *E. coli* growth **Fig. 4.**



FIG. 4: NUMBER OF GRAM +VE AND -VE ORGANISMS FOUND AMONG VARIOUS SAMPLES

Antimicrobial Resistance Pattern: *E. coli* (69%) were resistant to ampicillin/sulbactam and co-trimoxazole. *Klebsiella* (75%) were resistant to

tetracyclin and ciprofloxacin, 68.75 % were resistant to ampicillin/sulbactam, ceftizoxime and ceftizidime & 62.5 % were resistant to

International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research

amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, cefuroxime, cefadroxil, gatifloxacin and norfloxacin. Pseudomonas (85.71 resistant tetracycline %) were to and chloramphenicol while 71.43 % were resistant to ciprofloxacin, ampicillin /sulbactam and amoxicillin/clavulanic acid. Acinetobactor 100% (n=2) were resistant to ampicillin /sulbactam, ceftizoxime, cefpirome, tetracycline, ciprofloxacin, azithromycin, norfloxacin, Teicoplanin, chloramphenicol and co-trimoxazole. The gram positive *S. aureus* were 100 % (n=4) resistant to ciprofloxacin and 75 % were resistant to vancomycin and erythromycin. Enterococcus showed 100 % (n=1) resistance to amoxicillin, penicillin-G, cephalexin, cefotaxime, tetracycline, levofloxacin, roxithromycin, neomycin, lincomycin, clindamycin and co-trimoxazole **Table 1.**

			Gram negative			Gram positive				
Sr.		E. coli	Klebsiella	Pseudomonas	Acinetobacter	S. aureus	Enterococcus			
no.		(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)			
1	β-Lactam antibiotics									
	Ampicillin/Sulbactam	9 (69)	11 (68.75)	5 (71.43)	2 (100)	1 (25)	0 (0)			
	Meropenem	3 (23)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (50)	0 (0)	0 (0)			
	Piperacillin/Tazobactam	2 (15)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (50)	0 (0)	0 (0)			
	Ticarcillin+Clavulanic Acid	5 (38)	6 (37.50)	1 (14.19)	1 (50)	0 (0)	0 (0)			
	Aztreonam	3 (23)	2 (12.5)	0 (0)	1 (50)	0 (0)	0 (0)			
	Piperacillin	1 (8)	4 (25)	3 (42.86)	0(0)	0 (0)	0 (0)			
	Amoxicillin+ Clavulanic Acid	3 (23)	10 (62.50)	5 (71.43)	0 (0)	1 (25)	0 (0)			
	Cloxacillin	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (25)	1 (100)			
	Amoxicillin	0 (0)	0(0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2(50)	1 (100)			
	Penicillin-G	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (50)	1 (100)			
2	i chichini C	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)		Cephalosporin				
-	Ceftizoxime	7 (54)	11 (68.75)	3 (42.86)	2 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)			
	Cephalexin	5 (38)	1 (6.25)	0 (0)	2 (100)	1 (25)	1 (100)			
	Cefoperazone+sulbactam	4 (31)	0(0)	0 (0)	1 (50)	0(0)	0 (0)			
	Cefpirome	7 (54)	1 (6.25)	0 (0)	2 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)			
	Cefotaxime	1 (8)	10 (62.5)	3 (42.86)	0 (0)	1 (25)	1 (100)			
	Ceftriaxone	1 (8)	7 (43.75)	1 (14.19)	0 (0)	0(0)	0 (0)			
	Cefepime	$1(0) \\ 0(0)$	5 (31.25)	2 (28.57)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)			
	Cefuroxime	4 (31)	10 (62.5)	4 (57.14)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)			
	Cefadroxil	4 (31) 3 (23)	10 (62.5)	4 (57.14)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)			
	Cefoperazone	0(0)	8 (50)	1 (14.29)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)			
	Ceftizidime		11 (68.75)							
2	Ceruzidinie	2 (15)	11 (08.73)	4 (57.14)	0 (0) Tetro ev	0 (0)	0 (0)			
3	Totro qualina	ϵ (1 ϵ)	12 (75)	(05.71)	Tetracy		1 (100)			
	Tetracycline	6 (46)	12 (75)	6 (85.71)	2 (100)	1 (25)	1 (100)			
	Oxytetracyclin	1(8)	6 (37.5)	4 (57.14)	0(0)	0(0)	0 (0)			
4	Doxycyclin	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0) Quinol	0) 1 (25) 0 (0) Quinolones				
	Ciprofloxacin	4 (31)	12 (75)	5 (71.43)	2 (100)	4 (100)	0 (0)			
	Sparfloxacin	5 (38)	1 (6.25)	0 (0)	1 (50)	0 (0)	0 (0)			
	Gatifloxacin	4 (31)	10 (62.5)	3 (42.86)	1 (50)	0 (0)	0 (0)			
	Norfloxacin	4 (31)	10 (62.5)	4 (57.14)	2 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)			
	Ofloxacin	2 (15)	1 (6.25)	0 (0)	2 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)			
	Nalidixic acid	1 (8)	9 (56.25)	3 (42.86)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)			
	Levofloxacin	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (50)	1 (100)			
5	Macrolide									
	Azithromycin	4 (31)	1 (6.25)	0 (0)	2 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)			
	Roxithromycin	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (50)	1 (100)			
	Clarithromycin	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (25)	1 (100)			
	Erythromycin	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	3 (75)	0(0)			
6	5 5	~ /			Aminoglycosides					
	Amikacin	3 (23)	4 (25)	0 (0)	1 (50)	0 (0)	0 (0)			
	Gentamicin	1 (8)	3 (18.75)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (25)	1 (100)			

International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research

Hirapara et al., IJPSR, 2024; Vol. 15(6): 1788-1795.

	Neomycin	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (50)	1 (100)	
7					Glycopeptide			
	Teicoplanin	5 (38)	1 (6.25)	0 (0)	2 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
	Vancomycin	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	3 (75)	0 (0)	
8	•				Lincos	Lincosamide		
	Lincomycin	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (25)	1 (100)	
	Clindamycin	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (50)	1 (100)	
9	·				Nitrobenzene			
	Chloramphenicol	2 (15)	2 (12.5)	6 (85.71)	2 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
10	1	Sulfonamide						
	Co-trimoxazole	9 (69)	6 (37.5)	4 (57.14)	2 (100)	2 (50)	1 (100)	

The *E. coli* (n=9) from the urine samples (n=14) were resistant to ampicillin /sulbactam, cefpirome (77.77 %) and co-trimoxazole, ceftizoxime (66.66 %). While the acinetobacter (n=2) from the urine samples were resistant to ampicillin /sulbactam, co-trimoxazole, ceftizoxime, chloramphenicol, cephalexin, tetracylcin, ciprofloxacin, norfloxacin, ofloxacin, azithromycin, cefpirome and teicoplanin (100%). *Klebsiella* (n=2) were resistant to Chloramphenicol (100%).

The *Klebsiella* (n=13) from the sputum samples (n=17) were resistant to tetracyclin (84.61 %), ciprofloxacin, ofloxacin, ceftizoxime, cefadroxil, ceftizidime, amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (76.92 %) and ampicillin /sulbactam, cefotaxime, cefuroxime, norfloxacin, gatifloxacin, nalidixic acid (69.23 %). While pseudomonas (n=3) from the sputum samples resistant piperacillin, were to chloramphenicol, tetracyclin (100)%) and ampicillin/sulbactam, amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, ciprofloxacin, ofloxacin, ceftizidime (66.66 %).

The gram negative *Pseudomonas* (n=3) from the pus samples (n=11) were resistant to ampicillin /sulbactam, co-trimoxazole, chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, norfloxacin, ofloxacin, tetracycline, oxytetracyclin, cefuroxime, cefadroxil, nalidixic acid and amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (100%). While gram positive staphylococcus aureus (n=4) from pus samples were resistant to ciprofloxacin (100%), amoxicillin, vancomycin, erythromycin (75 %), and co-trimoxazole, levofloxacin, roxithromycin, neomycin, clindamycin, penicillin-G (50%).

DISCUSSION: Development of resistance to antibiotics is one of the significant problems encountered by the world in current era. The unavailability of efficacious antibiotics can challenge healthcare workers to tackle the infectious diseases and to manage the

complications, especially among immunosuppressed patients. The increasing prevalence of antimicrobial resistant bacteria might affect the ability to control infectious diseases by reducing treatment effectiveness and increasing mortality rates and healthcare costs. This study aimed to identify the prevalence of bacterial infections and their resistance against antimicrobial agents in tertiary care hospital of Gujarat, India.

Despite the lack of significant differences between isolated organisms across age groups, most of the causative organisms identified in present study were (39.5%) in the group aged 26-50 years than in other age groups. The similar results were also obtained in previous study of Divyashanthi CM *et al* and Balan K *et al* studies ^{7, 8}. Percentage of positive culture was higher among male (62.79%) as compared to female (37.21%) patients which is in accordance with the previous Indian as well as abroad studies ^{2, 7, 10, 11}.

In this study, the most common causative agents were Klebsiella pneumonia (37.20%) and E. coli (30.23%) followed by pseudomonas (16.28%), S. *aureus* (9.30%), acinetobacter (4.65%) and enterococcus (2.32%) among forty three samples. These data are comparable to previous Indian studies^{8, 9, 12}. Amongst all the cultures most common samples were of sputum (n=17). Klebsiella was major causative organism in the sputum samples. Second most common culture positive cases were of urine (n=14) and majority of them were having *E. coli* infections ^{13, 14}. While pus samples showed various gram positive as well as gram negative growth, among them Staphylococcus aureus and pseudomonas were major organisms respectively. Only one CSF sample showed positive culture growth of E. coli. From the sputum samples (n=17), the most common (76.47%) isolated bacterial pathogen was *Klebseilla* (n =13).

Among these *Klebseilla* isolates, most (84.61%) were resistant to tetracycline followed by 76.92% to ciprofloxacin, ofloxacin, ceftizoxime, cefadroxil, ceftizidime, amoxicillin/clavulanic acid and 69.23 % to ampicillin /sulbactam, cefotaxime, cefuroxime, norfloxacin, gatifloxacin, nalidixic acid. Similar resistance pattern to tetracycline and cephalosporin was seen in previous studies ^{15, 16, 17}.

While 100% pseudomonas were resistant to piperacillin, chloramphenicol, tetracyclin and 66.66% were resistant to ampicillin/sulbactam, amoxicillin/clavulanic acid. ciprofloxacin, ofloxacin, ceftizidime. Tetracycline resistance is alarming as it is a broad-spectrum antibiotic. Resistance to ampicillin/sulbactam, ceftizoxime, and ceftazidime is of particular concern because these antibiotics are often used for serious infections. Resistance to multiple antibiotics in Klebsiella in sputum samples indicates the potential for limited treatment options, especially for patients with compromised immune systems.

The most common causative agent of UTIs in this study was E. coli followed by K. pneumonia and Acinetobacter. These findings are in consistence with local as well as global epidemiological data. The most frequently identified bacteria in urinary isolates from female outpatients in the India was E. coli followed by K. pneumonia and globally it was *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* spp^{18, 19}. *E. coli* were mostly resistant to ampicillin /sulbactam, cefpirome (77.77 %) and co-trimoxazole, ceftizoxime (66.66 %). A similar result was reported in a study of Malik et al Sneka and Dr. P. et al showing ampicillin/sulbactam and co-trimoxazole resistance ^{20, 21}. While in contrast we found less fluorouinolone resistance as compared to the Malik *et al* study 20 .

A systematic review results showed similar trends with regards to co-trimoxazole in India while fluoroquinolone and cephalosporin were showing higher resistance pattern as compared to our study 22 . These antibiotics will become unreliable and ineffective in treating *E. coli* infections in future. Resistance percentages nearing 77% and 66% for these drugs, respectively, indicate a dire situation, urging clinicians to reconsider their prescription practices and explore alternative treatments. Similarly, the Acinetobacter strains exhibited an even more worrisome pattern of resistance, showing 100% resistance to a broad range of antibiotics. The resistance observed in Acinetobacter is particularly concerning due to its inherent ability to survive in hospital environments and cause infections in immune-compromised individuals which could lead to high mortality rates, especially in critically ill patients.

Most common gram negative organism found in pus is pseudomonas followed by E. coli and Klebsiella, pseudomonas was 100% resistant to ampicillin /sulbactam, co-trimoxazole. chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, norfloxacin, ofloxacin, tetracycline, oxytetracyclin, cefuroxime, cefadroxil, nalidixic acid, amoxicillin/clavulanic acid. While gram positive organisms found in pus are Staph. aureus followed by Enterococcus. Staphylococcus aureus displayed high resistance to ciprofloxacin (100%) and considerable resistance to vancomycin (75%). All above findings are in similar pattern with previous study except vancomycin which was not showing resistance in previous study ²³.

Vancomycin is often a last-resort antibiotic. *Enterococcus* exhibited complete resistance (100%) a wide range of antibiotics, including to amoxicillin, penicillin-G, tetracycline, and others. This poses a significant threat as Enterococcus infections can be difficult to treat. The findings of this study present alarming implications for the management of urinary tract infections, as evidenced by the high resistance rates observed among both E. coli and Acinetobacter strains to multiple antibiotics. The resistance patterns observed in E. coli indicate a significant level of resistance to commonly prescribed antibiotics such ampicillin/sulbactam, cefpirome, as cotrimoxazole, ceftizoxime.

Notably, the high resistance rates of *E. coli* to cefpirome are concerning, as this antibiotic is often used as a last resort in the treatment of severe bacterial infections. The differences in resistance patterns among sample types indicate the need for tailored treatment strategies. For example, urine samples of *E. coli* and Acinetobacter exhibited notable resistance, while sputum and pus samples of *Klebsiella* and *Pseudomonas* had high resistance.

Implications: The antimicrobial resistance observed in present study has possible significant implications:

Limited Treatment Options: The growing resistance to multiple antibiotics reduces the efficacy of conventional treatment options.

Increased Healthcare Costs: Prolonged hospital stays and the need for stronger antibiotics can result in increased healthcare costs.

Patient Morbidity and Mortality: Patients with resistant infections may experience prolonged illness, increased complications and higher mortality rates.

Antibiotic Stewardship: Implementing antibiotic stewardship programs becomes essential to ensure the responsible use of antibiotics and to slow down the emergence of resistance.

CONCLUSION: The high levels of antimicrobial resistance observed in this study highlight the urgent need for a multifaceted approach to combat resistance, including antibiotic stewardship and the development of new antibiotics. These findings underscore the importance of continuous surveillance and research to adapt treatment strategies to an ever-evolving microbial landscape.

Limitation: The study did not determine the resistance detected was due to hospital-acquired or community acquired infection. The study did not show the trends of antibiotics resistance from year to year.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: The authors are thankful to microbiology department, GMERS Medical College & Hospital, Junagadh for providing the culture and sensitivity register and cooperating for carrying out this study.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST: None

REFERENCES:

- Pulingam T, Parumasivam T, Gazzali AM, Sulaiman AM, Chee JY, Lakshmanan M, Chin CF and Sudesh K: Antimicrobial resistance: Prevalence, economic burden, mechanisms of resistance and strategies to overcome. European J of Pharma Sciences 2022; 170: 106103.
- 2. Hakami AY, Felemban LH, Aljifri NA, Alyamani GM, Abosallamh KA, Aljohani RA, Aldosary T, Basheikh A, Hakami A, Felemban L and Aljifri N: Antibacterial

resistance patterns among common infections in a tertiary care hospital in Saudi Arabia. Cureus 2022; 14(11).

- Church NA and McKillip JL: Antibiotic resistance crisis: challenges and imperatives. Biologia 2021; 76(5): 1535-50.
- 4. Holloway K, Mathai E and Gray A: Community-Based surveillance of antimicrobial use and resistance in resource-constrained settings project group. Surveillance of community antimicrobial use in resource-constrained settings-experience from five pilot projects. Tropical Medicine & International Health 2011; 16(2): 152-61.
- 5. Saravanan R and Raveendaran V: Antimicrobial resistance pattern in a tertiary care hospital: an observational study. Journal of Basic and Clinical Pharmacy 2013; 4(3): 56.
- 6. Raghunath D: Emerging antibiotic resistance in bacteria with special reference to India. Journal of Biosciences 2008; 33(4): 593-603.
- Divyashanthi CM, Adithiyakumar S and Bharathi N: Study of prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of bacterial isolates in a tertiary care hospital. International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences 2015; 185-90.
- Balan K, Sujitha K and Vijayalakshmi TS: Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of gram negative clinical Isolates in a Teaching Tertiary Care hospital. Scholars Journal of Applied Medical Sciences 2013; 1(2): 76-9.
- Vaithiyam VS, Rastogi N, Ranjan P, Mahishi N, Kapil A, Dwivedi SN, Soneja M, Wig N and Biswas A: Antimicrobial resistance patterns in clinically significant isolates from medical wards of a tertiary care hospital in North India. Journal of Laboratory Physicians 2020; 12(03): 196-202.
- Kumburu HH, Sonda T, Mmbaga BT, Alifrangis M, Lund O, Kibiki G and Aarestrup FM: Patterns of infections, aetiological agents and antimicrobial resistance at a tertiary care hospital in northern Tanzania. Tropical Medicine & International Health 2017; 22(4): 454-64.
- 11. Narayan Kulkarni V and Vijay Kulkarni M: Trends in antibiotic resistance among major bacterial pathogens isolated from different specimens at a tertiary care hospital in India. Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences 2018; 18(1): 1-7.
- 12. Javiya VA, Ghatak SB, Patel KR and Patel JA: Antibiotic susceptibility patterns of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* at a tertiary care hospital in Gujarat, India. Indian Journal of Pharmacology 2008; 40(5): 230.
- 13. Dash M, Padhi S, Mohanty I, Panda P and Parida B: Antimicrobial resistance in pathogens causing urinary tract infections in a rural community of Odisha, India. Journal of Family & Community Medicine 2013; 20(1): 20.
- 14. Tambekar DH, Dhanorkar DV, Gulhane SR, Khandelwal VK and Dudhane MN: Antibacterial susceptibility of some urinary tract pathogens to commonly used antibiotics. African Journal of Biotechnology 2006; 5(17).
- 15. Sikarwar AS and Batra HV: Prevalence of antimicrobial drug resistance of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in India. International Journal of Bioscience, Biochemistry and Bioinformatics 2011; 1(3): 211.
- 16. Feizabadi MM, Etemadi G, Rahmati M, Mohammadi-Yeganeh S, Shabanpoor S and Asadi S: Antibiotic resistance patterns and genetic analysis of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates from the respiratory tract. TANAFFOS (Respiration) 2007; 6(3): 20-5.
- 17. Singh R, Jain S, Chabbra R, Naithani R, Upadhyay A and Walia M: Characterization and anti-microbial susceptibility of bacterial isolates: Experience from a

tertiary care cancer center in Delhi. Indian Journal of Cancer 2014; 51(4): 477-80.

- Akram M, Shahid M and Khan AU: Etiology and antibiotic resistance patterns of community-acquired urinary tract infections in JNMC Hospital Aligarh, India. Annals of Clinical Microbi and Antimicro 2007; 6(1): 1-7.
- Mansour A, Mahdinezhad M and Pourdangchi Z: Study of bacteria isolated from urinary tract infections and determination of their susceptibility to antibiotics. Jundishapur Journal of Microbiology 2009; 2(3): 118-123.
- Malik S, Rana JS and Nehra K: Prevalence and antibiotic susceptibility pattern of uropathogenic Escherichia coli strains in Sonipat region of Haryana in India. Biomed Biotechnol Res J 2021; 5(1): 80-7.

How to cite this article:

21. Sneka P and Mangayarkarasi V: Bacterial pathogens causing UTI and their antibiotic sensitivity pattern: a study from a tertiary care hospital from South India. Tropical Jof Pathology and Microbiology 2019; 5(6): 379-85.

- 22. Sugianli AK, Ginting F, Parwati I, de Jong MD, van Leth F and Schultsz C: Antimicrobial resistance among uropathogens in the Asia-Pacific region: A systematic review. JAC-antimicrobial Resistance 2021; 3(1): 03.
- 23. Jamatia AR, Roy DE, Shil RU and Prabhakar PK: Bacteriological profile and antimicrobial resistance patterns isolates in pus samples at Agartala Government Medical College. Asian J Pharm Clin Res 2017; 10(1): 335-7.

Hirapara HN, Sondarva DB, Malam PP and Pipalava AK: Antimicrobial resistance pattern in a tertiary care hospital: retrospective study. Int J Pharm Sci & Res 2024; 15(6): 1788-95. doi: 10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.15(6).1788-95.

All © 2024 are reserved by International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research. This Journal licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License.

This article can be downloaded to Android OS based mobile. Scan QR Code using Code/Bar Scanner from your mobile. (Scanners are available on Google Playstore)