IJPSR (2019), Volume 10, Issue 10



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL



Received on 27 January 2019; received in revised form, 10 May 2019; accepted, 14 June 2019; published 01 October 2019

ESTIMATION OF IPRATROPIUM BROMIDE BY EXTRACTION FREE SPECTRO-PHOTOMETRIC METHOD USING SULPHONAPTHALEIN DYE

S. M. Raj and V. G. Jamakandi *

KLE University's College of Pharmacy, Vidyanagar, Hubballi - 580031, Karnataka, India.

Keywords:

Anticholinergic, Ipratropium Bromide, Bromophenol blue, Spectrophotometry, Complex formation, Pharmaceutical analysis

Correspondence to Author: Dr. V. G. Jamakandi

Professor & Principal, KLE University's College of Pharmacy, Vidyanagar, Hubballi -580031, Karnataka, India.

E-mail: vilasj@rediffmail.com

ABSTRACT: The present paper portrays a simple, rapid, nonextractive spectrophotometric method for the estimation of an anticholinergic drug, Ipratropium Bromide. The method is based on the formation of an instantaneous stable yellow colored ion pair complex of drug with a chloroformic solution of reagent Bromophenol Blue (BPB) which shows absorption maxima at 416 nm. Job's plot of continuous variation affirmed the development of 1:1 complex between drug and reagent. The optical parameters were optimized, and the calibration curve was found to be linear in the range of 10 µgmL⁻¹ to 80 µgmL⁻¹. The detection limit was found to be 2.75 µg mL⁻¹ with Sandell's sensitivity 0.083 µgcm⁻². Stability constant (log K_f) was calculated as 6.6. Molar absorptivity was found to be 4.29×10^3 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹ with Gibb's free energy change of - 3.1×10^4 kJ mol⁻¹. The method was validated as per ICH guidelines and had many advantages like low detection limits, high sensitivity and not requiring adjustment of critical conditions, thus paving the way for the application of the proposed methods in routine quality control evaluation.

INTRODUCTION: Ipratropium bromide (IPB) is a congener of atropine obtained by treating atropine with isopropyl bromide¹. It was designed as an orally active, profoundly polar drug which ineffectively infiltrates the blood-brain barrier, thus preventing the central side effects (anticholinergic syndrome). Chemically Ipratropium bromide is 8-Azabicyclo [3,2,1] octane, 3-(3-hydroxy-1-oxo-2phenylpropoxy)-8-methyl-8-(isopropyl)-, bromide 2 . It antagonizes the action of acetylcholine by blocking muscarinic cholinergic receptors without specificity for subtypes, thus promoting the degradation of cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) which in turn prevents the increase in intracellular concentration of calcium, restraining bronchoconstriction and mucus secretion.



The only noteworthy side effect of Ipratropium bromide is dry mouth, at doses higher than the therapeutic dose ³. It is administered as a unit dose nebulization solution and metered dose inhalers (MDI) for the treatment of obstructive airways disease and allergic rhinitis ⁴.



FIG. 1: STRUCTURES OF A. IPRATROPIUM BROMIDE B. BROMOPHENOL BLUE

Bromophenol Blue (BPB) is 3', 3'', 5', 5''-tetrabromo phenolsulfonphthalein and is prepared by slowly adding excess bromine to a hot solution of phenolsulfonphthalein in glacial acetic acid and has varied applications like pH indicator, color marker, and dye ⁵. Its use as an analytical reagent for estimating drugs has been exploited by a few researchers ^{6, 7, 8}. The structure of IPB and BPB is given in **Fig. 1**.

Screening of the writings uncovered that IPB was determined by very few methods. Hassan *et al.*, ⁹ broadened the utilization of derivative spectroscopy for its estimation, but, yet derivative methods do suffer from the disadvantage that it is instrument particular. IPB was determined in aerosol or liquid for nebulization using HPLC ^{10, 11}. Other HPLC methods quantified IPB and its related substances ^{12, 13}. A radioreceptor assay method looked into the levels of IPB in biological fluids of human plasma and urine ¹⁴. A non-aqueous titration was likewise detailed. The official methods are reported in BP, EP, and Japan Pharmacopoeia.

European Pharmacopeia (5th Edition) measured IPB potentiometrically by assay of a pure substance with silver nitrate ¹⁵ while BP (1998) utilized an HPLC method for the assay of the drug in liquids for nebulization ¹⁶. The other spectrophotometric methods reported were based on the oxidation of IPB with alkaline KMnO₄¹⁷. Spectrophotometric methods reported depended on complexation of drug with Iodine and Bromocresol green ⁹. However, the reported methods, particularly those based on chromatography are complex; requiring experimental setup and skilled expensive making it inaccessible to many personnel, laboratories in developing and underdeveloped nations.

In contrast, visible spectrophotometry is considered as the most convenient analytical technique in most quality control and clinical laboratories. The spectrophotometric methods reported utilized principles of extraction spectrophotometry, requiring a tedious liquid-liquid extraction step, rigid pH control; in this way trading off selectivity and detection limits as cited in **Table 5**.

Hence, there is a need to develop a simple, precise, sensitive, cost-effective extraction free spectrophotometric method of analysis for the estimation of IPB which can be carried out even in small-scale laboratories for routine daily analysis.

EXPERIMENTAL:

Apparatus: Shimadzu 1800 double beam UV-Visible spectrophotometer was used to record the spectra of individual components as well as the ionpair complex, using a matched pair of Quartz cells of 10 mm path length connected to an IBM computer loaded with the UVPROBE 2.43 application software. A calibrated electronic balance was used for weighing purposes. Glassware's were thoroughly cleaned before the conduct of the study.

Materials: Ipratropium Bromide (CAS No-18559-94-9) was purchased from Vamsi Laboratories, Sholapur, India. Bromophenol Blue, Methanol, Ethanol, Acetone, Dichloromethane and Chloroform were obtained from Rankem Laboratories, Mumbai, India. All chemicals and reagents used were of analytical grade and were used as such without further purification.

Reagent Solution: 0.1% w/v (1mg mL⁻¹) solution of Bromophenol Blue was prepared by accurately weighing and carefully transferring 100 mg of the reagent in 100 ml volumetric flask, and the volume was made up with chloroform.

Standard Drug Solutions: A Stock solution containing (1000 μ g mL⁻¹) of IPB was prepared by accurately weighing and carefully transferring 100 mg of the drug into 100 ml volumetric flask. Then 1.0 ml methanol was added, and the resultant mixture was sonicated for about 5 min till the complete dissolution of the drug. The resultant solution was made up to volume with chloroform.

General Procedure for the Spectrophotometric Method: A suitable aliquot of the standard working solutions of IPB was accurately transferred into a series of 10 mL volumetric flasks so that the final concentration was in the range of 10-80.0 μ g mL⁻¹. To it, 0.5 mL of 0.1% BPB solution was added. The contents were mixed well, and chloroform was used to complete the volume. The absorbance of the resulting chloroformic solutions was measured against a reagent blank treated similarly at 416 nm.

Procedure for Sample Preparation from Marketed Formulation: Commercial resputes (IPRAVENT) each containing (0.5 mg of Ipratropium in 2 ml of solution) was purchased from the local market and the contents of twenty vials were squeezed into a beaker and the contents were evaporated to dryness to remove the aqueous vehicle and the residue was extracted with chloroform. Aliquots of IPB was placed in 10 mL volumetric flask and 0.5 mL of BPB was added and the volume was made up to 10 mL with chloroform to get a solution containing 10 μ g mL⁻¹ and 20 μ g mL⁻¹ of IPB. The obtained solution was passed through a filter paper containing anhydrous sodium sulfate. The resulting solution absorbance was recorded against reagent blank at 416 nm.

Procedure for Stoichiometric Relationship: The stoichiometric relationship between the drug and the reagent was studied by applying the method of Job's plot of continuous variations. Equimolar solutions 1×10^{-3} M each of both IPB and BPB solutions in chloroform was prepared separately. A series of solutions were prepared in which the total volume of IPB and dye was kept at 10 mL. The drug and dye solutions were mixed in various complementary proportions (1:9, 2:8, 3:7, and 10:0, inclusive) and completed as directed under the recommended procedures by measuring the absorbance of the resultant ion-pair complex at 416 nm¹⁸.

Calculation of Conditional Formation Constants (K_f) and Gibbs Free Energy: The conditional formation constants (K_f) of the ion-pair complex for the studied drug were calculated from the continuous variation plot data ^{19, 20}. The following equation was used when Drug –Reagent Complex is in the ratio 1:1.

Where; A = Max. Absorbance;

Am = Absorbance corresponding to the intersection of the two tangents of the job plot;

C = Molar concentration of drug corresponding to maximum absorbance.

The standard free energy change of complexation (ΔG) was calculated using the formula

$$\Delta G = -2.303 \text{ RT} \log K_{\rm f} \dots (2)$$

Where $\Delta G = Gibbs$ free energy change of the reaction (kJ mol⁻¹);

R = Universal gas constant (8.3145 KJ mol⁻¹ K⁻¹);T = Absolute temperature (298 K) and K_f = Conditional formation constant of the drug reagent complex. **Validation:** The proposed method was validated according to ICH guidelines ²¹ for linearity, sensitivity, recovery, and precision.

Linearity: Under the above experimental conditions, the calibration curve was constructed by plotting concentration versus absorbance. Linearity was obtained by the method of least squares to generate the regression equation derived from the calibration graph using the equation (4). The statistical parameter correlation coefficient was also calculated.

$$y = mx + c \dots (3)$$

Where y = absorbance; m = slope; c = intercept;

x = concentration in µg mL⁻¹ and the data are summarized in **Table 1**.

Sensitivity: The limit of detection and limit of quantitation was calculated according to equations,

$$LOD = 3\sigma / S \dots (4)$$

 $LOO = 10\sigma / S \dots (5)$

The standard deviation of the response is denoted by σ and S is the slope of the calibration graph. The other sensitivity parameters like molar absorptivity, Sandell's sensitivity are calculated as per guidelines and summarized in **Table 1**.

Precision: The concentration of IPB 20, 40, 60 μ g mL⁻¹ was used to evaluate the precision of the method by determining the repeatability three times on the same day (intraday) and similarly same concentrations were analyzed three times on three different days (interday). The precision data are shown in **Table 2**.

Accuracy/Recovery Studies: Preanalysed Ipravent Respute solution was spiked with pure IPB at three concentration levels (50,100 and 150%), and the total amount of IPB was found by the proposed methods. Special precautions were taken in sample preparation. The mean % recoveries were calculated, and % RSD was tabulated in **Table 3**.

Specificity: Specificity checks any possible interference by the excipients used. It was checked by the use of a placebo blank of commonly employed respute excipients. An aliquot of the isotonic solution was used, and its solution was

prepared as described under the above procedure and analyzed to check the specificity of the method.

Robustness and Ruggedness: The robustness of the method were evaluated by making small, deliberate changes in the analytical parameters like reaction time (5, 10, 15 min), and the changes of the absorbance of the ion-pair complex were studied. Method ruggedness was demonstrated by a single analyst performing analysis using two different cuvettes and also by having the analysis done with the help of two analysts.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

Background: A thorough study of the literature revealed that IPB was estimated by extraction spectrophotometry, as stated earlier. As far as our knowledge was concerned, there was no method reported for the estimation of IPB using BPB as a reagent to complex with, either by extractive or non extractive technique. The knowledge obtained from similar studies used for quantification of drugs with the help dyes formed the backbone of this research ^{22, 23, 24}.

Optimization of Conditions: The method was developed by performing various trials by optimizing parameters like the choice of solvent, the concentration of reagents, and point of time to measure the formed complex.

Choice of Organic Solvent: Solvents like methanol, ethanol, acetone, dichloromethane, and chloroform were used to check the complex formation and its molar absorptivity. Our trials started initially by studying the effects of methanol complex on IPB: BPB solubility. Nonreproducibility of the method was the major problem when methanol was used as a solvent. The varied concentration of BPB and drug failed to show a significant difference in the absorption spectra. The color of the complex formed exhibited a transition from yellow to blue and then to red with varying levels of BPB. Further trials, performed using other solvents like ethanol, acetone, and dichloromethane also proved futile. Although they developed stable color complexes, absorbance spectra revealed major problems like high absorbance value for the reagent blank; noise, negative absorbance and increased fluctuations in the absorbance values for the drug-dye complex peaks. In a nutshell, the polar solvents were found to be unsuitable, as their blanks with BPB, gave high absorbance values, in addition to the low stability constants for complexes formed. Among the various solvents tried chloroform served as a promising solvent as it exhibited negligible blank absorbance and the drug - reagent complex formed showed high molar absorptivity, exhibiting a highly stable and sensitive complex which absorbed at 416nm.

Absorption Spectra: The absence of conventional UV method of analysis in literature is justified by its low UV absorption. IPB is a drug devoid of structural conjugation ²⁵, hence can be estimated by spectrophotometer only after derivatization. The spectrophotometric method is based on the formation of the colored complex (ion-pair or charge transfer) where opposite electric charged drug and reagent are held together by Coulomb attraction in solution to form a distinct chemical The chloroformic solution entity. of sulphonphthalein dye acts as the chromogenic reagent. Upon interaction of IPB with BPB in chloroform, a stable yellow colored ion-pair complex was instantaneously formed which shows maximum absorbance at 416 nm, when recorded against the reagent blank treated similarly. The reagent, as well as the drug, showed negligible absorbance while the complexes showed maximum absorbance at this wavelength. Hence, it was concluded that quantitative analysis of drug could be carried out at this wavelength. The proposed mechanism of the reaction is depicted in Fig. 2.



FIG. 2: SCHEMATIC REPRESENTATION OF ION PAIR COMPLEX OF IPRATROPIUM BROMIDE

International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research

Effect of Reagent Concentration: The concentration of IPB was fixed and different amounts of reagent (0.1% w/v BPB) were added and resulting absorbance was recorded to study the effect of reagent concentration on the formed complex. The color intensity was found to increase with the addition of BPB up to a particular

concentration and then either decrease or steadily remain. The maximum color intensity was obtained with 0.5 mL. Hence 0.5 mL of 0.1% w/v chloroformic solution of BPB was used for the recommended procedure. The effect of reagent concentration is shown in **Fig. 3** and **4**.



FIG. 4: A. ADDITION OF 0.5 ml REAGENT SHOWED MAXIMUM ABSORBANCE B. REAGENT AND DRUG SHOWED NEGLIGIBLE ABSORBANCE WHILE COMPLEX SHOWED MAXIMUM ABSORBANCE



Effect of Time: At different time intervals absorbance of the formed complexes was recorded to understand the optimum reaction time. The variation has been shown in **Fig. 5**. It was found that the complexes were formed instantaneously at



International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research

Stoichiometric **Relationship** and Stability Studies: The Job's method of continuous variation was applied to study the stoichiometric relationship and stability constant of the formed complex. The absorbance of each mixture was recorded by mixing equimolar solutions of the drug and the reagent in various proportions. The results indicated that the complex formed was in the ratio 1:1 (D: R), which describes the formation of the early intermediate outer complex. The proposed structure of the drug-reagent complex is shown in **Fig. 2**. The stability constant (log K_f) values were found to be 6.6 showing the high stability of the complex formed. Gibb's free energy was found to be -3.1×10^4 . The large negative value of Gibb's free energy is a depiction of the spontaneity of the process (Results recorded in Table 1). The continuous variation plot is noted in Fig. 6.

Validation:

Linearity: Linearity is the ability of the analytical method to obtain test results that are directly proportional to the concentration of the analyte in the sample. A linear absorbance-concentration correlation was found to be 10-80 µg mL⁻¹ with correlation coefficients 0.999. The regression equation was found to be; $y = 0.9934 \text{ x} + 0.02548 \dots$ (7) Where x is a concentration of unknown in µg mL⁻¹. The analytical parameters are recorded in **Table 1**.

Sensitivity: The molar absorptivity and Sandell's sensitivity were found to be 4.293×10^3 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹ and 0.0826 µg cm⁻², respectively. Values of molar absorptivities and Sandell's sensitivities reflect the high sensitivity of the method. The LOD and LOQ values are summarized in **Table 1**.

TABLE 1: REGRESSION AND	ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS
-------------------------	-----------------------

S. no.	Analytical Parameters	IPB-BPB complex
1	λmax (nm)	416 nm
2	Molar ratio (D:R)	1:1
3	Beer's Law Limits (µg mL ⁻¹) ^b	10-80
4	%RSD (at 10 µg mL ⁻¹)	1.65
5	LOD ($\mu g m L^{-1}$)	2.74
6	$LOQ (\mu g m L^{-1})$	8.32
7	Molar absorptivity (L mol ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹)	4.293×10^{3}
8	Sandell's sensitivity $a^{(\mu g cm^{-2})}$	0.083
9	Stability constant (log K_f)	6.6
10	$\Delta G (kJ mol^{-1})$	-3.1×10^{4}
	Regression equation Y ^c	
1	Intercept (a)	0.0285
2	Slope (b)	0.0099
3	Correlation coefficient (r)	0.999
4	The standard deviation of intercept (Sa)	± 0.0067
5	The standard deviation of the slope (Sb)	± 0.0002

^a Limit of determination as to the weight in lg per ml of solution, which corresponds to an absorbance of A=0.001 measured in a cuvette of cross-sectional area 1 cm² and l = 1 cm. ^b average of three determinations. ^C Y=a + bX, where Y is the absorbance, a is the intercept, b is the slope and X is the concentration in l μ g ml⁻¹

Precision: The precision of the method was calculated in terms of both intraday and interday. RSD (%) were found to be small below 2%, indicating good repeatability and reliability of the proposed method. **Table 2** depicts the results obtained from Intra-day and Inter-day studies.

Recovery Studies: The recovery percentage values ranged from 99.54 to 103.26% with a standard deviation of ± 1.967 , indicating that the recovery was good and that the co-formulated substance did not interfere in the determination. The results of recovery studies are shown in **Table 3**.

TABLE 2: EVALUATION OF INTRA-DAY PRECISION AND INTER-DAY PRECISION

Drug taken	Intra-day (n=3)		Inter-day (n=3)		
μg mL ⁻¹	Amount found μg mL ^{-1a}	% RSD ^b	Amount found μg mL ^{-1a}	% RSD	
20	19.48 ± 0.36	1.62	19.3 ± 0.41	1.89	
40	41.15 ± 0.57	1.30	41.35 ± 0.84	1.91	
60	60.24 ± 1.22	1.93	60.14 ± 1.25	1.99	

^a Average of three determinations, ^b relative standard deviation

International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research

TABLE 3: RECOVERY STUDY OF IPRATROPIUM BROMIDE

% Recovery	Preanalysed	Pure Drug added	Total amt	Amount found µg	%
levels	sample taken	μg mL ⁻¹	present	mL ^{-1a}	Recovery
50	10	5	15	15.38	102.53 ± 2.54
100	10	10	20	19.91	99.55 ± 2.53
150	10	15	25	25.82	103.26 ± 3.14
^a Avana as of three dat	tommin ation a				

^a Average of three determinations

Robustness and Ruggedness: The deliberate changes had a negligible influence on the results as expressed as % RSD (<2). Intermediate precision

values in both instances were in the range 0.93 to 1.81, indicating acceptable ruggedness. These results are presented in **Table 4**.

TABLE 4: METHOD ROBUSTNESS AND RUGGEDNESS

Method robus	Method robustness			Method ruggedness			
Parameters altered		Inter-analysts		Inter-cuvettes			
Reaction ti	Reaction time Analyst 1		Analyst 2	Cuvette 1	Cuvette 2		
Conc. μ g mL ⁻¹	RSD (%)	RSD (%)	RSD (%)	RSD (%)	RSD (%)		
20	1.03	1.782993	1.58	1.81	0.93		
40	0.823	1.21087	1.61	1.08	1.17		
60	0.467	1.560461	1.37	1.04	1.06		

^a The reaction time was 5, 10 and 15 min

Application to Pharmaceutical Formulations: The proposed methods have been successfully applied for the determination of IPB in their pharmaceutical formulation such as respules. Quantitative analysis was performed using the data obtained from the calibration curve. Results obtained recorded in **Table 3** reveals satisfactory assay results which were in a good agreement with the label claims. A comparison of the developed method with reported methods has also been presented in **Table 5**.

TABLE 5:	COMPARISON	OF PRESENT	WORK WITH	REPORTED	METHODS
I IDLL U			TO THE TO THE T		THE HOLD

Analytical	Proposed	Iodine ⁹	Alkaline KMno4	Bromocresol green ⁹	Derivative
Parameters	Method		17	0	Spectroscopy ⁹
Methodology	Extraction free	Charge	Oxidation of IPB	Ion - association complex	Principles of
	ion pair complex	transfer	with alkaline	between the drug and an	derivative
	formed using BPB	complex with	$KMnO_4$	acidic dye, Bromocresol	spectroscopy
		Iodine		Green (BCG),	
λmax (nm)	416 nm	278 nm	608 nm	418 nm	D ₂ at 232 nm
Linear range	10-80	1-10	$4.6 imes 10^{-6}$ –	2-16	5-30
$(\mu g m L^{-1})$			$2.3 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$		
Slope	0.0099	0.0924	-35630.43	0.0490	0.2062
Intercept	0.0285	$-2.34 \times 10-3$	-0.0107	-0.0154	0.1905
Recovery	99.54 to 103.26%	99.67 ± 0.79	100.0191.16	99.26 ± 1.06	100.21 ± 0.85
Correlation coefficient	0.999	0.9999	0.9995	0.9998	0.9999
%RSD	1.65	0.85	NR	1.15	1.15
Molar absorptivity	4.293×10^{3}	$3.9 imes 10^4$	NR	$2.1 imes 10^4$	NR
$(L \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$					
LOD ($\mu g m L^{-1}$)	2.74	0.17	0.35	0.25	0.22
Remarks	Extraction Free,		The method is	The tedious method	Derivative methods
	Simple, rapid,		complex,	involving extraction which is	are instrument
	sensitive, no		involving	pH dependent	specific
	heating step and		preparation of	* *	
	use of single		other reagents		
	reagent		e		
NID NU 1	÷				

NR: Not reported

CONCLUSION: The proposed method was based on the formation of drug-reagent complex and had many advantages like low detection limits, high sensitivity, and stability with a wider dynamic range. An important feature of the proposed method is that it is extraction-free requiring only dye and the solvent used was comparatively cheaper and readily available.

The present method does not involve adjustment of critical conditions like temperature, pH, or tedious sample preparation since complex formation is instantaneous at room temperature. These advantages pave the pathway for the application of the proposed methods in routine quality control evaluation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: The authors thankfully acknowledge the K.A.H.E.R University for providing the financial assistance required for the conduct of the study.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST: There is no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES:

- 1. Abdine HH, Belal F and Al-Badr AA: Profiles of drug substances, excipients, and related methodology. Ipratropium Bromide: Methods of Chemical and Biochemical Synthesis. Elsevier Inc 2003; 30: 85-99.
- 2. Budavari S: The Merck index: an encyclopedia of chemicals, drugs, and biological. Whitehouse Station, NJ: Merck, Twelveth Edition 1996.
- 3. Gosens R and Gross N: The mode of action of anticholinergics in asthma. European Respiratory Journal 2018; 52: 1701247.
- 4. Rzepka-Wrona P, Skoczynski S, Wrona D and Barczyk A: Inhalation techniques used in patients with respiratory failure treated with noninvasive mechanical ventilation. Canadian Respiratory Journal 2018; 8959370.
- O'Neil MJ: An Encyclopedia of Chemicals, Drugs, and Biologicals. Whitehouse Station, NJ, Merck, 14th Edition 2006.
- El Sherif ZA, Mohamed AO, Walash MI and Tarras FM: Spectrophotometric determination of loperamide hydrochloride by acid-dye and charge-transfer complexation methods in the presence of its degradation products. Journal of. Pharmaceutical and Biomedical. Analysis 2000; 22: 13-23.
- Reddy MBR, Gurupadayya BM and Kumar TA: Spectrophotometric determination of lamivudine using acidic dye and coupling agent. Indian Journal of Chemical Technology 2011; 18: 431-38.
- Al-Tamrah SA, Abdalla MA, Abdullah A and Al-Otibi: Spectrophotometric determination of norfloxacin using bromophenol blue. Arabian Journal of Chemistry 2015.
- 9. Hassan EM: Spectrophotometric determination of Ipratropium bromide in liquid for nebulisation: Analytical Letters 2000; 33(8): 1531-43.
- Nayak VG, Bhate VR, Dhumal SN, Nerurkar VR, Purandare SM, Dikshit PM and Gaitonde CD: Estimation of ipratropium bromide from aerosols by reversed phase liquid chromatography. Drug Development and Industrial Pharmacy 1992; 18(15): 1681-89.
- 11. Jacobson GA and Peterson GM: High-performance liquid chromatographic assay for the simultaneous determination

of Ipratropium bromide, Fenoterol, Salbutamol and Terbutaline in nebulizer solution. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis 1994; 12(6): 825-32.

- 12. Simms PJ, Towne RW, Gross SC and Miller ER: The separation of Ipratropium bromide and its related compounds. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis 1998; 17: 841-49.
- 13. Liu and Bin: HPLC determination of compound Ipratropium bromide solution for inhalation. Chinese Journal of Pharmaceutical Analysis 2011; 31(5): 920-22.
- Ensing K, Pol M and de Zeeuw RA: Radioreceptor assays of Ipratropium bromide in plasma and urine. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis 1988; 6(5): 433-39.
- 15. European Pharmacopoeia. Council of Europe, Strasbourg, Supplement, Edition 3, 1999: 613-615.
- British Pharmacopeia. H.M. Stationary Office, London, 1998: 1761-62.
- 17. Hassan EM: Determination of Ipratropium bromide in vials using kinetic and first-derivative spectrophotometric methods. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis 2000; 21(6): 1183-9.
- Skoog DA, West DM and Holler FJ: Fundamentals of Analytical Chemistry. Saunders: New York, Edition 5, 1988: 525-28.
- Amin AS, El-Fetouh-Gowda AA, El-Sheikh R and Zahran F: Spectrophotometric determination of gatifloxacin in pure form and pharmaceutical formulation. Spectrochimica Acta A 2007; 67(5): 1306-12.
- Tirmiz SA, Wattoo FH, Wattoo MHS, Sarwar S, Memon AN and Ghangro AB: Spectrophotometric study of stability constants of cimetidine–Ni(II) complex at different temperatures. Arabian Journal of Chemistry 2012; 5: 309-14.
- ICH Harmonised Tripartite Guideline. Validation of Analytical Procedures: Text and Methodology ICH Topic Q2 (R1), CPMP/ICH/381/95 1995; 1-17.
- 22. Prashanth KN and Basavaiah K: Modified extraction-free ion-pair methods for the determination of flunarizine dihydrochloride in bulk drug, tablets, and human urine. Journal of Apllied Spectroscopy 2018; 84(6): 1114-23.
- 23. El Didamony AM, Hafeez SM and Saad AA: Application of bromocresol green and bromothymol blue for the extractive spectrophotometric determination of antihypertensive drugs. Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science 2015; 5(07): 122-29.
- 24. Nguyen TD, Le HB, Dong TO and Pham TD: Determination of fluoroquinolones in pharmaceutical formulations by extractive spectrophotometric methods using ion-pair complex formation with bromothymol blue. Journal of Analytical Methods in Chemistry. 2018, Article ID 8436948: 11.
- 25. Abdine HH, Belal F and Al-Badr AA: Profiles of drug substances, excipients and related methodology. ipratropium bromide: physical properties. Elsevier Inc 2003; 30: 60-83.

How to cite this article:

Raj SM and Jamakandi VG: Estimation of ipratropium bromide by extraction free spectrophotometric method using sulphonapthalein dye. Int J Pharm Sci & Res 2019; 10(10): 4605-12. doi: 10.13040/JJPSR.0975-8232.10(10).4605-12.

All © 2013 are reserved by International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research. This Journal licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License.

This article can be downloaded to Android OS based mobile. Scan QR Code using Code/Bar Scanner from your mobile. (Scanners are available on Google Play store)