IJPSR (2014), Vol. 5, Issue 7



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL



Received on 24 January, 2014; received in revised form, 03 March, 2014; accepted, 03 May, 2014; published 01 July, 2014

FORMULATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF SOLID DISPERSIONS OF A POORLY SOLUBLE FENOFIBRATE

A. Prashanth Kumar

Prasad institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Jalgaon, Telangana, India

Keywords: Fenofibrate, Bioavailability, Solid dispersion, Preformulation parameters, Dissolution enhancement, carriers, solubility.

Correspondence to Author:

A. Prashanth Kumar

Department of Pharmaceutics, Prasad institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Jangaon, Telangana, India

Email: prashanthalay14@Gmail.com

ABSTRACT: Solid dispersion is one of these methods, which was most widely and successfully applied to improve the solubility, dissolution rates and consequently the bioavailability of poorly soluble drugs. The solid dispersions based on the concept that the drug is dispersed in an inert water-soluble carrier at solid state. Several water soluble carriers such as methyl cellulose, urea, lactose, citric acid, polyvinyl pyrrolidone and polyethylene glycols 4000 and 6000 are used as carriers for solid dispersion. Thus the solid dispersion technique can be successfully used for the improvement of dissolution of Fenofibrate. Various solvents, carrier materials, diluents, disintegrating agents, lubricants has been used for the preparation of solid dispersion of fenofibrate. Over the years, a variety of solubilization techniques have been studied and widely used, by many estimates up to 40 per cent of new chemical entities discovered by the pharmaceutical industry today are poorly soluble or lipophilic compounds. The solubility issues complicating the delivery of these new drugs also affect the delivery of many existing drugs. The various techniques are available for enhancement of solubility. Solid dispersion is one of the most promising approach for solubility enhancement. Different methods are also been used for preparation of solid dispersions such as Melting method, Solvent method, Melting solvent method (melt evaporation), Melt extrusion method, Lyophilisation Technique, Melt Agglomeration Process, The Use Of Surfactant, Electrospinning and Super Critical Fluid (SCF) Technology. Preformulation and evaluation parameters in this study explain about the flowability and acceptability of the prepared formulations. DSC studies explain about the compatibility between the different excipients used in the formulations.

INTRODUCTION: Immediate release oral dosage forms are most widely used drug delivery systems available. These products are designed to disintegrate in the stomach followed by their dissolution in the fluids of the gastrointestinal tract. Dissolution of the drug substance under physiological conditions is essential for its systemic absorption.

	DOI:		
	10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.5(7).3004-17		
	Article can be accessed online on: www.ijpsr.com		
DOI link: http://dx.doi.org/10.13040/UPSR.0975-8232.5(7).3004-17			

For this reason dissolution testing is typically performed on solid dosage forms to measure the drug release form the drug product as a test for product quality assurance/product performance and to determine the compliance with dissolution requirements when stated in the individual monograph. In limited number of cases, an *in vitro* – *in vivo* correlation is established between the drug release and drug product absorption necessary for therapeutic effect. Disintegration test is also a standardized test and is primarily used as a quality assurance tool to confirm complete disintegration of solid oral dosage forms within the prescribed

time when placed in a liquid medium under the experimental conditions $^{1, 2, 3}$.

Solid Dispersion: Dispersion of the drug as very fine particles will increase the surface area available for dissolution. According to the classical Noyes-Whitney equation this will increase the dissolution rate. Particle size reduction may go to the nano-scale. However, even this size reduction will not lead to concentrations above the maximum solubility of the drug in the intestinal fluids⁴. Alternatively, solid dispersions can be used to increase the dissolution rate of poorly soluble drug and they have proven to increase the amount of dissolved drug at the absorption site sometimes to supersaturated concentrations and consequently improve the bioavailability ⁵. Solid dispersions are investigated in many studies because they are highly versatile in their application. They can form the basis of products applied for various routes of administration and for various dosage forms, including the most popular dosage form the tablet $(table 1)^{6-10}$.

Advantages of Solid Dispersions:

- Solid dispersions of a drug in solid state are helpful in stabilizing unstable of drug.
- Many advantages of solid dispersions are derived from their rapid dissolution rate.
- Solid dispersions are thermodynamically more active form of a drug and directly influences diffusion and release rate.
- The dose of drug that is given in solid dispersion form could be decreased; for example, the dose of reserpine spironolactone can be reduced to half by incorporating the drug in a solid dispersion form¹¹⁻¹⁵.
- Solid dispersion of drug in carriers of low solubility offers the potential for sustained release of drug.
- Cell toxicity of Acyclovir can be decreased by solid dispersion method.
- The ulcerogenic activity of NSAIDS can be decreased by solid dispersion method.
- An increased diffusion of steroid from the ointment was obtained, example Prednisolone urea ointment base.

 Solid dispersion can be used to solidify liquid drug for e.g. Clofibrate and benzyl benzoate.

Disadvantages of Solid Dispersions:

- There are chances of changes during storage of amorphous or molecularly dispersed drug.
- High humidity induced changes in solid dispersions were observed if chosen carriers are water soluble, hygroscopic and moisture sensitive that results in decreased dissolution rates from many dispersions including Diazepam-PEG 6000, Nifidepine and Indomethacin-PVP.
- Tackiness and decommissions during preparation and formulation.
- The oral administration of solid dispersions without concomitant reduction in dose may result in higher incidence of adverse effect e.g. Ulceration with Indomethacin -PEG 6000 dispersion¹⁶.

PREFORMULATION STUDIES:

- 1. Solubility:
- 2. Flow Properties:
 - Bulk density
 - Tapped density
 - % Compressibility
 - Hausner's ratio
- 3. Particle size distribution
- 4. Hygroscopic studies
- 5. Fenofibrate Excipient Compatibility studies

Evaluation studies of tablets

1. Dissolution studies ²¹⁻²⁷

Materials: Fenofibrate (Anti-Cholesteramine agent) gift sample from Aurobindo Chemicals Pvt. Ltd, Polyethylene glycol 6000, Polyethylene glycol 400, Cremophore EL, Miglyol, Sugar spheres Celpheres are collected from S.D. Fine chemicals, Lactose monohydrate (Flowlac 100), Pharmatose Microcrystalline cellulose, Pre-gelatinized starch, *Ac-di-sol* (Crosscarmellose sodium) are procured from Hi Media labs Pvt Ltd, Colloidal silicon

dioxide, Magnesium stearate, Isopropyl alcohol are collected from Micro labs.

SLE	I: CLA	ASSIFICATION OF SOLID D	ISPERSIO	IND:	
	Т	ype of solid dispersion	Matrix	Drug	Remark
	1	Eutectic	С	С	The first type of solid dispersion prepared.
	2	Amorphous precipitations in crystalline matrix.	C	А	Rarely encountered.
		Solid solutions			
		Continuous solid solutions	С	М	Miscible at all composition, never prepared.
		Discontinuous solid solutions	С	М	Partially miscible, 2 drugs even though drug is molecularly dispersed.
	3	Substitutional solid solutions	С	М	Molecular diameter of drug (solute) differs less than15% from matrix (solvent diameter). In that case the drug and matrix are substitutional. Can be continuous or discontinuous, when discontinuous; 2 phases though drug is molecularly dispersed.
		Interstitial solid solutions	С	М	Molecular diameter of drug (solute) less than 59% from matrix (solvent diameter). Usually limited miscibility, discontinuous. Example; drug in helical interstitial spaces of PEG.
	4	Glass suspensions.	А	С	Particle size of disperse phase dependent on cooling/evaporation rate. Obtained after crystallization of drug in amorphous matrix.
	5	Glass suspensions.	А	А	Particle size of disperse phase dependent on cooling/ evaporation rate, many solid dispersion are of this type.
	6	Glass solutions.	А	М	Requires miscibility/solid solubility, complex formation or upon fast cooling/evaporation during preparation. Many recent examples especially with PVP.
		Other related	designs		
	7	Complex formation	C/M	М	Drug and matrix strongly interact and form complexes in aqueous environment. Ex; cyclodextrin and solid surfactants.
	8	Monotectics	C	С	Same as eutectics but eutectics melting convergent with pure material, for completely non-interacting system.
	9	Co-precipitates	-	-	Prepared by addition of non-solvents to solution of drug and matrix.
	10	Co-evaporates	-	-	Prepared by vacuum drying, spray drying, freeze drying and spray freeze drying, many examples.

Classification of Solid Dispersions: TABLE 1: CLASSIFICATION OF SOLID DISPERSIONS: ⁽¹⁸⁻²⁰⁾

A: Amorphous state, C: Crystalline state, M: Drug molecularly dispersed throughout the matrix.

FORMULATION METHODS: Preparation of solid dispersion of Fenofibrate by Solvent

Evaporation method using fluid-bed coating technique as shown in **fig. 1.**



FIGURE 1: PREPARATION OF SOLID DISPERSION OF FENOFIBRATE BY SOLVENT EVAPORATION METHOD USING FLUID-BED COATING TECHNIQUE

FORMULATION DEVELOPMENT:

- 1. **F1:** Bottom spraying of Fenofibrate solution on sugar spheres lead to lump formation due to oily nature of surfactant Cremophore EL. Process was not satisfactory. Trial with different surfactant was planned.
- 2. **F2:** Top spraying of Fenofibrate solution on lactose monohydrate lead to bed dampening and fluidization problem because of oily nature of surfactant Miglyol. Process was not

satisfactory. Trial with different polymer and surfactant was planned.

- 3. **F3:** Bottom spraying of Fenofibrate solution on celpheres lead to lump formation. The process was not satisfactory. Granulation was planned by top spraying the Fenofibrate solution on lactose monohydrate.
- 4. **F4:** Granulation process was satisfactory. But heavy sticking occurred during compression

because of presence of large quantity of PEG in the formulation.

- 5. **F5:** To address the sticking issue, diluent was incorporated in the extragranular part and tablet weight was increased along with increased quantity of lubricant. Slight sticking was observed during compression. Fenofibrate release was negligible with compared to innovator.
- 6. **F6:** To improve the Fenofibrate release, superdisintegrant was incorporated in the extragranular part and water insoluble diluents (MCC and PGS) being used to address the sticking issue. Dissolution was faster compared to the innovator.

- 7. **F7:** To get the desired dissolution profile, superdisintegration concentration was reduced in the extragranular part but not much difference in the Fenofibrate release was achieved.
- 8. **F8:** To achieve the desired release profile, polymer concentration was decreased. The Fenofibrate release was faster at initial stage compared to the innovator.
- 9. **F9:** The disintegrant concentration was decreased in the extragranular part to achieve the desired profile. Dissolution was comparable to that of innovator.
- 10. **F10:** Reproducible batch was taken to confirm the reproducibility of batch F9 as shown in **table 2** and proto-type formulation in **table 3**.

C No	Tu ana di anta	Ingredients (mg/tablet)									
5. INO.	Ingreatents	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	F7	F8	F9	F10
1	Fenofibrate	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120
2	PEG 6000	156	-	156	156	156	156	156	120	120	120
3	Cremophore EL	67	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Miglyol 812	-	120	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	PEG 400	-	-	30	30	30	30	30	24	24	24
6	DCM	1150	158	310	310	310	310	310	310	310	310
7	IPA	682	300	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200
8	Sugar spheres	300	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	Lactose monohydrate	-	384	-	300	300	300	300	366	366	366
10	Celpheres	-	-	300	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Extra Granular										
1	Pharmatose 200M	-	-	-	-	344	-	-	-	-	-
2	Pregelatinized Starch (Lycatab)	-	-	-	-	-	134	134	134	134	135
3	Ac-di-sol (CCS)	-	-	-	-	-	100	50	100	50	51
4	MCC pH 102	-	-	-	-	-	130	180	106	156	156
5	Aerosil 200	-	-	-	-	-	10	10	10	10	12
6	Magnesium stearate	-	-	-	14	20	20	20	20	20	18
	Total			-	650	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Ratio	of Fenofibrate to polymer	1:1.3	-	1:1.3	1:1.3	1:1.3	1:1.3	1:1.3	1:1	1:1	1:1

TABLE 2: COMPOSITION OF SOILD DISPERSION OF FENOFIBRATE

TABLE 3: PROTOTYPE FORMULATION (F10)

S. No.	Name of the ingredients	Category
1	Fenofibrate (Anti-Cholesteramine agent)	Active excipient
2.	Polyethylene glycol 6000	Polymer (hydrophilic carrier)
3	PEG 400	Wetting agent
4.	Lactose monohydrate (Flowlac 100)	Inert carrier
5.	Microcrystalline cellulose (pH 102)	Diluent
6.	Pregelatinized Starch	Diluent
7.	Ac-di-sol (Crosscarmellose sodium)	Disintegrant
8.	Aerosil (colloidal silicon dioxide)	Glidant
9.	Magnesium stearate	Lubricant
10.	Isopropyl alcohol	Solvent
11.	Dichloromethane	Solvent

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

for the dissolution studies of the solid dispersions as shown in **table 4**.

Solubility Studies: Solubility studies were done in different buffers to select the dissolution medium

TABLE 4: SOLUBILITY STUDIES

Solvent	Pure Fenofibrate	Solid dispersion
Water	0.2568	2.621
0.1N HCL	0.3637	3.521
pH 4.5 Acetate buffer	0.2568	2.124
pH 6.8 Phosphate buffer	0.1284	0.834
pH 7.5 Phosphate buffer	0.1926	1.221

Flow characterization parameters: The flow indices show that Fenofibrate has very poor flow as shown in table 5.

TABLE 5: FLOW PROPERTIES OF FENOFIBRATE

Bulk density (g/ml)	0.27
Tapped density (g/ml)	0.49
Compressibility Index (%)	1.82
Hausner's Ratio	44.9

Particle size distribution: The particle size distribution data of Fenofibrate was reported as less than 11.7 microns.

Hygroscopic studies: The hygroscopic study of Fenofibrate was done under following temp. & humidity conditions as shown in **table 6.** The Hygroscopicity study of Fenofibrate Fenofibrate indicates that Fenofibrate is non-hygroscopic in nature as the % weight increase in the samples at the study is less than 0.2%.

TABLE 6: HYGROSCOPICITY DATA

Time Interval		% Weight Change						
	33% RH	53% RH	75% RH					
Day 1	0.00	0.0	0.0					
Day 2	0.00	0.03	0.0					
Day 4	0.00	0.03	0.01					
	Equilibrium RH							
Day 0		0.415						
Day 4	0.479	0.523	0.529					
Remarks		Non-hygroscopic						

Fenofibrate - excipients compatibility studies: The results of Fenofibrate excipients compatibility studies suggest that there was no change in the physical appearance of mixtures when stored at $40^{\circ}C \pm 2^{\circ}C / 75\% \pm 5\%$ RH for 1 month. **Physical observations of Excipients Compatibility Study:** The results of Fenofibrate excipients compatibility studies as shown in **table 7** suggest that there was no change in the physical appearance of mixtures when stored at $40^{\circ}C \pm 2^{\circ}C / 75\% \pm 5\%$ RH for 1 month.

 TABLE 7: API – EXCIPIENTS COMPATIBILITY STUDY PHYSICAL OBSERVATIONS (STORAGE CONDITION: 40°C/75%RH)

S No	Pinony mixture (Potio)	Observations					
5. 110.	Binary inixture (Katio)	Initial	After 15 Days	After 30 Days			
1	Fanofibrata	White powder to off-	White powder to off-	White powder to off-			
1	renombrate	white powder	white powder	white powder			
2	Equation Sucross (1.10)	White powder to off-	White powder to off-	White powder to off-			
Z	Fenomorale: Sucrose (1:10)	white powder	white powder	white powder			
2	Equation MCC pH 101 (1.10)	White powder to off-	White powder to off-	White powder to off-			
3	renonbrate: MCC pH 101 (1:10)	white powder	white powder	white powder			

E-ISSN: 0975-8232; P-ISSN: 2320-5148

4	Fanofibrata: Lactosa (1:10)	White powder to off-	White powder to off-	White powder to off-
	Tenonorate. Lactose (1.10)	white powder	white powder	white powder
5	Fenofibrate: Pre-Gelatinized	White powder to off-	White powder to off-	White powder to off-
5	starch (1:10)	white powder	white powder	white powder
6	Fenofibrate: Crosscarmellose	White powder to off-	White powder to off-	White powder to off-
0	sodium(1:1)	white powder	white powder	white powder
7	Fenofibrate: Magnesium stearate	White powder to off-	White powder to off-	White powder to off-
	(1:0.5)	white powder	white powder	white powder
8	Fenofibrate: Colloidal silicon	White powder to off-	White powder to off-	White powder to off-
0	dioxide (1:0.5)	white powder	white powder	white powder
0	Equation $PEG_{1}(0)$	White powder to off-	White powder to off-	White powder to off-
)	Tenonorate: TEO 0000(1.10)	white powder	white powder	white powder
10	Fenofibrate: PEG 400(1:0.5)	Clear viscous liquid	Clear viscous liquid	Clear viscous liquid
11	Fenofibrate: Cremophore EL	White powder to off-	White powder to off-	White powder to off-
11	(1:0.5)	white waxy liquid	white waxy liquid	white waxy liquid
12	Fenofibrate: Miglvol 812(1:0.5)	Hazy oily liquid	Hazy oily liquid	Hazy oily liquid

Evaluation of Tablets: (Table 8) TABLE 8: PHYSICAL EVALUATION

		01111									
S. No.	Physical parameter	F 1	F 2	F 3	F 4	F 5	F 6	F 7	F8	F9	F10
1	Weight variation (%)					0.23	0.27	0.19	0.22	0.21	0.15
2	Hardness (Kp)					6.6-7.7	7.6-8.6	7.6-9.0	13.7-14.5	11.2-14.1	10.2-11.5
3	Thickness (mm)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	6.31-6.35	6.28-6.30	6.34-6.37	6.46-6.50	6.43-6.44	6.51-6.54
4	Friability (%)					0.045	0.042	0.042	0.042	0.033	0.04
5	Disintegration time					22-25 min	11-12 min	12-13 min	18-19 min	17-19 min	14-15 min

N/A: Not applicable

Dissolution studies: The dissolution behaviour of pure Fenofibrate and solid dispersions with **Dissolution Parameters:**

hydrophilic carriers prepared in different ratios was observed under the following parameters (**fig. 2, 3**);

Medium	: Water+0.75% Sodium lauryl sulfate
Apparatus	: USP apparatus II (Paddles)
RPM	: 75 RPM
Quantity	: 900 ml
Temperature	$:37\pm0.5^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$
Time points	: 15 min, 30 min, 45 min, 60 min.

Dissolution profile for pure fenofibrate and reference product: (Table 9) TABLE 9: IN-VITRO DISSOLUTION PROFILE FOR PURE FENOFIBRATE AND REFERENCE PRODUCT

S. No.	Time (min)	Pure Fenofibrate (120 mg)	Reference product						
1	15	7	59						
2	30	18	79						
3	45	22	90						
4	60	24	99						

Cumulative % Fenofibrate release for formulated batches and reference product: (Table 10, 11, 12)

TABLE 10:	IN-VITRO	DISSOLUT	ION PROFIL	E FOR TRIAL	BATCHES A	ND INNOVATOR
-----------	----------	----------	------------	-------------	-----------	--------------

Time	Innovator	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	F7	F8	F9	F10		
15 min	59	N/A				1	68	65	69	57	58		
30 min	79					NT/A	2	88	85	83	80	81	
45 min	90		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	98	95	91	89	93
60 min	99					6	100	98	99	98	100		

N/A: Not applicable



FIG. 2: A) *IN-VITRO* DISSOLUTION PROFILE FOR PURE FENOFIBRATE &INNOVATOR B) *IN-VITRO* DISSOLUTION PROFILE FOR F5,F6&REFERANCE PRODUCT C) *IN-VITRO* DISSOLUTION PROFILE FOR F7, F8 & REFERANCE PRODUCT D) *IN-VITRO* DISSOLUTION PROFILE FOR F9, F10 & REFERANCE PRODUCT

TABLE 11: DISSOLUTION PROFILE OF REPRODUCIBILITY BATCH (F10) COMPARED TO PUREFENOFIBRATE AND REFERANCE PRODUCT

S. No.	Time (min)	Pure Fenofibrate (120 mg)	Reference product	F10
1	15	7	59	58
2	30	18	79	81
3	45	22	90	93
4	60	24	99	100

TABLE 12: DISSOLUTION PROFILE OF REPRODUCIBILITY BATCH (F10) COMPARED TO PUREFENOFIBRATE AND PHYSICAL MIXTURE





FIG. 3A): *IN-VITRO* DISSOLUTION PROFILE FOR PURE FENOFIBRATE, REFERANCE PRODUCT & F10; B): *IN-VITRO* DISSOLUTION PROFILE FOR PURE FENOFIBRATE, PHYSICAL MIXTURER & F10

Characterization of Solid dispersion: The solidstate properties of Fenofibrate in the solid dispersion were investigated using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) since this would influence the *in vitro* and *in vivo* dissolution characteristics.

Differential Scanning Calorimetry: Thermal properties of Fenofibrate, polymer and solid dispersion were investigated using a METTLER

E-ISSN: 0975-8232; P-ISSN: 2320-5148

differential scanning calorimeter thermal analysis controller with an intracooler-2 cooling. About 3 to 5 mg of product was placed in perforated aluminum sealed 50-µl pans, and the heat runs for each sample was set from 40°C to 250°C at 10°C/min, under an inert environment using nitrogen. The apparatus was calibrated using pure metals like indium with known melting points and heat of fusion (Δ H fusion) (**fig. 4, 5**).



FIG. 5A): SOLID DISPERSION GRANULES (PRODUCT); B): PHYSICAL MIXTURE OF FENOFIBRATE & PEG 6000

The DSC for Solid dispersion of Fenofibrate shown shift in the melting point towards lower side compared to pure Fenofibrate. This shows conversion of maximum amount of Fenofibrate into amorphous form. The extra peak is due to placebo interference as shown in **fig. 4 and 6**. **TABLE 13: STABILITY DATA FOR F10**

Stability Studies: After 1month, 2month&3month period of stability studies Fenofibrate content and % Fenofibrate release studies are done. According to the data as shown in the **table 13**, Fenofibrate content and % release are within the limit.

S. No.	% Fenofibrate release (60 min)	%Assay	Related substances (total impurity)
Initial	99	100.2	0.05
40/75, 1M	97	99.4	0.59
40/75, 2M	98	98.5	0.52
40/75, 3M	96	99.2	0.45

As the Fenofibrate content, % Fenofibrate release studies and related impurities are within the limit so the formulation passes the stability test. Optimization results of different formulations are tabulated in **tables 14 - 22.**

Effect of diluent (pre-gelatinized starch) concentration on the optimized batch:

TABLE 14: OPTIMIZATION OF DILUENT CONCENTRATION

Formulation	13.4%Diluent	8%Diluent	18%diluent
INTRAGRANULAR (mg/tablet)			
A.P.I	120	120	120
PEG6000	120	120	120
PEG400	24	24	24
DCM	310	310	310
IPA	200	200	200
Lactose monohydrate	366	366	366
EXTRAGRANULAR (mg/tablet)			
Pre-gelatinized starch	135	80.60	181.34
Crosscarmellose sodium	51	51	51
MCC	156	156	156
Aerosil	12	12	12
Magnesium stearate	18	18	18

Evaluation Parameters:

TABLE 15: EFFECT OF DILUENT ON TABLET CHARACTERISTICS

Formulation Code	Weight Variation	Hardness (Kg/cm ²)	Thickness (mm)	Friability (%)	Disintegration Time (sec)
13.4%	0.15	10.2-11.5	6.51-6.54	0.04	14-15min
8%	0.14	9.8-10.8	6.32-6.38	0.032	12-13min
18%	0.15	10.8-12.1	6.53-6.56	0.042	16-18min

Effect of diluent concentration on tablet dissolution profile:

TABLE 16: IN VITRO DISSOLUTION PROFILE

Time (min)	Referance product	13.4% Diluent	8% Diluent	18% diluent
		%Fenofibrate rele	ase	
0	0	0	0	0
15	59	60	71	62
30	79	82	97	88
45	90	95	102	94
60	99	100	103	96



For diluent (pre-gelatinized starch), formulation were evaluated at different levels of 80.6mg and 181.34 mg, wherein significant differences were observed with respect to dissolution profile of the formulations. Hence pregelatinized starch at a level of 135 mg was required to obtain comparable product with the innovator product shown in **fig. 6**.

Effect of Disintegrant (Crosscarmellose sodium) concentration on the Optimized batch:

TABLE 17: OPTIMIZATION OF DISINTEGRANT CONCENTRATION

Formulation	5%Disintegranl	2.5%Disintegrant	7.5% disintegrant						
INTRAGRANULAR (mg/tablet)									
A.P.I	120	120	120						
PEG6000	120	120	120						
PEG400	24	24	24						
DCM	310	310	310						
IPA	200	200	200						
Lactose monohydrate	366	366	366						
	EXTRAGRANUL	AR (mg/tablet)							
Pre-gelatinized starch	135	135	135						
Crosscarmellose sodium	51	25.5	76.5						
MCC	156	156	156						
Aerosil	12	12	12						
Magnesium stearate	18	18	18						

Evaluation Parameters:

TABLE 18: EFFECT OF DISINTEGRANT ON TABLET CHARACTERISTICS

Formulation Code	Weight	Hardness	Thickness	Friability	Disintegration
Formulation Code	Variation	(Kg/cm ²)	(mm)	(%)	Time (sec)
5%	0.15	10.2-11.5	6.51-6.54	0.04	14-15min
2.5%	0.12	10.1-10.8	6.48-6.49	0.042	16-18min
7.5%	0.14	10.3-10.9	6.50-6.52	0.039	9-11min

Effect of Disintegrant Concentration on Tablet Dissolution profile:

TABLE19: IN-VITRO DISSOLUTION PROFILE

Time (min)	Reference product	5% Disintegrant	2.5% Disintegrant	7.5% Disintegrant					
%Fenofibrate release									
0	0	0	0	0					
15	59	60	51	81					
30	79	82	70	96					
45	90	95	88	100					
60	99	100	98	100					



Disintegrant levels of 25.5mg and 76.5 mg were studied wherein significant difference was observed with respect to dissolution when disintegrant concentration was changed from 25.5 mg to 75.5 mg. A disintegrant level of 51 mg was required to obtain comparable product with the reference product shown in **fig. 7**.

Effect of Lubricant (Magnesium stearate) concentration on the Optimized batch:

TABLE 20: OPTIMIZATION OF LUBRICANT

Formulation	2%Lubricant	1% Lubricant t	3% Lubricant						
INTRAGRANULAR (mg/tablet)									
A.P.I	120	120	120						
PEG6000	120	120	120						
PEG400	24	24	24						
DCM	310	310	310						
IPA	200	200	200						
Lactose monohydrate	366	366	366						
	EXTRAGRANUL	AR (mg/tablet)							
Pre-gelatinized starch	135	135	135						
Crosscarmellose sodium	51	51	51						
MCC	156	156	156						
Aerosil	12	12	12						
Magnesium stearate	18	9	27						

Evaluation Parameters:

TABLE 21: EFFECT OF LUBRICANT ON TABLET CHARACTERISTICS

Formulation Code	Weight	Hardness	Thickness	Friability	Disintegratio
	Variation	(Kg/cm ⁻)	(mm)	(%)	n Time (sec)
5%	0.15	10.2-11.5	6.51-6.54	0.04	14-15min
2.5%	0.14	10-10.7	6.41-6.43	0.039	13-16min
7.5%	0.15	10.5-11.8	6.45-6.52	0.041	20-21min

Effect of Disintegrant concentration on Tablet Dissolution profile:

TABLE 22: IN VITRO DISSOLUTION PROFILE



In case of lubricant, blend characteristics, physical were attributes and dissolution profile of the formulation mg.

were evaluated at lubricant levels of 9 mg and 27 mg. A significant difference was observed with

respect to tablet characteristics and Fenofibrate release at lubricant concentration of 5 mg and a slower Fenofibrate release were observed at a concentration of 27 mg. The blend characteristics, physical attributes and dissolution profile of the formulation was acceptable at a lubricant concentration of 18 mg per tablet shown in **fig. 8**.

CONCLUSION: Immediate release oral dosage forms are most widely used Fenofibrate delivery systems available. These products are designed to disintegrate in the stomach followed by their dissolution in the fluids of the gastrointestinal tract. Dissolution of the Fenofibrate substance under physiological conditions is essential for its systemic absorption.

In this study, solid dispersion of poorly soluble Fenofibrate is prepared to enhance its solubility and Fenofibrate release profile.

Model Fenofibrate is of fibrate class used in the treatment of hypercholesterolemia and hyperlipidemia. It reduces low-density lipoprotein (LDL) and very low density lipoprotein (VLDL) levels, as well as increasing high-density lipoprotein (HDL) levels and reducing triglycerides level.

The Preformulation studies of API were carried out and Fenofibrate-Excipients compatibility studies were performed by physical observation. The physical observations have showed API-Excipients does not show any color changes and they were similar to that of initial samples, it showed that no physical changes were observed and found to be compatible.

The solid dispersion of model Fenofibrate was prepared by solvent evaporation method using Fluid-bed coater granulator.

F1 to F10 batches were prepared using hydrophilic polymer PEG 6000, surfactants PEG 400, cremophore EL, miglol and solvents IPA, DCM, inert carriers like lactose monohydrate, sugar spheres, celpheres. The Extragranular excipients used are micro-crystalline cellulose, pharmatose 200M, pregelatnized starch, Crosscarmellose sodium, aerosil 200, magnesium stearate

E-ISSN: 0975-8232; P-ISSN: 2320-5148

In preparation of batches F1 to F4 fluid- bed coater granulator process was not smooth due to presence of large amount of surfactants. For batches F5 to F8 process was smooth but Fenofibrate release profile is not matching with innovator. Batch F9 has similar dissolution profile as that of innovator so a reproducibility batch of F10 is done.

The solubility studies of solid dispersion in different media are done; there is increase in solubility compared to API.

The tablet parameters like weight variation, hardness, thickness, friability, disintegration time are passing all the specifications for batches F5 to F10.

Stability samples of F10 batch were analyzed for %Fenofibrate release and Fenofibrate content, they are within the limits.

Effect of diluent, disintegrant and lubricant concentrations was studied and the optimum concentration was selected based on Fenofibrate release profile.

Characterization of solid dispersion is done for batch F10 by DSC.

From the results. it could be concluded that solid dispersions can be prepared using fluid-bed coater granulator.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: The author is grateful to our respected principal, Mr. Srikanth and all the lecturers of Prasad College of Pharmaceutical Sciences for providing necessary guidelines to do this work.

REFERENCES:

- 1 Seager H. Fenofibrate-delivery products and the Zydis fastdissolving dosage form. J Pharm Pharmacol 1998; 50:375-82.
- 2 Herbert A. Lieberman, Leon Lachman and Joseph Schwartz, Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms: Tablets, volume 3, 2nd edition, reprint in 2005.
- 3 Fenofibrate solubilizing strategies, applying nanoparriculate formulation and solid dispersion approaches in Fenofibrate developments, Carsten Timpe, Ph. D, Novartis Pharma AG Basel.
- 4 Solid dispersion: A Review, Dheerendra K., Lewis S., Udupa N., and Atin K., Manipal College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Manipal, Karnataka, India .
- 5 Rahul Ghaste, Solid Dispersions: An Overview 2009; 7(5).

E-ISSN: 0975-8232; P-ISSN: 2320-5148

- Anil J Shinde Solubilization of Poorly Soluble Fenofibrates: A Review 2007; 5.
- 7 Goldberg A, Gibaldi M, Kanig J L, Increasing dissolution rates and gastrointestinal absorption of Fenofibrates via solid solutions and eutectic mixtures III - experimental evaluation of griseofulvin- succinic acid solid solution, J. Pharm. Sci.,1966; 55; 487-492.
- Serajuddin A., solid dispersion technique, J.Pharm.Sci. 1999; 88(10); 891-900.
- 9 Wei W. *et.al.* Enhanced dissolution of silymarin/polyvinyl pyrrolidine solid dispersion prepared bya one step fluid bed coating technique. Powder technology.2008:182:72-80.
- 10 Wei W. *et.al.* Physical characterization of lansoprazole/PVP solid dispersion prepared by fluid-bed technique
- 11 P.Srinarong.et.al. strong enhanced dissolution rate of fenofibrate solid dispersion tablets by incorporation of superdisintegrants, European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics 2008.
- 12 Alazar N. Ghebremeskel *et al.* Use of Surfactants as Plasticizers in Preparing Solid Dispersions of Poorly Soluble API: Stability Testing of Selected Solid Dispersions, Pharmaceutical Research, Volume 23, Number 8 / August, 2006.
- 13 Van Den Mooter G et al. Formulation and characterization of ternary solid dispersions made up of Itrconazole and two excipients, TPGS 1000 and PVPVA 64, that were selected based on super saturation screening study, European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics 2008.
- 14 Vanden Mooter. G et al. Mechanism of increased dissolution of diazepam and temazepam from polyethylene glycol 6000 solid dispersions. Int J Pharmaceutics. 2002:249:45-58.
- 15 Anant Paradkar- *et al* Preparation and evaluation of glibenclamide- polyglyclazide glycerides solid dispersion with silicon dioxide by spray drying technique, European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics 2008.

- 16 Sang-Chul Shin, Physicochemical characterization of solid dispersion of furosemide with TPGS ,International Journal of Pharmaceutics January 2003; 251(1-2), 30: 79-84
- 17 Ilse Weuts, Salt formation in solid dispersions consisting of polyacrylic acid as a carrier and three basic model compounds resulting in very high glass transition temperatures and constant dissolution properties upon storage. European Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, July-August 2005; 25(4-5): 387-393.
- 18 Shawn A. Mitchell, methylcellulose, International Journal of Pharmaceutics January 2003; 250(1): 2: 3-11.
- 19 D.Q.M. Craig. The dissolution of nortriptyline HCl from polyethylene glycol solid dispersions, International Journal of Pharmaceutics January 1992; Volume 78, Issues 1-3, 1: 175-182.
- 20 Vanden Mooter G *et al.* Physicochemical characterization of solid dispersion of the anti-viralagent UC-781with PEG6000 and Gelurice 44/14. European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics 2008.
- 21 Siriporn Okonogi et al 14 European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics 2008.
- 22 Jennifer Dressman *et al.* Improving Fenofibrate solubility for oral delivery using solid dispersions. European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics 2008.
- 23 Norbert Rasenack, Microcrystals for dissolution rate enhancement of poorly water-soluble Fenofibrates, International Journal of Pharmaceutics 26 March 2003; 254, (2): 137-145.
- 24 Bruno Sarmento *et al.* Solid dispersions as strategy to improve oral bioavailability of poor water soluble Fenofibrates.
- 25 Deepa. P, Solid dispersions of meloxicam: Factorially designed dosage form for geriatric population. Acta Pharm. 2008:58:99-110.
- 26 Chaudari P.D. Current trends in solid dispersion techniques. Pharma info .net. 2006:4.
- 27 Zhiyong Qian *et al.* Biodegradable poly (-caprolactone)-poly (ethylene glycol) copolymers as Fenofibrate delivery system.

How to cite this article:

Kumar PA: Formulation and characterization of solid dispersions of a poorly soluble fenofibrate. *Int J Pharm Sci Res* 2014; 5(7): 3004-17.doi: 10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.5 (7).3004-17.

All © 2013 are reserved by International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research. This Journal licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License This article can be downloaded to **ANDROID OS** based mobile. Scan QR Code using Code/Bar Scanner from your mobile. (Scanners are available on Google Playstore)