IJPSR (2020), Volume 11, Issue 3



(Research Article)



Received on 13 May 2019; received in revised form, 28 September 2019; accepted, 29 January 2020; published 01 March 2020

SPECTRUM OF INFECTIONS AND ASSESSMENT OF ANTIBIOTIC USE IN MAINTENANCE HEMODIALYSIS PATIENTS

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL

SEARCH

UTICAL SCIENCES

S. V. Partha Saradhi^{*1}, M. Fatima², M. Ansari², A. Fatima², S. Afreen² and A. K. Quadri³

Senior Consultant Nephrologist¹, Apollo DRDO Hospital, Hyderabad - 500058, Telangana, India. Department of Pharmacy Practice², Deccan School of Pharmacy, Hyderabad - 500001, Telangana, India. Department of Pharmacy Practice³, Jaipur National University, Jaipur - 302017, Rajasthan, India.

Keywords:

Maintenance hemodialysis, Patterns of infections, Culture, and sensitivity test, Surveillance of infections, Dose adjustment of antibiotics

Correspondence to Author: Dr. Partha Saradhi Sarvepalli

H.no: 12-2-824/2, Santoshnagar Colony, St Ann's College Lane, Behind Arvind Eye Hospital, Mehdipatnam, Hyderabad - 500028, Telangana, India.

E-mail: ansarimariiia@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: Indian literature relating to the spectrum of infections in maintenance hemodialysis patients has not been extensively published. The purpose of this study was to carry out surveillance of infections in this population and to determine the clinical patterns and outcomes of infections and also to assess the prescribed antibiotic therapy. This was a prospective observational study carried out in the dialysis unit of the nephrology department of a tertiary care teaching hospital. The sample of the study comprised of 30 patients who were receiving maintenance hemodialysis and presented with infections. Escherichia coli was the commonly detected gram-negative pathogen in culture and sensitivity tests. Whereas the most commonly isolated gram-positive bacteria were streptococcus pneumonia and methicillin-resistant staphylococcus species which were sensitive to vancomycin. Respiratory system (47.2%) followed by the urinary system (27.3%) were most commonly affected. The most common outcome of infections was a cure (57%). Clarithromycin and ofloxacin-ornidazole were found to be inappropriately dose adjusted. Amoxicillin-clavulanate, cefixime, ticarcillin-clavulanic acid, levofloxacin, piperacillin-tazobactam, mero-penem and imipenemcilastatin were inappropriately adjusted for dosing frequency. This study reveals that the prevalence of infections is quite high in tertiary care hospitals despite safe practices. Antimicrobial stewardship or continuing education related interventions are needed to optimize the safe and appropriate use of antibiotics.

INTRODUCTION: End-stage renal disease patients who are maintained on hemodialysis are susceptible to various infections owing to their immunosuppressive state as well as the complexity of the health care they receives 1 .

QUICK RESPONSE CODE		
	DOI: 10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.11(3).1327-32	
	This article can be accessed online on www.ijpsr.com	
DOI link: http://dx.doi.org/10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.11(3).1327-32		

These patients are at a high risk of developing infections because of frequent disruption of natural barriers, the introduction of foreign bodies in the bloodstream and uremic dysfunction of the immune system 2 .

Acute infections also contribute substantially to the high rates of hospitalization and mortality in this population ³. The annual mortality rates being 10 times more for pneumonia and 100 times more in the case of sepsis when compared with the general population ⁴. Bacterial infections and sepsis are thus very common in chronic renal failure patients

and is the second leading cause of death following cardiovascular disease ⁵. Such infections lead to a significant increase in the utilization of healthcare resources and related costs. In addition, antibiotic use may be increased in the hemodialysis setting leading to the emergence of multidrug-resistant organisms as a consequence of inappropriate antibiotic use. For the above reasons routine surveillance of hemodialysis related infections is necessary, which is indeed being carried out in many countries including the US 6 . However, there is no extensive data regarding the incidence of hemodialysis associated infectious complications in India. Therefore this study aims to present the results of surveillance of hemodialysis associated infections carried out in a dialysis unit of a tertiary care teaching hospital, which can help to improve the measures of infection control and also to prevent the emergence of bacterial resistance.

METHODS:

Study Design: This study bearing IEC no. 2017/19/007 was conducted only after receiving approval from the Institutional Review Board of Owaisi Hospital and Research Centre, Hyderabad. This was a prospective observational study carried out in both inpatients and outpatients presenting to the dialysis unit of the nephrology department during the time span of 6 months (December 2017 to May 2018).

Patient Recruitment and Follow-up: Eligible participants were invited to participate and written consent obtained. Eligibility criteria were patients who were above 18 years of age, patients on maintenance hemodialysis who have developed infections or were on antibiotics and those willing to participate in the study. Patients receiving short term dialysis were excluded. Every patient was evaluated by nurses and medical staff during each dialysis session for the presence of bloodstream infection. All consenting participants were followed until the end of the 6 month study period.

Data Collection: Patient data such as age, gender, underlying diseases, comorbidities, cause of renal failure, length of time on dialysis, vascular access type and history of infections were collected. Relevant laboratory values such as WBC count, CRP level, ESR were collected. Data regarding the duration of hospital stay, empirical treatment given and the outcome of treatment was also collected. For each patient receiving antibiotics the indication, strength of antibiotic, dosage regimen, the duration of treatment and culture and antibiotic sensitivity (C/S) reports were collected. All the above-mentioned data was collected in data collection forms specially designed for the study.

Assessing the Prescribed Antibiotic Therapy: Both oral and IV antibiotic regimens were compared against the recommendations for dosage adjustment of antibiotics in ESRD patients (as per the creatinine clearance) from the Micromedex drug reference software. An antibiotic was classified to be appropriate when both the dose and dosing frequency were in accordance with that of the drug reference software. An antibiotic was classified to be inappropriate due to the incorrect dose or frequency selected.

Analysis of Data: The results were expressed as categorical measurements and presented as numbers, percentage, mean and standard deviation. The data was entered on MS Excel spreadsheets and analyzed for results.

RESULTS:

Patient Demographics: A total of 110 patients were dialyzed each month out of which 30 patients (27%) developed infections that comprised our sample. 36 infectious episodes were identified.17 patients (57%) were from the inpatient units and 13 patients (43.3%) were from the outpatient unit. Their mean age was 49 ± 18 years (median 51.5; 25-82 years). The indications range for hemodialysis were: diabetic nephropathy (33.3%), hypertensive nephropathy (43.3%), familial kidney disease (3.3%), glomerulonephritis (10%) and others (10%). 57% of patients had an A/V fistula, 33% had a temporary catheter and 10% had a permanent catheter (Table 1 shows characteristics of patients with infections).

Types of Infections: The infections were considered to be primary in 34 episodes (94.4%) and catheter-related in 2 (5.5%). Lower respiratory tract infections constituted about 17 episodes (47.2%), urinary tract infections about 10 episodes (27.3%) and abdominal infections about 2(5.5%) (**Table 2** shows types of infections seen in MHD patients).

Characteristic	Number of patients (%)	
Gene	der	
Male	19 (63)	
Female	11 (37)	
Median age [range]	51.5 [25-82]	
Indication	n for HD	
Diabetic nephropathy	10 (33.3)	
Hypertensive nephropathy	13 (43.3)	
Familial Kidney disease	1 (3.3)	
Glomerulonephritis	3 (10)	
Others	3 (10)	
Co-morbidities		
Hypertension	26 (86.6)	
Diabetes Mellitus	15 (50)	
Coronary artery disease	10 (33.3)	
Left ventricular dysfunction	9 (30)	
Hypothyroidism	3 (10)	
Chronic obstructive	2 (6.6)	
pulmonary disease	1 (3.3)	
Neurological disorder	1 (3.3)	
Tuberculosis	1 (3.3)	
Systemic Lupus		
Erythematosus		
Vascular access		
A/V fistula	17 (57)	
A/V graft	0 (0)	
Temporary catheter	10 (33)	
Permanent catheter	3 (10)	
TABLE 2: TYPES OF INFECTIONS		
Type of Infection	N (%)	

BLOODSTREAM INFECTIONS	TABLE 1: DEMOGRAPHICS OF 30 PATIENTS	WITH
	BLOODSTREAM INFECTIONS	

Type of Infection	N (%)
Lower respiratory tract infection	17 (47.2)
Urinary tract infection	10 (27.3)
Intra- abdominal infections	2 (5.5)
Cellulitis	2 (5.5)
Septic arthritis	1 (2.7)
Central line sepsis	2 (5.5)
Unknown	2 (5.5)

*value sums to more than 100% because patients could have more than 1 infection

TABLE	3:	ORGANISMS	ISOLATED	FROM	25
MICROE	BIAL	CULTURES			

Pathogens	N (%)		
Gram-Positive			
Streptococcus pneumonia	3 (15.7)		
Staphylococcus aureus	2 (10.5)		
Staphylococcus epiderdimis	1 (5.2)		
Gram-Negative			
Klebsiella pneumonia	4 (21.05)		
E. coli	6 (31.5)		
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	2 (10.5)		
Fungi			
Candida albicans	1 (5.2)		
Sterile Cultures	6		

Microbiology: There were 25 microbiological cultures requested with blood (13/25), urine (10/25)

International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research

and ascitic fluid (2/25). Overall 19 pathogens were isolated of which 6 (31.5%) were gram-positive bacteria, 12 (63.1%) were gram-negative and 1 (5.2%) fungi (The organisms isolated are shown in **Table 3**).

Antibiotic Use: A total of 22 antibiotics were prescribed for various infections, out of which 15(68%) were parenteral antibiotics and 7(32%)were oral. Extended-spectrum antibiotics were used in 12 patients (40%), narrow-spectrum antibiotics in 8 patients (26.6%) and 10 patients (33.3%) were prescribed both. The antibiotics prescribed for lower respiratory tract infections were meropenem and cefoperazone - sulbactam, piperacillintazobactam, clarithromycin, moxifloxacin and doxycycline. The antibiotics prescribed for infections of the urinary tract were cefoperazoneofloxacin-ornidazole, meropenem, sulbactam, cefepime, fosfomycin and cefpodoxime. The following graph Fig. 1 shows the usage of antibiotics in maintenance hemodialysis patients.



FIG. 1: TYPES OF ANTIBIOTICS AND NUMBER OF COURSES ADMINISTERED

Assessing the Prescribed Antibiotic Therapy: Among the 16 antibiotics requiring dose adjustment 7(44%) were appropriately adjusted, and 9 antibiotics (56%) were found to be inappropriately adjusted. In most cases of inappropriately adjusted regimens (78%), the drug reference software's recommended dosing intervals were not followed. And in the remaining 22% of inappropriately adjusted regimens the drug reference software's recommended dose adjustment was not followed. Clarithromycin and ofloxacin-ornidazole were found to be inappropriately dose adjusted whereas all the antibiotic courses containing amoxicillinclavulanate, cefixime and ticarcillin-clavulanic acid were inappropriately adjusted for dosing frequency / interval.

Levofloxacin, piperacillin-tazobactam, meropenem and imipenem-cilastatin were also found to be inappropriately adjusted for dosing frequency.

TABLE 4: DOBE ADJUDITIENT OF ANTIDIOTICS			
Antibiotic	Total	Number of courses with	
	Number of	Inappropriate dose	
	courses	adjustment N (%)	
Ofloxacin-	3	1 (33.3)	
ornidazole			
Clarithromycin	1	1(100)	

TABLE 5:	FREOUENCY	ADJUSTMENT OF	ANTIBIOTICS

Antibiotic	Total	Number of courses with
	Number of	Inappropriate dose
	courses	adjustment N (%)
Meropenem	11	5(45.4)
Levofloxacin	6	5(83.3)
Cefexime	5	5(100)
Amoxicillin-	3	3(100)
clavulanate		
Ticarcillin –	1	1(100)
clavulanic		
Piperacillin-	3	1(33.3)
tazobactam		
Imipenem-	2	1(50)
cilastatin		

Outcomes of Infections: We defined the outcomes of infections as a cure, conversion to hospitalization, re-hospitalization and death. The outcome was found to be cured in 17 patients (57%), conversion to the hospitalization in 3 (10%) and re-hospitalization in 6 patients (20%). A total number of deaths were found to be 4 (13.2%).

DISCUSSION: To the best of our knowledge this is among the very few studies to observe types of infections and patterns of antibiotics prescribed to patients receiving maintenance hemodialysis in India. As hemodialysis patients are at risk of infections because of their immuno-compromised state, a requirement for vascular access for prolonged periods and repeated opportunities for cross-transmission of pathogens from person to person or *via* contaminated devices, equipment and supplies there lies a need for routine surveillance of infections in this population⁷.

In our study out of 110 patients attending the dialysis unit 30 (27%) patients developed infections. Most patients were treated by A/V fistula (57%) and this practice coincides with the recommendations of the National Kidney Foundation Guidelines⁸. None of the patients had an A/V graft as a vascular access site. The highest

number of infections was detected in the age group of 50-69 years.

Among the study participants the most common comorbidity was hypertension (86.6%) a finding similar to other studies such as Gilbert Abou Dagher *et al.*, Fysaraki *et al.*, ⁹ and dissimilar to the study carried out by Al- Solaiman Y *et al.*, ¹⁰ in which diabetes was found to be more prevalent. And also hypertensive nephropathy was found to be the cause of ESRD in the majority of patients followed by diabetic nephropathy. 63% of males developed infections and this finding is similar to other studies such as Katrina Hui *et al.*, Gilbert Abou Dagher *et al.*, and Rojas L *et al.*¹¹

A number of gram-negative pathogens were detected in cultures and no multi-drug resistant organisms were isolated. In most of the cultures *Escherichia coli* was the causative organism for infections and this finding coincides with the previously published studies ^{12, 13}. *Klebsiella pneumonia* was the next commonly detected gram-negative species. The most common gram-positive bacteria isolated were streptococcus pneumonia and methicillin-resistant staphylococcus species which were sensitive to vancomycin.

Respiratory and urinary systems were frequently affected by organ systems. Lower respiratory tract infections were the highest, which is another similar observation of this study with that of Gilbert Abou Dagher et al. After LRTIs the urinary infections. intra-abdominal infections. tract cellulitis, central line sepsis and unknown type of infections were more frequently detected. The least commonly detected infection was septic arthritis which was seen only in 1 patient. Many of the pathogens detected were found to be sensitive to extended-spectrum antibiotics such as carbapenems, cephalosporins, broad-spectrum penicillins and fluoroquinolones. Hence, these were the most commonly prescribed antibiotics. The most common outcome of infection was a cure. Prescription of antibiotics without performing cultures resulted in hospitalizations and rehospitalizations. Infections requiring hospitalizations were lower respiratory tract infections and those of the urinary tract. Out of 4 deaths sepsis was a cause of death in 2 patients (6.6%) who presented with >2 SIRS criteria.

Unlike the studies carried out by Zvonar R *et al.*, and Green K *et al.*, we found vancomycin to be appropriately prescribed ^{14, 15}. Piperacillin-tazobactam, meropenem, imipenem and amoxicillinclavulanate were found to be inappropriately dose adjusted in our study whereas they were found to be unadjusted for dose in other studies ^{16, 17, 18}. We identified that the dosing interval for amoxicillinclavulanate and meropenem was inappropriate, further pointing out one more similarity of ours with that of an Australian study carried out by Katrina Hui *et al.*

The present study observed the local microbiology, types of infections and patterns of prescription of antibiotics in patients receiving maintenance hemodialysis in our institution and was thus helpful in emphasizing the importance of microbiological cultures in guiding antibiotic therapy. These findings will further be useful in developing a department specific protocol for an antibiotic prescription to ensure the appropriate prescription of antibiotics and also to prevent the emergence of resistant strains.

Our study has the following limitations: Antibiogram couldn't be ordered for a few patients owing to financial constraints. Medication nonadherence to the prescribed antibiotic therapy cannot be ruled out as a significant factor that might have led to hospitalizations and rehospitalizations.

CONCLUSION: Our study reveals that the prevalence of infections is quite high in tertiary care hospitals despite safe practices. Continual exposure and inappropriate prescription of antibiotics contribute to increased antibiotic resistance in the dialysis population. This study suggests that surveillance of local microbiology is of utmost importance in guiding empirical antibiotic therapy and also in the development of vaccination protocols specific to the hemodialysis population.

Antimicrobial stewardship or continuing education related interventions are needed to optimize the safe use of antibiotics, minimize the emergence of resistant organisms and most importantly to ensure patient safety. It was also found that a high number of patients were not vaccinated against respiratory pathogens. Educational programs focusing on optimal hygienic procedures as well as hand hygiene prove to be valuable tools for both the patients and the staff in controlling the development of infections.

Lastly, surveillance programs for infections in maintenance hemodialysis patients should be carried out in all regional dialysis centers. Such programs would aid in forming a national database that would provide information on frequency, clinical patterns of infections and differences among various dialysis units. This kind of information would in turn be of great value in promoting collaborative efforts to reduce the overall infectious complications in the hemodialysis population.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: We express our gratitude to Prof. Dr. S. A. Azeez Basha, Principal, Deccan School of Pharmacy for encouraging us to publish our academic research work. We remain thankful to the entire team of the nephrology department and also express our gratitude towards Owaisi Hospital and Research Centre for their co-operation and support.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST: None

REFERENCES:

- 1. Quori E, Baamonde-Laborda C and García-Cantón: Surveillance for infections and other adverse events in dialysis patients in southern Gran Canaria *Revista nefrologia*. Official Publication of the Spanish Nephrology Society 2011; 31(4): 457-63.
- Dalgaard LS and Norgaard M: Risk and prognosis of bloodstream infections among patients on chronic hemodialysis patients: A population-based cohort study. PLOS ONE 2015; 10(4): e0124547.
- 3. Dalrymple LS, Alan S and Go: Epidemiology of acute infections among patients with chronic kidney disease. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2008; 3: 1487-93.
- 4. Sarnak MJ and Jaber BL: Mortality caused by sepsis in patients with end-stage renal disease compared with the general population. Kidney Int 2000; 58: 1758-64.
- 5. Dagher DA and Harmouche E: Sepsis in hemodialysis patients. BMC Emergency Medicine 2015; 15: 30.
- Gilad J, Eskira S and Schlaeffer F: Surveillance of chronic haemodialysis-associated infections in southern Israel. European Society of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases 2005; 11: 547-52.
- Klevens RM and Edwards JR: Dialysis Surveillance Report: National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) Summary. Semin Dial 2008; 21(1): 24-8.
- Anonymous. NKF-K/DOQI Clinical Practice Guidelines for Vascular Access: update 2000. Am J Kidney Dis 2001; 37: S137-S181.

- Fysaraki M, Samonis G and Valachis A: Incidence, clinical, microbiological features and outcome of bloodstream infections in patients undergoing hemodialysis. Int J Med Sci 2013; 10(12): 1632-38.
- Al-Solaiman Y, Estrada E and Allon M: The spectrum of infections in catheter-dependent hemodialysis patients. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2011; 6: 2247-52.
- Rojas L, Munoz P and Kestler M: Bloodstream infections in patients with kidney disease: risk factors for poor outcome and mortality. J Hosp Infect 2013; 85(3): 196-05.
- 12. Hui K and Nalder M: Patterns of use and appropriateness of antibiotics prescribed to patients receiving haemodialysis: an observational study. BMC Nephrology 2017; 18: 156.
- Erica MC: Hospital acquired infections among chronic hemodialysis patients. American Journal of Kidney Diseases 2000; 35: 1083-88.
- 14. Zvonar R, Natarajan S, Edwards C and Roth V: Assessment of vancomycin use in chronic hemodialysis

How to cite this article:

Saradhi SVP, Fatima M, Ansari M, Fatima A, Afreen S and Quadri AK: Spectrum of infections and assessment of antibiotic use in maintenance hemodialysis patients. Int J Pharm Sci & Res 2020; 11(3): 1327-32. doi: 10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.11(3).1327-32.

All © 2013 are reserved by the International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research. This Journal licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License.

This article can be downloaded to Android OS based mobile. Scan QR Code using Code/Bar Scanner from your mobile. (Scanners are available on Google Playstore)

patients: room for improvement. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2008; 23(11): 3690-95.

- Green K, Schulman G, Haas DW, Schaffner W and D'Agata EMC: Vancomycin prescribing practices in hospitalized chronic hemodialysis patients. Amer J Kid Dis 2000; 35: 64-8.
- 16. Fahimi, Fanak, Emami, Sepideh, Rashid-Farokhi and Farin: The rate of antibiotic dosage adjustment in renal dysfunction. Iranian Journal of Pharmaceutical Research 2012; 11: 157-61.
- Kumar A, Khrime D, Bansal N, Pandey AN and Varma A: Evaluation of antibiotic dose adjustment in patients with renal insufficiency in a tertiary care center. International Journal of Contemporary Medical Research 2016; 5: 1383-85.
- Alahdal AM and Elberry AA: Evaluation of applying drug dose adjustment by physicians in patients with renal impairment. Saudi Pharmaceutical Journal 2012; 20: 217-20.