IJPSR (2016), Vol. 7, Issue 2







Received on 18 June, 2014; received in revised form, 28 August, 2014; accepted, 17 October, 2014; published 01 February, 2016

SELF MICROEMULSIFYING DRUG DELIVERY SYSTEM: A LIPID BASED DRUG DELIVERY SYSTEM

Seema Pattewar¹*, Sanjay Kasture¹, Vishal Pande² and Swapnil Sharma¹

Department of Pharmacy¹, Banasthali University, Banasthali, Jainpur, Rajasthan, India. Sanjivani College of Pharmaceutical Education and Research², Kopargaon, MS, India.

Keywords:

Bioavailability, Lipid based drug delivery system, Lymphatic transport and Self microemulsifying drug delivery system

Correspondence to Author: Seema V. Pattewar

Department of Pharmacy, Banasthali University, Banasthali, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

Email: tsdpatil99@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: Administration of drug by oral route is the most effective and acceptable route as it has better therapeutic efficacy, low cost and good patient compliance. More than 40% of new drugs are poorly water soluble. These drugs present development challenges and also have poor bioavailability. Although many formulation approaches like solid dispersions, complexation, pH modification exist, novel lipid based drug delivery system is best approach to design formulation with increased therapeutic benefit for poorly water soluble drug. This review provides recent updates on lipid based drug delivery system with main focus on self micro-emulsifying drug delivery system. Selfmicroemulsifying formulations are evident to improve the oral bioavailability of hydrophobic drugs due to their efficiency in presenting the hydrophobic drug in solubilized form whereby dissolution process can be circumvented. Oil, surfactant and cosurfactant also contribute to the overall improvement in oral bioavailability via promoting the lymphatic transport; thereby hepatic first pass metabolism can be surmounted.

INTRODUCTION: Today, one of the major problems to drug formulation development is poor water solubility of new drug. More than 40% of all new drugs are poorly water soluble. ¹ Poor solubility and ultimately low dissolution rate of these drugs in the gastro-intestinal fluids cause poor bioavailability. For BCS class II drugs, the bioavailability may be enhanced by increasing the solubility and dissolution rate of these drugs in the gastro-intestinal fluids.



The efficacy of these can be improved by increasing its gastrointestinal solubilization with modification of pharmacokinetic profiles.² Oral route is the preferred route as it is non invasive, economic, and does not give pain at site of injection.³ It is the most convenient method for chronic treatment. Oral route is limited by problems related to physicochemical properties of including poor solubility, the drug. low permeability, instability, and rapid metabolism, all of which decrease oral bioavailability.⁴

Lipid-based drug delivery systems play an important role in the delivery of hydrophobic drugs with low bioavailability by using lipids as carriers.⁵ Lipid-based drug delivery systems have gained considerable interest after the commercial success of Sandimmune Neoral, Novartis Pvt. Ltd. and

Fortovase, Roche Laboratories Inc. with much attention focused on self micro-emulsifying drug delivery systems (SMEDDS). Lipid-based drug delivery system (LBDDS) is a well-tolerated system. LBDDS is used to deliver many drugs such as proteins and peptides, nucleic acids. LBDDS are administered through different routes such as oral, parenteral, ocular, intranasal, dermal, transdermal, vaginal. ^{6, 7} Vegetable oils and their derivatives are the primary source for formulation of lipid-based excipient.⁸

Table 1 shows Lipid formulation classificationsystem (LFCS) with typical proportions of lipidformulations.

 TABLE 1: LIPID FORMULATION CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (LFCS) SHOWING TYPICAL PROPORTIONS OF LIPID

 FORMULATIONS

Excipient in formulation	Content of formulation (%w/w)				
	Type I	Type II	Туре III А	Туре III В	Type IV
Oils: triglyceride or mixed mono and diglyceride	100	40-80	40-80	<20	-
Water insoluble surfactants (HLB*<12)	-	20-60	-	-	0-20
Water soluble surfactants (HLB*>12)	-	-	20-40	20-50	30-80
Hydrophilic cosolvents (eg.PEG, transcutol)	-	-	0-40	20-50	0-50

*HLB: Hydrophilic Lipophilic Balance.

Type I formulations are simply oil based,

Type II systems are water-insoluble self emulsifying drug delivery systems (SEDDS),

Type III systems are SEDDS or selfmicroemulsifying drug delivery systems (SMEDDS) and

Type IV systems are oil-free formulations.⁹

Self-Microemulsifying Drug Delivery Systems (SMEDDS):

SMEDDS (Type III B systems) are defined as isotropic mixtures of natural or synthetic oils, solid or liquid surfactants, or one or more hydrophilic solvents and co-solvents/surfactants that have ability to form fine oil -in-water (o/w) microemulsions upon mild agitation followed by dilution in aqueous media, such as GI fluids. SMEDDS spread readily in the GI tract, and the

digestive motility of the stomach and the intestine agitation necessary provide the for selfemulsification. SMEDDS have large quantities of co-solvents but contain less quantity of oil. These formulations have high risk of drug precipitation. Most of the marketed lipid formulations belong to Type III. ¹⁰ SMEDDS have gained lots of importance due to clarity, high solubilisation thermodynamic stability, capacity, simple preparation method and ability to be filter.¹¹They can increase oral bioavailability and eliminate food effects.¹² Some authors refer to the type IIIB formulations as self-nanoemulsifying drug delivery systems (SNEDDS). SNEDDS spontaneously form transparent oil-in-water emulsion of approximately less than 100 nm in size upon dilution with water.

Table 2 shows characteristics of SEDDS, SMEDDS, and dispersions obtained upon their dilution with the aqueous phase. ^{13, 14}

TABLE 2: CHARACTERISTICS OF SEDDS, SMEDDS, SNEDDS.

System/	SEDDS	SMEDDS	SNEDDS
characteristic			
Composition	A system with a drug, oil,	A system with drug, oil,	A system with drug, oil,
	surfactant.	surfactant, co-surfactant/	surfactant, co-surfactant/
		hydrophilic co-solvent.	hydrophilic co-solvent.
	SEDDS, SMEDDS, SNEDDS for	m a fine oil-in-water microemu	lsion in contact with GI fluids.
Lipid droplet size in	From 200 nm to 5 µm. providing	Less than 200nm, giving a	Less than 100 nm (small
dispersion	a large surface area for	large surface area for	polydispersity index). These
	absorption.	absorption. These are clear	are clear in appearance.
	The emulsion has a turbid	to translucent in appearance.	
	appearance.		

Solubilizing capacity	SEDDS, SMEDDS, SNEDDS have high drug solubilizing capacity.			
Stability of dispersions	Thermodynamically	Thermodynamically	Thermodynamically	
	unstable.	stable	stable	
Formulation	Optimization of SEDDS may	Pseudo-ternary phase	Pseudo-ternary phase	
technique	require the development of	diagrams are required to	diagrams are required to	
	ternary phase diagrams.	optimize SMEDDS, whereas	optimize SMEDDS, whereas	
		the order of mixing of	the order of mixing of	
		preselected components is	preselected components is	
		not important	not important	
	SEDDS, SMEDDS, formulations can be prepared as liquids and semi-solids for capsule dosage			
	forms and solid forms for tableting.			
Concentration of oil	The oil concentration in SEDDS	The oil concentration in	The oil concentration in	
	is 40 – 80%	SMEDDS is less than 20%	SNEDDS should be less as	
			possible.	
Surfactant used with HLB	Surfactants of HLB < 12 .	Surfactants of $HLB > 12$.	Surfactants of HLB > 12 .	

Drug Selection for SMEDDS:

Lipid based formulation are useful for Biopharmaceutical classification system BCS Class II and IV. Drug lipophilicity (logP) is useful for design of lipidic system.High logP of drug (greater than 4) is desirable. Low melting point and low dose of drug is desirable for formulation of SMEDDS.

Biopharmaceutical aspects of SMEDDS:

Mechanism for increase in absorption of drug by SMEDDS:

- *In vivo* solubilization of drug Presence of lipid in gastrointestinal tract (GIT) stimulates secretion of bile salt and biliary lipid such as phospholipids and cholesterol, leads to formation of intestinal mixed micelles. This causes enhancement in solubilization capacity of GIT. Addition to lipid from formulation causes further increase in solubilization capacity.
- Increase in gastric residence time of drug – Lipid in the GIT causes delay in gastric emptying. This enables better dissolution of drug and improves drug absorption.
- **Promotion of intestinal lymphatic transport of drug** – Lipid enhances the lymphatic transport of lipophilic drug and enhances bioavailability via reduction in first pass metabolism.
- Affecting intestinal permeability Lipid can change the physical barrier function of

gut wall. Thus increase in permeability of drug.

• Reduced metabolism and efflux activity of drug- Certain surfactant and lipid show reduction in activity of efflux transporters in the gut wall thus increase in absorption of drug.eg. Labrasol, Cremophore EL.

Lipid digestion and drug solubilization in GIT:

Gastric lipase helps in digestion of lipid. Peristalsis and gastric emptying aids in emulsification before it entre in duodenum. In the small intestine pancreatic lipase converts dietary glycerides to diglycerides, monoglycerides and fatty acid. The presence of exogenous lipid in small intestine stimulates secretion of bile salt, phospholipid and cholesterol. This leads to formation of intestinal mixed micelle. It causes increase in drug solubilization.

Circulatory uptake of drug:

Fatty acid and monoglycerides digestion products are resynthesised into triglycerides and assembled into colloidal lipoprotein within endoplasmic reticulum. These lipoproteins are exocytosed across the basolateral membrane of the enterocytes and entre the mesenteric lymph vessel due to their size which causes easy diffusion through vascular endothelium. Highly lipophillic drug therefore access intestinal lymph via association with developing lipoprotein.¹⁵

Advantages of SMEDDS:

1. Improvement in oral bioavailability- SMEDDS present the drug to GIT in solubilized and micro emulsified form and increase in specific surface

area enable more efficient drug transport through the intestine leading to improved bioavailability. Oil phase can work not only as a carrier but also a 'shield' to protect the attack and degradation from enzymes.

P-glycoprotein is a type of combined protein existing in normal cells. It expels the drugs out of the cells as a self-biological defense and can reduce the drugs absorption. A drugs incorporated in SMEDDS can inhibit the activity of P-glycoprotein which results in an enhancement of oral absorption.

2. Ease of manufacture and scale-up- SMEDDS require very simple and economical equipments like simple mixer with agitator and volumetric liquid filling equipment.

3. Reduction in inter-subject and intra-subject variability in absorption and food effects- The performance of SMEDDS is independent of food.

4. Ability to deliver peptides that are prone to enzymatic hydrolysis in GIT- SMEDDS deliver peptides, hormones, enzyme substrates and inhibitors and gives protection from enzymatic hydrolysis.

Disadvantages of SMEDDS:

1. *In vitro* model needs further development and validation before its strength can be evaluated.

2. Chemical instabilities of drugs and high % of surfactant may irritate GIT.

3. Co solvents can migrate into the shells of soft or hard gelatin capsules, resulting in the precipitation drugs.

4. The precipitation tendency of the drug on dilution may be high due to the dilution effect of the hydrophilic solvent.

5. Formulations containing several excipients become more challenging to validate.¹⁶

Excipient for SMEDDS Formulations: Oils:

Oil solubilizes the hydrophobic drug and aids in self-emulsification. Lipid has a tendency to increase the fraction of drug transported via intestinal lymphatic system and thus increasing lipophilic drug absorption from the GI tract. The molecular structure of oil is responsible for emulsification property of oil.¹⁷ **Table 3** gives idea about commonly used oil in SMEDDS.^{18, 19, 20}

TABLE 3: LIST OF OILS THAT CAN BE USED IN SMEDDS
--

Class	Examples		
Triglyceride vegetable oils-			
1)Triglycerides of long chain	Soybean oil, peanut oil, corn oil		
fatty acids			
2)Triglycerides of medium chain	Miglyol 812, Captex 355,		
fatty acids	Labrafac		
Vegetable oils derivatives			
1)Hydrogenated vegetable oil	Hydrogenated cottonseed oil		
2)Mixed Partial Glycerides	Capmul MCM		
3)Polyoxylglycerides/	Labrafil 1944CS, Labrafil M		
Macrogolglycerides	2125CS, Labrasol, Gelucire		
	44/14.		
Ethoxylated glycerides	Cremophor EL, Cremophor		
	RH40 ,Cremophor RH60.		
5) Polyalcohol esters of fatty	Plurol OleiqueCC497,Capryol,		
acids	Mirj.		
Fatty acids	Oleic acid, Myristic acid,		
	Caprylic acid, Capric acid.		
Ethanol ester	Ethyl oleate.		

Surfactants:

Surfactants are important components of SMEDDS systems as they are responsible for forming a stable emulsion upon dilution and stabilize the internal phase in an emulsion. A surfactant with an HLB value of more than 12 is necessary in SMEDDS. Surfactants used in lipid based drug delivery are polyethoxylated lipid derivative.¹⁴ usually Emulsifiers of natural origin are not widely used because of their poor self emulsification property. ²⁰ Nonionic surfactants are less toxic than ionic surfactant and possess good emulsion stability. ^{21, 22} Usually the surfactant concentration ranges between 30 and 60% w/w to form stable SMEDDS. Extremely small droplet size produced in case of SMEDDS promotes rapid gastric emptying and low local concentration of surfactant, thereby reducing the gastric irritation.²³ Increase in surfactant concentration causes a decrease in droplet size thus surfactant molecules stabilizes at the oil-water interface ²⁴ and if surfactant concentration is less then it causes enhanced water penetration into oil droplets leading to breakdown of oil droplets.²⁵

Thus surfactant is also responsible for total solubility of the drug in SMEDDS, preventing drug precipitation upon aqueous dilution and keep the drug in solubilized form in GI tract.²⁴ **Table 4** gives idea about commonly used surfactant in SMEDDS.

TABLE 4: LIST OF SURFACTANTS THAT CAN BE USED INSMEDDS

Chemical or Common	Trade name	HLB
Name		
Polyoxyethylene 20	Polysorbate 20	16.7
sorbitan monolaurate		
PEG 1500	lauric glycerides	14
	Gelucire 44/14	
PEG 400 capric/caprylic	Labrasol	14
glycerides		
Polyoxyethylene 20	Polysorbate 80	15
sorbitan monooleate		
Polyoxyl 35 castor oil	CremophorEL	12-14
Polyoxyl 40 hydrogenated	Cremophor RH40	14-16
castor oil		
Polyoxyl 40 hydrogenated	Cremophor RH 40	14 -16
castor oil		
Polyoxyl 60 hydrogenated	Cremophor RH 60	14 - 18
castor oil		
Polyoxyethylene lauryl	Brij 35	13.7
ether		
Unsaturated polyglycolized	Labrafil Ml 2125,	4
glycerides	M1944	
Saturated polyglycolized	Gelucire44/14,	13-14
glycerides	50/13	
PEG-8 Caprylic/Capric	Labrasol	14
glycerides		
PEG-8 Caprylic/Capric	Labrafac®CM10	10
glycerides		
Polyoxyl 40 stearate	Myrij 52	16.9

Co-surfactant:

Co-surfactant is added to lower the interfacial tension between the oil and water phase, fluidize the hydrocarbon region of the interfacial-film, and to influence the film curvature. ²⁶ The role of a Co-surfactant is to:

1) Increase the fluidity of the interface.

2) Destroy liquid crystalline or gel structure which would prevent the formation of micro emulsion.

3) Adjust HLB value and spontaneous curvature of the interface by changing surfactant partitioning characteristic. ^{27, 28} **Table 5** gives idea about commonly used co-surfactant in SMEDDS.

TABLE 5: LIST OF CO-SURFACTANTS THAT CAN BEUSED IN SMEDDS

Other components:

Other components might be pH adjusters, flavors, and antioxidant agents. Lipid peroxides may be formed due to auto-oxidation, which increases with unsaturation level of the lipid. So lipophilic antioxidants may be required. eg. α -tocopherol, propyl gallate, ascorbyl palmitate or BHT.

Formulation of SMEDDS:

The synthetic hydrophilic oils and surfactants provides good solubility to hydrophobic drugs than conventional vegetable oils. Ethanol, PG and PEG also contribute for the improvement of drug solubility in lipid vehicle.⁹

The following points should be considered in the formulation of a SMEDDS -

Find solubility of the drug in different oil, surfactants and cosurfactant:

Determine solubility by adding excess amount of drug in small vials containing 2 ml of selected oil, surfactant and cosurfactant separately. The drug was mixed with glass rod for 30 min, and then the vials kept for sonication about 2 hours. The vials are tightly stopper and continuously stirred for 72 hours in orbital shaking incubator at 25°C.Then centrifuged at 3500 rpm for 20 min. The 1ml supernatants are separated and dissolve in methanol or alcohol and solubility is quantified by UVspectrophotometer at specific wavelength after appropriate dilution with methanol or alcohol. But after dilution the solutions are not clear. So oils should be diluted with 66% v/v chloroform in methanol and surfactant should be diluted with 7% v/v chloroform in methanol.²⁹

Select oil, surfactant and co solvent based on the solubility of the drug.

Select ratio of surfactant to cosurfactant:

The emulsifying effect is good if the ratio of the surfactant to the co-surfactant is higher than 1:2.5 but stability properties are inferior at this ratio. Fixing the surfactant/co-surfactant ratio at 1:1 is a better choice for the stability of SMEDDS.³⁰

Construction of Phase Diagram:

Phase diagrams were constructed to obtain the proportion of components that can result in

maximum microemulsion existence area.¹² Chemix software can be used for this. These diagrams were with oil. surfactant/co-surfactant constructed (Smix) and water (pseudo-ternary phase diagram) by using water titration method at room temperature. The procedure consists of preparing solutions of different ratio of surfactant to cosurfactant by weight such as 1:1, 2:1, 3:1 etc. These solutions then vortexed for 5 min and placed at 50°C for 1 hour so that an isotropic mixture can be obtained. Each of these solutions was then used for preparing a mixture containing oil and Smix (mixture of surfactant and co-surfactant) in the following ratios by weight: 1:9, 2:8, 3:7, 4:6, 5:5, 6:4,7:3, 8:2, 9:1 and after preparation vortexed for 5 min followed by placing in oven at 50°C for 1 hour.

All the mixtures then placed at room temperature for 24 hour. Water from 5% to 95% of the mixture added at 10-15 min interval to each of the mixture under stirring on magnetic stirrer. After each addition the mixtures were observed for their appearance (turbid or clear). Turbidity of the samples would indicate formation of a coarse emulsion ^{31, 32} whereas a clear isotropic solution, would indicates the formation of a microemulsion. The formation of microemulsion regions was monitored visually for turbidity–transparency– turbidity.

Preparation of SMEDDS:

From the ternary phase diagram ratio of surfactant to co-surfactant was optimized. ¹¹ Then by varying ratio of oil to Smix, different formulations were prepared with and without drug. Formulations were prepared by preparing optimized ratio of Smix first, for this surfactant and co-surfactant were accurately weighed and then vortexed for 5-10 min. After that Smix was placed in oven at 50°C for 1 h. Oil with different ratio was added to Smix then these formulations were vortexed for 5-10 min and placed in oven at 50°C for 1 h so that an isotropic mixture was formed. Drug was loaded to these isotropic formulations at the end and vortexed by vortex shaker until clear solution was obtained.^{31, 32}

Mechanism of self-emulsification:

When the entropy change is greater than the energy required to increase the surface area, then selfemulsification takes place. Free energy of formation is very low and positive or even negative which results in spontaneous emulsification in case of SMEDDS. For emulsification to take place, it is important for the interfacial structure to no resistance against surface shearing. The interface between the oil and aqueous continuous phases is formed upon addition of a binary mixture (oil/nonionic surfactant) to water. This is followed by solubilization within the oil phase, as a result of aqueous penetration through the interface. This occur upto the solubilization limit attained close to the interphase. Aqueous penetration will lead to the formation of the dispersed liquid crystal (LC) phase.

Lastly, everything that is near with the interface will be liquid crystal, the actual amount of which depends upon the emulsifier concentration in the binary mixture. Hence, following gentle agitation of the self-emulsifying system, water rapidly penetrates into the aqueous cores leading to interface disruption and droplet formation. This LC phase is considered to be responsible for the high stability of the resulting microemulsion against coalescence.³³

Evaluation of SMEDD:

Thermodynamic stability studies:

Freeze thawing is employed to evaluate the stability of formulations. The formulations are subjected to 3 to 4 freeze -thaw cycles, which include freezing at -4° C for 24 hours followed by thawing at 40°C for 24 hours. Centrifugation is performed at 3000 rpm for 5 minutes. The formulations are then observed for phase separation. Only formulations that are stable to phase separation are selected for further studies.³⁴

Dispersibility test:

The efficiency of self-emulsification SMEDDSs checked using a standard USP dissolution apparatus. 1ml of each formulation added to 500 ml of water at 37 ± 0.5 °C. A standard stainless steel dissolution paddle rotating at 50 rpm provides gentle agitation. The in vitro performance of the formulations is visually assessed using the following grading system: Grade A: Rapidly forming (within 1 min) nanoemulsion, having a clear or bluish appearance. Grade B: Rapidly

forming, slightly less clear emulsion, having a bluish white appearance. Grade C: Fine milky emulsion that is formed within 2 min.

Grade D: Dull, grayish white emulsion having slightly oily appearance that is slow to emulsify (longer than 2 min).

Grade E: Formulation, exhibiting either poor or minimal emulsification with large oil globules present on the surface.

Grade A and Grade B formulation will remain as nanoemulsion when dispersed in GIT, while formulation falling in Grade C could be recommend for SMEDDS formulation.³⁵

Turbidimetric evaluation:

Nepheloturbidimetric evaluation is done to monitor the growth of emulsification. Fixed quantity of self emulsifying system is added to fixed quantity of suitable medium (generally 0.1 M HCl) under continuous stirring (50 rpm) on magnetic plate at ambient temperature, and the increase in turbidity is measured using a turbidimeter. However, since the time required for complete emulsification is too short, it is not possible to monitor the rate of change of turbidity.

Droplet Size:

This is a crucial factor in self emulsification performance because it determines the rate and extent of drug release as well as the stability of the emulsion. Photon correlation spectroscopy, microscopic techniques or a Coulter Nanosizer are mainly used for determination of the emulsion droplet size.

Viscosity Measurement:

The Rheological properties of the micro emulsion are evaluated by Brookfield viscometer. This viscosities determination conform whether the system is w/o or o/w. If system has low viscosity then it is o/w type of emulsion and if a high viscosity then it is w/o emulsion.³⁶

Zeta potential measurement:

In conventional SMEDDS, the charge on an oil droplet is negative because of the presence of free fatty acids.³⁷ The SMEDDS diluted with a ratio

1:2500 (v/v) with distilled water and mixed with magnetic stirrer. Zeta-potential of the resulting microemulsion was determined using the Zetasizer (Malvern instrument, Australia).³⁸

In vitro release:

The quantitative *in vitro* release test is performed in 900 ml purified distilled water, which is based on USP XXIV dissolution method. SMEDDS is placed in dialysis bag during the release period to compare the release profile with conventional tablet. 10 ml of sample solution is withdrawn at predetermined time intervals, filtered through a 0.45 μ membrane filter, dilute suitably and analyzed spectrophotometrically. Equal amount of fresh dissolution medium is replaced immediately after withdrawal of the test sample. Percent drug dissolved at different time intervals was calculated using the Beer Lamberts equation.³⁹

Applications:

Improvement in Solubility and bioavailability: SMEDDS have the ability to present the drug to GIT in 1 - 100 nm globule size improves dissolution and bioavailability of drug for which water is a rate limiting step. Because of the fine oil droplets empty rapidly from the stomach and causes wide distribution of the drug through the intestinal tract and thereby reducing irritation due to drugs. SMEDDS enhance the bioavailability enabling reduction in dose of the drug. ⁴⁰

Protection against Biodegradation:

Many drugs are degraded in physiological system, may be because of acidic PH in stomach, enzymatic degradation. Such drugs when presented in the form of SMEDDS can be well protected from these degradation processes as liquid crystalline phase might be act as a barrier.

Recent trends in SMEDDS:

- Self-emulsifying sustained/controlled -release tablets.
- Self-emulsifying capsule.
- Self-emulsifying suppositories.
- Self-emulsifying sustained/controlled release pellets.

- Self-emulsifying beads.
- Self-emulsifying sustained-release microspheres.
- Positively charged self-emulsifying drug delivery system.
- Self-double-emulsifying drug delivery system (SDEDDS).

- Supersaturatable self-emulsifying drug delivery system (S-SEDDS).
- Self-micro emulsifying floating dosage form.
- Self-micro emulsifying mouth dissolving films (SMMDF)

Table 6 gives idea about SEDDS/ SMEDDS/SNEDDS formulation composition.

TABLE 6: SOME EXAMPLES OF SEDDS/ SMEDDS/ SNEDDS FORMULATION COMPOSITION.

Туре	Drug	Formulation composition			Reference	
		Oil	Surfactant	Co-surfactant	Surfactant :Co-	-
				/cosolvent	surfactant	
SNEDDS	celecoxib	capryol 90	Cremophor	propylene	1.6:1	[41]
			RH 40	glycol		
SEDDS	Artemether	Peceol	Labrasol	Transcutol	3:1	[42]
SMEDDS	Curcumin	Isopropyl	Cremophor	Ethanol	3:1	[43]
		Myristate	RH40	20%		
		20%	60%			
SNEDDS	Nateglinide	Capmul	Cremophor	Transcutol HP	3:1	[44]
		MCM C-8	EL	23%		
		20.23%	55.77%			
SEDDS	Lornoxicam	Capmul	Tween 20	PEG 400	1:1	[45]
		MCM				
SNEDDS	Atorvastatin	Oleic acid	Tween 80	Brij	3:1	[46]
		20%	60%	20%		
SNEDDS	Telmisartan	Acrysol 32%	Tween 20	Carbitol 23.3%	2:1	[47]
			43.33%			
SEDDS	Valsartan	Castor oil	Tween 80	PEG-600	1:1	[48]
SMEDDS	fenofi brate	Labrafac	Tween 80	PEG 400	3.7:1	[49]
		CM10	47.3%	12.7%		
		31.5%				(7 0)
SMEDDS	Cefuroxime	Capryol 90	Labrasol	Lutrol E400	2:1	[50]
	axetil					(51)
SMEDDS	Acyclovir	Oleic acid	Tween 80	Transcutol	3:1	[51]
		25%	56.25%	18.75%		(52)
SMEDDS	Mebendazole	Oleic acid	Tween 80	polypropylene	2:1	[52]
				glycol		(10)
SNEDDS	Rosuvastatin	Capmul	Tween 20	PEG 200	1:1	[13]
	calcium	MCM	40%	40%		
		20%				

CONCLUSION: SMEDDS is used to improve dissolution characteristics of a poorly water soluble drug as it maintains the drug in a solubilized form in the GI tract. SMEDDS enhance the bioavailability enabling reduction of dose of the drug. Thus SMEDDS can be potentially used for delivering a BCS Class II and IV drugs.

AKNOWLEDGEMENT: The authers are grateful to department of Pharmacy, Banasthali University,

Banasthali, Rajasthan for their valuable support for this project.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES:

- 1. Chowdary KPR, Hymavathi R et al: Enhancement of dissolution rate of meloxicam. Journal of pharmaceutical sciences 2001; 63:150-154.
- 2. Sharma D, Soni M, et al: Solubility Enhancement-Eminent Role in Poorly Soluble Drugs. Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology 2009; 2(2):220-224.

- 3. Roger E, Lagarce F, Benoit JP: Development and characterization of a novel lipid nanocapsule formulation of Sn38 for oral administration. Eur J Pharm Biopharm 2011; 79:181–188.
- 4. Prabhu S, Maru O, Chan M: Novel lipid-based formulations enhancing the *in vitro* dissolution and permeability characteristics of a poorly water-soluble model drug, piroxicam. Int J Pharm 2005; 301:209–216.
- Kalepu S, Mohanvarma M, Padavala V: Oral lipid-based drug delivery systems – an overview. Acta Pharmaceutica Sinica B 2013; 3(6):361–372.
- Gershkovich, P, Wasan KM, Barta CA: A review of the application of lipid -based systems in systemic, dermal/ transdermal, and ocular drug delivery. Crit Rev Ther Drug Carrier Syst, 2008; 25(6):545-584.
- Pavelic Z, et al: Development and in vitro evaluation of a liposomal vaginal delivery system for acyclovir. J Control Release 2005; 106(1-2):34-43.
- Dixit R, Nagarsenker: Self-nanoemulsifying granules of ezetimibe: design, optimization and evaluation. European journal of pharmaceutical sciences 2008; 35(3):183-192.
- Pouton C: Formulation of poorly water-soluble drugs for oral administration: physicochemical and physiological issues and the lipid formulation classification system. European journal of pharmaceutical sciences 2006; 29(3-4): 278-287.
- Pouton CW, Porter CHJ: Formulation of lipid-based delivery systems for oral administration: materials, methods and strategies. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews 2008; 60(6): 625-637.
- 11. Mohsin K, et al: Lipid based self emulsifying formulation for poorly water soluble drug-An excellent opportunity. Indian journal of pharmaceutical education and research 2012; 46(2):88-96.
- 12. Rane SS, Anderson BD: What determines drug solubility in lipid vehicles: Is it predictable? Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews 2008; 60(6):638-656.
- Amrutkar C et al: Study on self nano emulsifying drug delivery system of poorly water soluble drug rosuvastatin calcium. World Journal of Pharmaceutical Research 2014; 3(4): 2137-2151.
- 14. Chavda VP: Are smedds and snedds same? a gimmick or pharmaceutically relevant ,Mintage journal of Pharmaceutical & Medical Sciences 2012; 7-10.
- 15. Sarpal K, et al: Self-emulsifying drug delivery systems: A strategy to improve oral Bioavailability. Current research and information on pharmaceuticals sciences 2010; 11(3):42-49.
- 16. Khan BA: et al. Basics of Self Micro Emulsifying Drug Delivery System. Journal of Pharmacy and Alternative Medicine 2010; 1:13-19.
- Kimura M. et al: Relationship between the molecularstructures and emulsification properties of edible oils. Bioscience, biotechnology and biochemistry 1994; 58(7):1258-1261.
- Jannin V et al: Approaches for the development of solid and semi-solid lipid-based formulations. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews 2008; 60(6):734-746.
- 19. Gershanik T, Benita S: Self-dispersing lipid formulations for improving oral absorption of lipophilic drugs. European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics 2000; 50(1):179.
- 20. Constantinides P: Lipid microemulsions for improving drug dissolution and oral absorption: physical and biopharmaceutical aspects. Pharmaceutical research 1995; 12(11):1561-1572.

- 21. Tenjarla S: Microemulsions: an overview and pharmaceutical applications. Critical reviews in therapeutic drug carrier systems 1999; 16(5):461.
- 22. Swenson ES, et al: Intestinal permeability enhancement: efficacy, acute local toxicity, and reversibility. Pharmaceutical research 1994; 11(8):1132-1142.
- 23. Charman S, et al: Self-emulsifying drug delivery systems: formulation and biopharmaceutic evaluation of an investigational lipophilic compound. Pharmaceutical research 1992; 9(1): 87-93.
- Patil P, Shrivastava SK: Formulation, evaluation and optimization of fast dissolving oral film of selective antihypertensive drug. World Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences 2014; 3(8):996-1060.
- Pouton C: Formulation of self-emulsifying drug delivery systems. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews 1997; 25(1):47-58.
- 26. Ozawa K et al: Oil-induced structural change in nonionic microemulsions. Journal of Dispersion Science and Technology 1986; 22(1):119-124.
- 27. Nokaly EI, Ravey J: Solubilization by nonionic surfactant in the HLB Temperature Range. In: Micellization, solubilization and Microemulsions, Plennum press, New York 1991; 2:901-911.
- Khedekar K , Mittal S: Self-emulsifying drug delivery systems: A review, International journal of pharmaceutical sciences and research 2013; 4(12):4494-4507.
- 29. Linda C, et al: Computational prediction of drug solubility in lipid based formulation excipients, pharm Res 2013; 30(12):3225-3237.
- 30. Bora D, Borude P, Bhise K:Formulation and Evaluation of Self microemulsifying drug delivery systems of low solubility drug for enhanced solubility and dissolution. Asian journal of biochemical and pharmaceutical sciences 2012; 2(15):7-14.
- 31. Bhatt V, et al: Self micro emulsifying drug delivery system (smedds): a review, Advance Research in Pharmaceuticals and Biologicals 2014; 4(2):664-669.
- 32. Pathak C et al: A review on self microemulsifying drug delivery system, Pharma science monitor-An international journal of pharmaceutical sciences 2013;4(1):3628-3648.
- Wakerly et al: Self-emulsification of vegetable oil-nonionic surfactant mixtures. ACS Symp. Series 1986; 311:242-55.
- 34. Shukla P et al: A review on self micro emulsifying drug delivery system: an approach to enhance the oral bioavailability of poorly water soluble drugs, international research journal of pharmacy 2012; 3(9):1-6.
- 35. Yeole S et al: A Review Self-Micro Emulsifying Drug Delivery Systems (SMEDDSs). Indo American Journal of Pharmaceutical Research 2013; 3(4):3031-3043.
- 36. Parmar B et al: SMEDDS: A Dominant Dosage Form Which Improve Bioavailability. American Journal of PharmTech Research 2012; 2(4):54-72.
- 37. Shen-Fu Lin, Ying-Chen Chen, Hsiu-O Ho, Wei-Yu Huang, Ming-Thau Sheu, Der-Zen Liu:Development and characterization of dilutable self-microemulsifying premicroemulsion systems (SMEPMS) as templates for preparation of nanosized particulates, International Journal of Nanomedicine 2013; 8:3455–3466.
- 38. Atef E, Belmonte AA: Formulation and in-vitro and invivo characterization of a Phenytoin self-emulsifying drug delivery system. European Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences 2008; 35: 257-263.

- Julianto T, et al: Improved Bioavailability of Vitamin E with a Self Emulsifying Formulation. Int J Pharm 2000; 200:53-57.
- 40. Shinde G, et al: Self microemulsifying drug delivery system: a novel approach for hydrophobic drugs, International journal of pharmaceutical sciences 2011; 3(1): 988-1005.
- 41. Harikumar SL, et al: Formulation development of self nanoemulsifying drug delivery system (SNEDDS) of celecoxib for improvement of oral bioavailability. Pharmacophore 2013; 4(4):120-133.
- 42. Agubata CO, et al: Effect of Oil, Surfactant and Co-Surfactant Concentrations on the Phase Behavior, Physicochemical Properties and Drug Release from Self-Emulsifying Drug Delivery Systems. Journal of Drug Discovery, Development and Delivery 2014; 1(1):1-7.
- 43. Xuemei Wu, Jianhua Xu, et al: Self-microemulsifying drug delivery system improves curcumin dissolution and bioavailability, Drug Development and Industrial Pharmacy 2011; 37(1):15–23.
- 44. KishorSagar et al: Design, development and characterization of self nanoemulsifying drug delivery system (snedds) of nateglinide, World Journal of Pharmaceutical Research 2014; 3(8)794-811.
- 45. Chopade VV, Chaudhari P: Development and evaluation of self emulsifying drug delivery system for Lornoxicam, International journal of research and development in pharmacy and life sciences 2013; 2(4):531-537.

- 46. Kurakula M, Miryala:. Self nano emulsifying drug delivery system (SNEDDS) for oral delivery of Atorvastatin formulation and bioavailability study, Journal of Drug Delivery & Therapeutics 2013; 3(3):131-140.
- 47. Patel J, Kevin G et al: Design and development of a selfnanoemulsifying drug delivery system for telmisartan for oral drug delivery. International Journal of Pharmaceutical Investigation 2011; 1(2): 112–118.
- 48. Gupta AK,Mishra DK et al: Preparation and invitro evaluation of self emulsifying drug delivery system of anti hypertensive drug Valsartan. International journal of pharmacy and life sciences 2011; 2(3):633-639.
- 49. Patel AR, Vavia PR: Preparation and In Vivo Evaluation of SMEDDS (Self-Microemulsifying Drug Delivery System) Containing Fenofibrate, American Association of Pharmaceutical Scientists Journal 2007; 9(3):E345-352.
- 50. Pachava S et al: Formulation and Evaluation of Solid Selfmicroemulsifying Drug Delivery System of a Selective Second Generation Cephalosporin Antibiotic. International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences Review and Research 2014; 24(2):176-181.
- 51. Puttachari S et al: Design and evaluation of self-micro emulsifying drug delivery systems of acyclovir. International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences 2014; 6(4):677-681.
- Hussein AA: Preparation and Evaluation of Liquid and Solid Self-Microemulsifying Drug Delivery System of Mebendazole. Iraqi Journal of Pharmaceutical sciences 2014; 23(1):89-100

How to cite this article:

Pattewar S, Kasture S, Pande V and Sharma S: Self Microemulsifying Drug Delivery System: A Lipid Based Drug Delivery System. Int J Pharm Sci Res 2016; 7(2): 443-52.doi: 10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.7(2).443-52.

All © 2013 are reserved by International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research. This Journal licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License.

This article can be downloaded to ANDROID OS based mobile. Scan QR Code using Code/Bar Scanner from your mobile. (Scanners are available on Google Playstore)