IJPSR (2024), Volume 15, Issue 10



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL



Received on 31 March 2024; received in revised form, 13 May 2024; accepted, 17 July 2024; published 01 October 2024

MITOCHONDRIAL CYTOCHROME C OXIDASE AND SUCCINATE DEHYDROGENASE: EMERGING BIOMARKERS IN CANCER

Sthiti Porna Dutta^{*}, Subhajit Choudhary and Sewagi Savapandit

Department of Biochemistry, The Assam Royal Global University, Betkuchi, Guwahati - 781035, Assam, India.

Keywords:

Mitochondria, Enzymes, Cancer, Biomarkers

Correspondence to Author: Dr. Sthiti Porna Dutta

Assistant Professor, Department of Biochemistry, The Assam Royal Global University, Betkuchi, Guwahati - 781035, Assam, India.

E-mail: sthitidutta7@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: Mitochondria, renowned as the cell's "powerhouse," plays a pivotal role in cellular metabolism, influencing various physiological and pathological processes, including cancer initiation and progression. This review delves into the significance of mitochondrial enzymes, specifically Succinate Dehydrogenase (SDH) and Cytochrome c Oxidase (CcO), in cancer biology. Alterations in these enzymes' activity and expression levels are associated with changes in cellular energetics, oxidative stress and apoptotic pathways, contributing to tumorigenesis. Mutations in SDH subunits are linked to various cancers, particularly paraganglioma, and pheochromocytoma, while decreased CcO activity correlates with cancer development and progression. Experimental evidence, including studies on DBN-treated mice, demonstrates a significant decrease in SDH and CcO activities in cancerous tissues compared to controls, underscoring their potential as diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers. The review also discusses challenges and future directions in utilizing these mitochondrial enzymes as cancer biomarkers, emphasizing the need for further research to enhance their clinical applicability. In conclusion, understanding the roles of SDH and CcO in cancer metabolism offers promising avenues for advancing cancer diagnostics and therapeutics.

INTRODUCTION: The mitochondrion, often dubbed the cell's "powerhouse," generates over 90% of the adenosine triphosphate (ATP) required by cells. Recent research highlights mitochondria's pivotal role in regulating diverse physiological and pathological processes within human cells, including cell survival, proliferation, and migration, as well as their involvement in tumor initiation, progression, and metastasis ¹⁻³.



Mitochondria serve as targets and feedback hubs for various regulators of energy metabolism. Studies have verified that aberrant amino acid, fatty acid, and glucose metabolism are prevalent during tumorigenesis ⁴, tightly linking abnormal mitochondrial metabolism to cancer cell proliferation, metastasis and survival.

Additionally, mitochondria serve as the primary source of reactive oxygen species (ROS) within cells, with mounting evidence indicating that ROS dysregulation drives malignant tumor progression ⁵. Functioning as crucial regulators of apoptosis, mitochondria bolster cancer cells' antiapoptotic defenses, fueling rapid cancer cell proliferation ⁶. Furthermore, as integral components of intracellular calcium pool regulation, mitochondria govern intracellular calcium homeostasis *via* diverse calcium transport systems on mitochondrial membranes. Disruptions in mitochondrial calcium homeostasis are intricately linked to mitochondrial dysfunction and tumor development ⁷.

Mitophagy, a selective autophagy process targeting mitochondria, stands out as a vital mechanism for maintaining mitochondrial homeostasis^{8, 9}. Its implications extend to various diseases, including cancers, neurodegenerative disorders, and immune conditions ¹⁰⁻¹². Consequently, mitochondria are deeply in processes entwined such as tumorigenesis, proliferation, invasion and metastasis, emerging as a focal point in cancer research.

Cytochrome c Oxidase, the terminal oxidase in the electron transport chain and Succinate Dehydrogenase, a critical enzyme in the Krebs cycle and electron transport, are pivotal for mitochondrial function. This section sets the stage by highlighting the importance of mitochondrial enzymes in cellular metabolism and introduces Oxidase Cytochrome с and Succinate Dehydrogenase as potential cancer biomarkers. In addition to mutations that directly affect mtDNA, in nDNA-encoded mutations mitochondrial enzymes have been found in specific cancers.

Succinate Dehydrogenase in Cancer: This section delves into the role of Succinate Dehydrogenase in cellular energy metabolism and its implications in cancer biology. It discusses studies indicating alterations in SDH activity in cancer cells and how these changes relate to cellular energetics and the Warburg effect. Additionally, it explores the association of SDH mutations with various cancer-related syndromes, highlighting the enzyme's potential as a diagnostic marker. One of the enzymes that play a major role in the citric acid cycle is succinate dehydrogenase, which oxidizes succinate to fumarate. The SDH complex is the connecting enzyme between the TCA cycle and the ETC. It is also known as succinate: ubiquinone oxidoreductase or mitochondrial complex II. With four subunits, the enzyme is heterotetrametric. The hydrophobic membrane-anchoring subunits SDH-C and SDH-D are also implicated in ubiquinone binding for ETC

processes, whereas the two catalytic subunits are the flavoprotein SDH-A and the iron-sulfur protein SDH-B¹³. Mutations in SDH subunit A lead to progressive necrotic lesions, which in turn induce ocular atrophy or Leigh syndrome in the elderly. The paraganglioma components B, C, and D are linked to mutations and the pheochromocytoma subunits B and D with significantly decreased tumor SDH activity (B and D are also linked to papillary and medullary thyroid cancer). Two SDH assembly factors, SDHAF1 assembly factor and SDH5 assembly factor, have been linked to further mutations that cause paraganglioma and infantile leukoencephalopathy¹⁴.

Because of the reduced electron flow, increased oxygen toxicity and accumulated succinate, altered SDH activity may contribute to the development of disease and cancer. The regulation of SDH activity plays a crucial role in the buildup of succinate. Notably, the presence of a mutation in the gene responsible for encoding SDH in certain cancer types has been observed to diminish SDH activity, resulting in succinate accumulation and subsequent augmentation of mitochondrial ROS generation¹⁵.

Due to the various subunits within the SDH complex, the difference in functionality can be responsible for these metabolic changes. SDH can be influenced by non-coding RNAs that are regulated by RNA-editing and RNA-modifying enzymes as well as transcription factors that have been found to contribute to various cancers. Early research connected the malfunctioning of the SDH complex with cancer, as demonstrated by studies demonstrating that mutations in the SDHB, SDHC, and SDHD enhanced the generation of superoxide anion (oxidative damage), which caused cells to transformation. undergo apoptosis or The accumulation of succinate leads to increased histone methylation via binding directly and inhibiting histone demethylase JumonjiD3, which enhances epigenetic changes and oncogenic transformation¹⁶

Numerous investigations have demonstrated that succinylation can occur in organisms through both enzymatic and non-enzymatic means. In fact, the majority of is known to occur through non-enzymatic processes, as extensively documented in the literature ^{17, 18, 19} particularly by Matthew D

Hirschey *et al.*, who have demonstrated the higher chemical reactivity of succinyl-CoA compared to other acyl-CoA species ²⁰. Two rare tumors in the autonomic nervous system called paraganglioma (PGL) and pheochromocytoma (PCC) have been linked to specific genetic mutations in SDHB ²¹, SDHC ²² and SDHD ²³. These mutations are known to increase ROS production²⁴, which causes DNA damage and tumorigenesis ²⁵.

PCC and PGL have been demonstrated to be caused by mutations in all four subunits of SDHencoding genes, which impede the histone demethylation route ²⁶. Studies also revealed that SDHB mutations were more effective in blocking histone methylases, hence facilitating elevated levels of hypermethylation ²⁶. Despite evidence indicating that SDHAF2 does not cause PGL and through the suppression of histone PCC demethylation ²⁶, mutations of SDHAF2 alone have been associated with PGL and PCC²⁷. It is noteworthy that PGL and PCC are the tumor types most frequently linked to inheritance and germline mutations, particularly in the SDH subunits ²⁸. This emphasizes the dynamic role that somatic and germline mutations within the SDH complex play in the development of cancers, and emphasizes the significance of genetic counseling for the former.

While SDH mutations are most frequently linked to PGL and PCC, they can also cause other malignancies through decreased SDH activity or mutations in SDH. As an example, ovarian cancer spread was aided by higher levels of HIF-1 α and adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase resulting from SDHB silencing ²⁹. In another case, because of the Warburg effect and increased expression of markers linked to the epithelialmesenchymal transition, the decrease in SDHB in hepatocellular carcinoma exacerbated its malignancy ³⁰. Studies have shown and linked SDHB loss in hemangioblastoma ³¹, SDHB deficiencies in pituitary adenomas ³² and SDHB and SDHD mutations in thyroid malignancies and renal cell carcinoma³³. The cerebral IR injury results in the ischemic accumulation of succinate, which subsequently induces Cdc42 succinvlation and inhibits the proliferation of neural stem cells 34 . The significance of succinate and SDH's involvement in the pathogenesis of IR injury underscores the potential of targeting succinate

metabolism as a therapeutic strategy for the prevention and treatment of this condition ³⁵. The development of pharmaceuticals that specifically target succinate metabolism may offer a novel approach to address the clinical challenge posed by IR injury ¹⁵.

The process, known as aerobic glycolysis or the Warburg effect, occurs when cancer cells use this fermentative metabolism more frequently even when oxygen is present. Protumoral signaling pathways are activated, molecules that promote cancer progression are produced, and increased glycolytic rates, which enable cancer cells to obtain higher amounts of total ATP, are the primary characteristics of this alternative metabolism. Succinate, a Krebs cycle intermediate whose concentration is increased in cancer and is considered an oncometabolite. Several protumoral actions have been associated with succinate ³⁶.

In a study carried out by us, the activity of the succinate dehydrogenase (SDH) was determined in N. Nitrosodibutyl amine (DBN) treated mice liver mitochondria and compared with normal control mice liver mitochondria. Swiss albino mice (BALB/c) bred by random breeding at the animal house of the department were kept on basal diet ad libitum in plastic cages at the temperaturecontrolled animal room $(21 \pm 2^{\circ}C)$ with a 12 hour light and dark cycle. At the start of the experiment, the mice were 6-8 weeks old weighing around 22-25 gm in weight. The sex chosen for the experiment was female. Cancer induction was done by giving a weekly dose of 10 mg per kg body weight of N-Nitrosodibutylamine (DBN) in 5% ethanol was administered intravenously in healthy female mice of 6-8 weeks old weighing around 22-25gm for a period of 16 weeks and sacrificed at the end of treatment as required. Age-matched shamtreated mice served as control. All animal procedures were performed according to the approved protocol and by recommendations for the proper use and care of the laboratory animals. The progress of carcinogenesis was followed by monitoring the level of marker enzyme activities such as GGT, AChE, GST in DBN-exposed mice and the values were compared with untreated normal control mice. Liver function tests i.e. SGOT, SGPT, ALP and histological examination of liver tissues were also carried out.

The results of marker enzyme assays, liver function tests and histological examination of the liver tissues confirm that i.v administration of DBN (10 mg/kg body weight) in 5% ethanol as a promotor may be used to successfully induce liver cancer in Swiss albino mice. After the successful induction of cancer in liver by DBN, our main target of interest was to observe whether DBN inflicts any alteration to the liver mitochondrial enzymes or not or not. Intact liver mitochondria were isolated as per the method described. Briefly, mice were starved overnight, killed by cervical dislocation and liver was rapidly explanted from the peritoneal cavity. The tissue was immersed in 50 ml of icecold isolation buffercontaining10 ml of 0.1M Tris-MOPS, 1.0 ml of EGTA/Tris and 20 ml of 1 M sucrose, pH7.4 and washed several times until the blood washed out completely from the tissue. It was minced into small pieces using scissors in an ice bath. Minced tissue was transferred to the glass homogenizer tube and homogenized using a Teflon pestle operated at 1,600 rpm. Homogenate was then transferred to a 20 ml tube and centrifuged at 600 x g for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant was transferred to another tube and centrifuged at 7,000 x g for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet re-suspended in 5 ml of ice-cold isolation buffer and centrifuged again at 7,000 x g for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant was again discarded and the pellet, containing mitochondria was re-suspended in 5 ml of ice-cold fresh isolation buffer and stored on ice.

To check the level of SDH activity in the sample the method described was followed.

In brief, the following reagents

- ▶ 0.2M Phosphate buffer pH 7.8
- ➤ 0.6 M Succinic acid pH 7.8 (pH adjusted with NaOH)
- ▶ 0.0015 M DCIP
- > 0.009 M PMS in distilled water
- > 0.045 M KCN (freshly prepared)

were taken in a 3ml spectrophotometer glass cuvette.0.75ml of 0.2 phosphate buffer pH 7.8, 0.10ml of 0.045 KCN, 0.2ml of 0.6M succinate, 0.1ml of 0.0015M DCIP and 0 to 6ml of 0.009M PMS. The final volume was made up to 2.95ml with distilled water. The reaction was started by the addition of 0.05ml of the enzyme prepared (isolated mitochondria). The amount of the enzyme that was added such that it produced an absorbance change of between 0.05-0.20 per minute. The change in OD (Δ 600nm) against water blank was recorded after 5 seconds.

A significant decrease in the activity of SDH enzyme was seen in the case of the DBN-treated mice as compared to that of the normal control mice as shown in **Table 1**. The decrease in the activity of this enzyme shows the lack of the SDH enzyme in the mitochondria as the destabilization of the SDH protein complex. As this enzyme plays and important role in ETC and oxidative phosphorylation so decrease in the activity of this enzyme indicates the depleted use of oxidative phosphorylation for ATP synthesis supporting the Warburg hypothesis.

TABLE1:SUCCINATEDEHYDROGENASEACTIVITY IN SHAM-TREATEDAND DBN-TREATEDLIVER MITOCHONDRIA. (****P<0.0001, n=10).</td>

Groups	Specific Activity (U/mg protein) Mean ± SEM, n=10
Control	2.434±0.05613
Treated	1.2689 ± 0.009215

Cytochrome c Oxidase in Cancer: Here, the focus shifts to Cytochrome c Oxidase and its involvement in mitochondrial energy production and apoptotic pathways. The review discusses research showcasing alterations in Cytochrome c Oxidase activity in cancer cells and its correlation with p53 regulation and mitochondrial physiology. The section also explores how mutations affecting Cytochrome c Oxidase function may contribute to cancer development or progression.

Mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase (CcO) is a key enzyme involved in the electron transport chain and oxidative phosphorylation within the mitochondria. Emerging evidence suggests that alterations in mitochondrial function, including changes in cytochrome c oxidase activity, are associated with cancer development and progression. Mitochondrial dysfunction and Cancer is one of the most complex and diverse diseases on the planet. It is caused by uncontrolled cell proliferation and invasion of surrounding tissues. The intricate and varied collection of disorders known as cancer is typified by the uncontrolled proliferation of cells and the capacity to infiltrate surrounding tissues. It is essential to comprehend the molecular processes that underlie the onset and spread of cancer to prognoses, treatment options and enhance diagnostics. The significance of mitochondrial dysfunction in cancer has garnered more attention in recent years, with a particular emphasis on mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase (CcO) as a newly discovered biomarker. The relevance of mitochondrial CcO in cancer is examined in this essay, along with its potential as a biomarker and its consequences for diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment options. CcO, a key enzyme in the mitochondrial respiratory chain, is responsible for the final step in electron transfer to oxygen, contributing to ATP synthesis and cellular energy production. Recent investigations have highlighted the link between CcO and cancer, suggesting that alterations in its activity and expression levels may play a critical role in carcinogenesis ³⁷.

Extensive study on cyt c throughout the decades has provided essential knowledge not just into mitochondrial respiration ^{38, 39}, but also into apoptosis ^{40, 41}, a type of programmed cell death. Proapoptotic stimuli cause the mitochondrial outer membrane to permeabilize, resulting in the outflow of cyt c from the mitochondrial intermembrane gap to the cytoplasm ⁴². In the cytoplasm, cyt c attaches to apoptotic protease-activating factor-1 (Apaf-1) ⁴³ triggering a series of biochemical processes that activate caspases, a type of proteases that carry out apoptosis by destroying cellular components ^{44, 45}.

Apoptosis is a significant mechanism in cancer. First, it is generally inhibited in cancer cells ⁴⁶. Second, the induction of cancer cell apoptosis has outstanding therapeutic potential ⁴⁷. Cytochrome C (Cyto C) is a critical molecule in mitochondriainduced apoptosis, as well as a key component of energy metabolism and the respiratory chain ^{48, 49}. Mitochondrial Cyto C has been shown to play a dual role in energy metabolism and apoptosis. Liu *et al.*⁵⁰ first proposed that Cyto C has a role in apoptosis. Once released into the cytoplasm, Cyto C interacts with its adaptor molecule Apaf-1 to activate pro-caspase-9 in the presence of ATP or dATP. Caspases-9 and 3 are triggered by active caspase-9, leading in the intrinsic mitochondrial route to apoptosis ⁵¹. The release of Cyto C from the mitochondria into the cytoplasm is the vital initial stage in the apoptotic process. Cyto C, as a component of the mitochondrial electron transport chain, facilitates electron transfer between complex III (ubiquinol: Cyto C oxidoreductase) and complex IV (cytochrome oxidase) ⁵². Cyto C is a mitochondrial biomarker that is released into the extracellular space and blood within 1 hour of apoptosis caused by permeabilization of damaged mitochondria ⁵³. As a result, Cyto C is recognized as a crucial mediator and biomarker of mitochondria-mediated apoptosis.

A high cytochrome C level of up to 190 ng/mL increases the risk of a heart attack, systemic inflammatory response syndrome, influenzaassociated encephalopathy, chronic hepatitis C, and myocardial infarction Fig. 1 ⁵⁴. All of these findings highlight the necessity for determining cyt c quantities in serum samples for the early detection of a variety of illnesses. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) formed as a result of oxidative stress resulted in continuous changes in DNA sequences, responsible for mutations, gene amplifications, deletions. gene rearrangements, and which eventually cause degenerative changes that lead to tissue degradation, responsible for aging, and also acts as a hallmark of cancer. Cyt c provides intense knowledge about apoptosis extent, medical diagnostics, various pathologies, and therapeutic treatment ⁵⁴.

Detecting changed CcO activities in biological materials (such as blood or tissue) has enormous promise as a biomarker for cancer diagnosis. Monitoring CcO levels can reveal valuable information about the presence of cancer. It can also provide information about the tumor's features, allowing for earlier discovery and tailored therapy.

Similarly, the cytochrome c oxidase activity was monitored after 16 weeks of N, Nitrosodibutyl amine (DBN) treatment and compared to normal control mice. The activity of this mitochondrial enzyme was assayed using the kit from Sigma-Aldrich. This enzyme is located on the internal mitochondrial membrane that divides the mitochondrial matrix from the intermembrane and it has been used for many years as a marker for the mitochondrial membrane. 0.95ml of 1X Assay buffer was added to a cuvette and the spectrophotometer was zeroed. 30μ l of enzyme preparation was added to the cuvette and the final volume was made to 1.05ml with enzyme dilution buffer. The reaction was started by adding 50 μ l of ferrocytochrome c substrate solution and mixed by inversion. The absorbance was read at 550 nm.

A significant decrease in the level of the activity of the enzyme was observed in the case of DBNtreated mice as compared to that of the agematched normal control mice as shown **Table 2**. Our study, showed a drastic decrease in the activity of the cytochrome c oxidase in the DBN-treated mice as compared to control mice indicating a resultant decrease in the activity of this enzyme due to change in cytochrome c oxidase subunits adjustment by the Bcl-2 during carcinogenesis stress brought by DBN. This change had a significant impact on the decrease of the cytochrome c oxidase activity and maintenance of mitochondrial ROS levels.

TABLE 2: CYTOCHROME C OXIDASE ACTIVITY INSHAM-TREATEDANDDBN-TREATEDLIVERMITOCHONDRIA (****P<0.0001, n=10)</td>

Groups	Specific Activity (U/mg protein) Mean ± SEM, n=10
Control	0.35058±0.007748
Treated	0.077996 ± 0.000588

The significant decrease in the enzyme activities of SDH and COX in DBN-treated liver mitochondria compared to sham-treated control relates to the proliferation of tumor formation and depleted use of oxidative phosphorylation in ATP production.

Implications for Cancer Biomarkers: Bringing the discussion together, this section consolidates the findings on Cytochrome c Oxidase and Succinate Dehydrogenase alterations in cancer. It emphasizes their potential as biomarkers. highlighting the significance of measuring their activity or expression levels in cancer diagnosis, prognosis, or therapeutic monitoring. Additionally, it addresses the challenges and future directions in utilizing these mitochondrial enzymes as biomarkers in clinical settings.

CONCLUSION: The conclusion summarizes the key insights gained from exploring Cytochrome c Oxidase and Succinate Dehydrogenase as potential

biomarkers for cancer. It underscores their mitochondrial importance in function. their alterations in cancer cells, and the promising further avenues for research and clinical applications in cancer diagnosis and treatment monitoring.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST: None.

REFERENCES:

- Giampazolias E and Tait SWG: Mitochondria and the hallmarks of cancer: The FEBS Journal 2016; 283: 803– 814.
- 2. Burke and Peter J: Mitochondria, bioenergetics and apoptosis in cancer. Trends in Cancer 2017; 12: 857-870.
- Zong, Wei-Xing, Joshua D. Rabinowitz and Eileen White: Mitochondria and cancer. Molecular Cell 2016; 05: 667-676.
- 4. Finley and Lydia WS: Metabolic signal curbs cancer-cell Migration 2019; 39-40.
- Kudryavtseva AV, Krasnov GS, Dmitriev AA, Alekseev BY, Kardymon OL, Sadritdinova AF, Fedorova MS, Pokrovsky AV, Melnikova NV, Kaprin AD and Moskalev, A.A: Mitochondrial dysfunction and oxidative stress in aging and cancer. Oncotarget 2016; 29: 44879.
- 6. Gao, Yanyun, Patrick Dorn, Shengchen Liu, Haibin Deng, Sean RR Hall, Ren-Wang Peng, Ralph A. Schmid and Thomas M. Marti: Cisplatin-resistant A549 non-small cell lung cancer cells can be identified by increased mitochondrial mass and are sensitive to pemetrexed treatment. Cancer Cell Int 2019; 19: 1-14.
- Ciscato F, Filadi R, Masgras I, Pizzi M, Marin O, Damiano N, Pizzo P, Gori A, Frezzato F, Chiara F and Trentin L: Hexokinase 2 displacement from mitochondria associated membranes prompts Ca2+-dependent death of cancer cells. EMBO Reports 2020; 7.
- Ajoolabady, Amir, AyuobAghanejad, Yaguang Bi, Yingmei Zhang, Hamid Aslkhodapasandhukmabad, AlirezaAbhari and Jun Ren: Enzyme-based autophagy in anti-neoplastic management: from molecular mechanisms to clinical therapeutics. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Reviews on Cancer 2020; 01.
- 9. Zhu, Hang, Sam Toan, David Mui and Hao Zhou: Mitochondrial quality surveillance as a therapeutic target in myocardial infarction. Acta Physiol 2021; 03.
- Yin, Kunlun, Jordan Lee, Zhaoli Liu, Hyeoncheol Kim, David R. Martin, Dandan Wu, Meilian Liu and Xiang Xue: Mitophagy protein PINK1 suppresses colon tumor growth by metabolic reprogramming *via* p53 activation and reducing acetyl-CoA production. Cell Death Differ 2021; 8: 2421-2435.
- 11. Di Rita A, Angelini DF, Maiorino T, Caputo V, Cascella R, Kumar M, Tiberti M, Lambrughi M, Wesch N, Löhr F and Dötsch V: Characterization of a natural variant of human NDP52 and its functional consequences on mitophagy. Cell Death Differ 2021; 8: 2499-2516.
- 12. Wang, Jin, Sam Toan and HaoZhou: New insights into the role of mitochondria in cardiac microvascular ischemia/reperfusion injury. Angiogenesis 2020; 23: 299-314.

- 13. Boffetta, Paolo and Pierre Hainaut: Encyclopedia of cancer. Academic Press 2018.
- Tondo, Mireia, Isaac Marin-Valencia, Qian Ma and Juan M. Pascual: Pyruvate dehydrogenase, pyruvate carboxylase, Krebs cycle and mitochondrial transport disorders. Rosenberg's Molecular and Genetic Basis of Neurological and Psychiatric Disease. Academic Press 2015; 291-297.
- 15. Zhang W and Lang R: Succinate metabolism: a promising therapeutic target for inflammation, ischemia/reperfusion injury and cancer. Front Cell Dev Biol 2023; 11: 1266973.
- 16. Moreno, Cerena, Ruben Mercado Santos, Robert Burns and Wen Cai Zhang: Succinate dehydrogenase and ribonucleic acid networks in cancer and other diseases. Cancers 2020; 11: 3237.
- 17. Sreedhar A, Wiese EK and Hitosugi T: Enzymatic and metabolic regulation of lysine succinylation. Genes and disease 2020; 7(2): 166–171.
- 18. Shen R, Ruan H, Lin S, Liu B, Song H and Li: Lysine succinylation, the metabolic bridge between cancer and immunity. Genes and disease 2023; 10(6): 2470–2478.
- Zhao G, Zhen J, Liu X, Guo J, Li D and Xie J: Protein post-translational modification by lysine succinylation: biochemistry, biological implications, and therapeutic opportunities. Genes and disease 2023; 10(4): 1242–1262.
- 20. Wagner GR, Bhatt DP, O'Connell TM, Thompson JW, Dubois LG and Backos DS: A class of reactive acyl-CoA species reveals the non-enzymatic origins of protein acylation. Cell Metab 2017; 25(4): 823–837.
- 21. Astuti, Dewi, Farida Latif, Ashraf Dallol, Patricia LM Dahia, Fiona Douglas, Emad George, FilipSköldberg, Eystein S. Husebye, CharisEng and Eamonn R. Maher: Gene mutations in the succinate dehydrogenase subunit SDHB cause susceptibility to familial pheochromocytoma and to familial paraganglioma. Am J Hum Gene 2001; 01: 49-54.
- Niemann, Stephan and Ulrich Müller: Mutations in SDHC cause autosomal dominant paraganglioma, type 3. Nature Genetics 2000; 03: 268-270.
- 23. Baysal BE, Ferrell RE, Willett-Brozick JE, Lawrence EC, Myssiorek D, Bosch A, Mey AVD, Taschner PE, Rubinstein WS, Myers EN and Richard CW: Mutations in SDHD, a mitochondrial complex II gene, in hereditary paraganglioma. Science 2000; 848-851.
- 24. Guzy, Robert D, Bhumika Sharma, Eric Bell, Navdeep S. Chandel and Paul T. Schumacker: Loss of the SdhB, but Not the SdhA, subunit of complex II triggers reactive oxygen species-dependent hypoxia-inducible factor activation and tumorigenesis. Molecular and Cellular Biology 2008; 02: 718-731.
- 25. Wojtovich, Andrew P and Thomas H. Foster: Optogenetic control of ROS production. Redox Biology 2014; 368-376.
- Letouzé E, Martinelli C, Loriot C, Burnichon N, Abermil N, Ottolenghi C, Janin M, Menara M, Nguyen AT, Benit P and Buffet A: SDH mutations establish a hypermethylator phenotype in paraganglioma. Cancer Cell 2013; 06: 739-752.
- 27. Bayley JP, Kunst HP, Cascon A, Sampietro ML, Gaal J, Korpershoek E, Hinojar-Gutierrez A, Timmers HJ, Hoefsloot LH, Hermsen MA and Suárez C: SDHAF2 mutations in familial and sporadic paraganglioma and phaeochromocytoma. Lancet Oncol 2010; 04: 366-372.
- Fishbein, Lauren and Katherine L. Nathanson: Pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma: understanding the complexities of the genetic background. Cancer Genetics 2012; 1-2(205): 1-11.

- 29. Chen, Lilan, Ting Liu, Shu Zhang, Jinhua Zhou, Yunfei Wang and Wen Di: Succinate dehydrogenase subunit B inhibits the AMPK-HIF-1α pathway in human ovarian cancer *in-vitro*. J Ovarian Res 2014; 01: 1-12.
- 30. Tseng PL, Wu WH, Hu TH, Chen CW, Cheng HC, Li CF, Tsai WH, Tsai HJ, Hsieh MC, Chuang JH and Chang W.T: Decreased succinate dehydrogenase B in human hepatocellular carcinoma accelerates tumor malignancy by inducing the Warburg effect. Scientific Reports 2018; 01: 3081.
- 31. Roh, Tae Hoon, HyuneeYim, Jin Roh, KyiBeom Lee, So Hyun Park, Seon-Yong Jeong, Se-Hyuk Kim and Jang-Hee Kim: The loss of succinate dehydrogenase B expression is frequently identified in hemangioblastoma of the central nervous system. Scientific Reports 2019; 5873.
- 32. Xekouki P, Szarek E, Bullova P, Giubellino A, Quezado M, Mastroyannis SA, Mastorakos P, Wassif CA, Raygada M, Rentia N and Dye L: Pituitary adenoma with paraganglioma/pheochromocytoma (3PAs) and succinate dehydrogenase defects in humans and mice. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2015; 05: 710-719.
- 33. Ricketts CJ, Forman JR, Rattenberry E, Bradshaw N, Lalloo F, Izatt L, Cole TR, Armstrong R, Kumar VA, Morrison PJ. and Atkinson AB: Tumor risks and genotype–phenotype–proteotype analysis in 358 patients with germline mutations in SDHB and SDHD. Human Mutation 2010; 01: 41-51.
- 34. Huang, Lin-Yan, Ma, Ju-Yun, Song, Jin-Xiu, Xu, Jing-Jing, Hong, Rui, Fan, Hai-Di, Cai, Heng, Wang, Wan, Wang, Yan-Ling, Hu, Zhao-Li, Shen, Jian-Gang Qi and Su-Hua: Ischemic accumulation of succinate induces Cdc42 succinylation and inhibits neural stem cell proliferation after cerebral ischemia/reperfusion. Neural Regeneration Research 2023; 18(5): 1040-1045.
- 35. Panconesi, Rebeccaa, Widmer, Jeannettec, Carvalho, Mauricio Floresb, Eden, Janinac, Dondossola, Danieled, Dutkowski, Philippc, Schlegel and Andrea: Mitochondria and ischemia reperfusion injury. Current Opinion in Organ Transplantation 2022; 27(5): 434-445.
- 36. Casas-Benito, Adrian, Sonia Martínez-Herrero and Alfredo Martínez: Succinate directed approaches for warburg effect-targeted cancer management, an alternative to current treatments?. Cancers 2023; 10: 2862.
- 37. Pessoa João: Cytochrome c in cancer therapy and prognosis. Bioscience Reports 2022; 12.
- 38. Pessoa João: Cytochrome c in cancer therapy and prognosis. Bioscience Reports 2022; 12.
- Pérez-Mejías, Gonzalo, Alejandra Guerra-Castellano, Antonio Díaz-Quintana, A. Miguel and Irene Díaz-Moreno: Cytochrome c: Surfing Off of the Mitochondrial Membrane on the Tops of Complexes III and IV. Comput Struct Biotechnol J 2019; 17: 654-660.
- 40. Yang, Jie, Xuesong Liu, KapilBhalla, Caryn Naekyung Kim, Ana Maria Ibrado, JiyangCai, Tsung-I. Peng, Dean P. Jones and Xiaodong Wang: Prevention of apoptosis by Bcl-2: release of cytochrome c from mitochondria blocked. Science 1997; 1129-1132.
- Kluck, Ruth M, Ella Bossy-Wetzel, Douglas R. Green and Donald D. Newmeyer: The release of cytochrome c from mitochondria: a primary site for Bcl-2 regulation of apoptosis. Science 1997; 1132-1136.
- 42. Kuwana, Tomomi, Mason R. Mackey, Guy Perkins, Mark H. Ellisman, Martin Latterich, Roger Schneiter, Douglas R. Green and Donald D. Newmeyer: Bid, Bax and lipids cooperate to form supramolecular openings in the outer mitochondrial membrane. Cell 2002; 3: 331-342.

- 43. Zou, Hua, William J. Henzel, Xuesong Liu, Alexis Lutschg and Xiaodong Wang: Apaf-1, a human protein homologous to C. elegans CED-4, participates in cytochrome c–dependent activation of caspase-3. Cell 1997; 3: 405-413.
- 44. Li, Peng, Deepak Nijhawan, ImawatiBudihardjo, Srinivasa M. Srinivasula, Manzoor Ahmad, Emad S. Alnemri and Xiaodong Wang: Cytochrome c and dATP-dependent formation of Apaf-1/caspase-9 complex initiates an apoptotic protease cascade. Cell 1997; 04: 479-489.
- 45. Slee, Elizabeth A, Colin Adrain and Seamus J. Martin: Executioner caspase-3,-6, and-7 perform distinct, nonredundant roles during the demolition phase of apoptosis. Journal of Biological Chemistry 2001; 10: 7320-7326.
- Mohamed, Mervat S, Mai K. Bishr, Fahad M. Almutairi, and Ayat G. Ali: Inhibitors of apoptosis: clinical implications in cancer. Apoptosis 2017; 12: 1487-1509.
- 47. Pfeffer, Claire M and Amareshwar TK Singh: Apoptosis: a target for anticancer therapy. Int J Mol Sci 2018; 02:448.
- Zou, Hua, Yuchen Li, Xuesong Liu and Xiaodong Wang: An APAF-1• cytochrome c multimeric complex is a functional apoptosome that activates procaspase-9. Journal of Biological Chemistry 1999; 17: 11549-11556.

- Renault, Thibaud T, Konstantinos V. Floros and Jerry E. Chipuk: BAK/BAX activation and cytochrome c release assays using isolated mitochondria. Methods 2013; 02: 146-155.
- 50. Liu, Xuesong, Caryn Naekyung Kim, Jie Yang, Ronald Jemmerson and Xiaodong Wang: Induction of apoptotic program in cell-free extracts: requirement for dATP and cytochrome c. Cell 1996; 01:147-157.
- 51. Robertson JD: Nuclear events in apoptosis. J Struct Biol 2000; 346-358.
- 52. Cai, Jiyang, Jie Yang and Dean P Jones: Mitochondrial control of apoptosis: the role of cytochrome c. Biochimicaet Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Bioenergetics 1998; 1-2: 139-149.
- 53. Renz, Andrea, Wolfgang E. Berdel, Michael Kreuter, Claus Belka, Klaus Schulze-Osthoff and Marek Los: Rapid extracellular release of cytochrome c is specific for apoptosis and marks cell death *in-vivo*. Blood, the J of the American Society of Hematology 2001; 05: 1542-1548.
- 54. Yadav, Sarita, Neha Sawarni, Preeti Kumari and Minakshi Sharma: Advancement in analytical techniques fabricated for the quantitation of cytochrome c. Process Biochemistry 2022.

How to cite this article:

Dutta SP, Choudhary S and Savapandit S: Mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase and succinate dehydrogenase: emerging biomarkers in cancer. Int J Pharm Sci & Res 2024; 15(10): 2983-90. doi: 10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.15(10).2983-90.

All © 2024 are reserved by International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research. This Journal licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License.

This article can be downloaded to Android OS based mobile. Scan QR Code using Code/Bar Scanner from your mobile. (Scanners are available on Google Playstore)