IJPSR (2021), Volume 12, Issue 1



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL



Received on 17 January 2020; received in revised form, 14 April 2020; accepted, 22 April 2020; published 01 January 2021

FABRICATION OF CHITOSAN ALGINATE NANOPARTICLE BASED FIBRE OPTIC BIOSENSOR

Apoorva Singh¹, Neelam Verma^{1, 3} and Kuldeep Kumar^{*2}

Department of Biotechnology¹, Punjabi University, Patiala - 147001, Punjab, India. Department of Biotechnology², Multani Mal Modi College, Patiala - 147001, Punjab, India. Chemistry and Division of Research and Development³, Lovely Professional University, Phagwara - 144411, Punjab, India.

Keywords:

Chitosan alginate nanoparticles, Fibre Optic Biosensor, L-asparaginase, Selectivity, Stability

Correspondence to Author: Dr. Kuldeep Kumar

Assistant Professor, Department of Biotechnology, Multani Mal Modi College, Patiala -147001, Punjab, India.

E-mail: kuldeepbio@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: In this work, a highly sensitive and selective nanoparticle-based fluorometric biosensor has been reported for the detection of asparagine levels. The L-asparaginase loaded chitosan alginate nanoparticles of size 396 nm with 600 µL of L-asparaginase (44.4 IU/mg) showing 83.5% entrapment efficiency was fabricated via ionic gelation method. In the presence of enzyme Lasparaginase (biocomponent) the substrate L-asparagine gets hydrolyze into Laspartate and ammonium ions. The ammonium ions released were detected by a change in fluorescence intensity of pH-sensitive dye (Rhodamine 6G) immobilized along with L-asparaginase loaded chitosan alginate nanoparticles on the fiber optic probe. The developed fiber-optic biosensor under optimal conditions showed sensitivity in a detection range of 10⁻¹⁰ to 10⁻¹M concentration with a detection limit as low as 0.04×10^{-10} M within a response time of 2 min. The fabricated biosensor demonstrated good performance analysis in terms of reversibility, reproducibility, selectivity, and stability. The developed biosensor has the potential to be used for the determination of L-asparagine in the diagnosis of leukemia, and in the food industry, it can be utilized for the determination of the quality of food.

INTRODUCTION: The amino acid asparagine acts as a vital dietary requirement for the production of body's proteins, enzymes, and muscle tissue $^{1, 2}$. Cells require asparagine as their nutritional requirement for their growth. Unlike normal cells, which can synthesize asparagine from L-asparagine synthetase, leukemic cells lack this enzyme and rely upon free circulating asparagine ³. Thus, the monitoring of asparagine levels in leukemia patients would help in the detection and treatment of leukemia. L-asparaginase also acts as a quality insurance parameter in food ⁴.



L-asparaginase undergoes degradation during the preparation process of foods like during cooking, storage, or on the application of acid-based food additives (like citric acid, malic acid, etc.). According to the literature reports, about 87% loss in total free amino acids with a major decrease in asparagine content on the storage of fruit juices at 37 °C has been reported ⁵. Asparagine quantification also acts as a freshness indicator for vegetables ⁶. Also, asparagine is the chief amino acid that forms acrylamide in baked food by reacting with reducing sugars at high temperatures.

L-asparaginase is also employed in the baking industry to treat the fried and baked foods containing a high level of starch and asparagine to avoid the formation of acrylamide (a mutagen)⁷. The concern over the acrylamide level in food brought asparagine monitoring to the forefront. optical transducer.

Laboratory scale analysis of asparagine was found to be expensive and time-consuming. With the aid of biosensor technology, asparagine can be analyzed within a few seconds and is more costeffective in comparison to conventional analytical methods ⁸. For asparagine biosensor fabrication, the principle involves hydrolysis of the asparagine into L-aspartate and ammonia by immobilized Lasparaginase as a biocomponent. For the development of fiber optic asparagine biosensor, the ammonia is detected as ammonium ions by the pHsensitive indicator, which changes its fluorescence spectra upon deprotonation. The change in fluorescence is detected by the fluorescence-based

Although L-asparaginase is a considerable enzyme with a broad range of applications, there are still factors limiting its utilization as a biocomponent in biosensor development. The commercially available L-asparaginase is of bacterial origin (*E. coli* and *Erwinia chrysanthemum*) and is associated with hypersensitivity reactions and allergies. Other factors like low storage stability, effective enzyme loading, and unstable reproducibility of enzyme-based biosensors act as a hindrance in the development and commercialization of biosensors with the enzyme as biocomponent ⁹.

Various strategies have been studied to avoid the side effects and to improve the half-life of the enzyme. Chemical modification of L-asparaginase with polyethylene glycol ¹⁰, poly-(D, L-alanine) ¹¹, and dextran ¹² have been reported to reduce antigen reactivity. Further, the immobilization of enzymes in polymeric matrices improved the stability of Lasparaginase¹³. The choice of immobilization matrix depends on the type of enzyme, the intended application, and its compatibility with the enzyme of interest. L-asparaginase has been immobilized by various chemical and physical methods using natural or synthetic carriers. Organic, inorganic, and hybrid carriers have been reported for immobilization of L-asparaginase ¹³. The nontoxic, biocompatible and biodegradable properties of natural organic matrices favored their utilization in immobilization of L-asparaginase¹⁴. Moreover, organic matrices showed higher enzyme loading ability due to their reactive functional groups through which they easily couple with enzymes ^{15,} ¹⁶. In recent years, the application of nanotechnology for immobilization of enzymes further improved enzyme activity by increasing the surface to mass ratio of active enzyme and reducing the enzyme diffusion problem ^{17, 18, 19}. Nanoparticle preparation method depends on the physicchemical characteristics of the polymer and enzyme/drug to be loaded. Various strategies have been studied for the development of polymeric nanoparticles like ionotropic gelation method, microemulsion method, polyelectrolyte complex method, emulsion solvent evaporation method, complex coacervation method, co-precipitation method, and self-assembly method ^{20, 21}.

The ionotropic gelation method also known as polyelectrolyte complexation involves the interaction of cations/anions with the ionic polymer to generate a highly cross-linked structure ^{22, 23}. The formation of nanoparticles using the ionotropic gelation method does not involve the use of harsh chemicals and oil bases. Another advantage of the ease of manipulating the degree of cross-linking and therefore buffering activity adds over the simplicity of the method and thus makes it a method of choice over other techniques ^{24, 25}.

The present study is focused on the development of fiber optic asparagine biosensor with Lasparaginase as the biocomponent immobilized in polymeric nanoparticles. Polymeric matrices were evaluated as L-asparaginase carriers for nanoparticle formulation. The L-asparaginase loaded nanoparticles were prepared by ionotropic gelation method, and the formed nanoparticles were characterized to evaluate the preferable polymeric matrix. The morphology, structural characterization, entrapment efficiency, and storage stability of formed nanoparticles were also systematically investigated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

Materials: All the chemicals and reagents used were of analytical grade.

L-asparaginase Extraction: Various medicinal plants were screened for L-asparaginase and the highest activity was attained in *Phyllanthus emblica* leaves ²⁶. *Phyllanthus emblica* leaves (1gm) were washed with distilled water and crushed and homogenized with 0.1M KCl buffer

(pH 8.6). The homogenized mixture was then centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 20 min at 4 °C and filtered. The supernatant thus obtained was considered as a crude enzyme.

L-asparaginase Purification: Purification of the L-asparaginase enzyme was further performed sequentially according to steps given by scopes ²⁷.

Ammonium Sulfate Precipitation and Dialysis: The crude enzyme extract was precipitated by successively varying the ammonium sulfate saturation from 20% to 100% at 4 °C, with mild stirring followed by 12 h incubation. On the occurrence of precipitates, the sample was centrifuged for 20 minutes (5000 rpm at 4 °C). The pellets obtained were resuspended in 0.01M Sodium Borate buffer and were poured in an activated dialysis membrane tube. Dialysis was performed overnight against 0.01M Sodium Borate buffer at 4 °C. Enzyme activity and protein content of the dissolved pellet and supernatant was measured after the dialysis.

Q-Sepharose Strong Anion Exchange Chromatography: Q-Sepharose chromatographic column (1.2×8.2 cm) pre-equilibrated with 0.01M sodium borate buffer (pH 8.6) was prepared. The dialyzed fraction was loaded onto the prepared column at a flow rate of 1 ml min⁻¹. To remove the unbound proteins, the column was washed with 0.01M sodium borate buffer (pH 8.6). The elution was carried out by linearly raising the NaCl salt gradient from 0.1M-0.6 M and 1.5 mL fractions were collected at a flow rate of 1 mL min⁻¹. The collected fractions were assessed for enzyme activity and protein concentration.

Molecular Weight Determination: The molecular weight and purity of collected fractions with the highest specific activity were determined by running the fractions along with the protein marker onto the Polyacryl Amide Gel (10%) as a supporting medium. Electrophoresis was carried out at 100 V and the proteins progressed through the gel was tracked using bromophenol blue as tracking dye. Subsequent analysis was performed by staining the gel with coomassie brilliant blue.

Screening of Matrices for Nanoparticle Formulation: The individual effect of each matrix and multivalent cations on L-asparaginase activity was determined to screen the best matrix for nanoparticle formulation **Table 1**. 1 mL of polymeric solution (0.2% (w/v)) and 1 mL multivalent cations solution (0.1% (w/v)) were prepared from stocks. 1mL of purified Lasparaginase was added to each solution, and both mixtures were gradually shaken for 15 min. The percentage of preserved activity was calculated by Nesseler's Method for both solutions against the untreated L-asparaginase activity (taken as 100%).

TABLE 1: POLYMERIC MATRICES AND MULTI-
VALENT CATIONS SCREENED FOR NANOPARTICLE
FORMULATION

| Natural Polymers | | Multivalent |
|------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Cationic | Anionic | cations (for cross- |
| polymers | polymers | linking) |
| Chitosan | Alginate | Sodium |
| | | Tripolyphosphate |
| Gelatin | Pectin | Calcium Chloride |
| Dextran | Hyllauronic acid | Calcium Carbonate |
| | | Aluminium, Zinc |

Preparation of Blank Nanoparticles: Chitosan nanoparticles were prepared by ionotropic gelation method which is based on ionic interaction among oppositely charged polymers leading to crosslinking. Sodium alginate (0.3%, w/v) and calcium chloride (0.2%, w/v) solutions were prepared in distilled water as stock solutions. The pH of the sodium alginate solution was adjusted to 5. Chitosan was dissolved in distilled water containing 1% acetic acid to a concentration of 0.05% (w/v) as a stock solution. Various concentration of aqueous calcium chloride (0.5-2 mL) was added dropwise to 10 mL aqueous sodium alginate (0.3%) while stirring for 30 min, and each variation was checked for the development of microgel. After that various volume of chitosan solution (0.5-2 mL) was added into the resultant calcium alginate microgel and stirred for an additional 1 h.

The scheme for nanoparticle preparation is shown in **Table 2**. The suspension obtained was equilibrated overnight to achieve uniform particle size. After 24 h, the suspension was centrifuged $(25,000 \times g, 25 \ ^{\circ}C$ for 30 min) and the pellets obtained were suspended in distilled water and dispersed by sonication, to determine the particle size and polydispersity index using zeta sizer (Malvern Instruments, Worcestershire, UK).

TABLE 2: SCHEME FOR NANOPARTICLE FORMU-
LATION

| Chitosan (0.05%) | Sodium Alginate | Calcium Chloride |
|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| | (0.3%) | (0.2%) |
| 0.5ml | 10ml | 0.5ml |
| 1ml | 10ml | 1ml |
| 1.5ml | 10ml | 1.5ml |
| 2ml | 10ml | 2ml |

Preparation of L-asparaginase loaded Nanoparticles: The stable and suitable ratio of polymers and multivalent cation was selected during the preparation of blank nanoparticles. Further, various concentrations (200µL-1000µL) of purified L-asparaginase enzyme (44.4 IU/mg specific activity) were mixed with calcium chloride (2 mL) solution, and the mixture was added dropwise to aqueous sodium alginate (0.3%) while stirring for 30 min. After 30 min, 1.5mL of chitosan solution (0.05%, w/v) was added dropwise in enzyme loaded calcium alginate pre-gel and stirred for 1 h. The resultant solution was kept overnight and then each solution was centrifuged at 25,000×g, 4 °C for 30 min. To determine the entrapment efficiency, the amount of free enzyme in each supernatant was analyzed. Further, the pellets were dissolved and dispersed in distilled water to conclude the particle size and polydispersity index using a zeta sizer.

Characterization of L-asparaginase loaded Nanoparticles:

Entrapment Efficiency Estimation: To determine the entrapment efficiency of the nanoparticles, the amount of free enzyme in the supernatant was measured by Nessler's Reagent. The Lasparaginase entrapment efficiency was calculated using the following equation:

Entrapment Efficiency (%) = Total Protein used in formulation-Free protein / Total protein used in formulation \times 100

Morphology and Structure Characterization: The morphology and particle size measurements of the nanoparticles were performed by SEM. Nanoparticles separated from suspension were dried by freeze dryer, and the molecular interactions involved in the formation of nanoparticles were characterized by the FTIR spectrometer.

Effect of pH on Enzyme Activity and Stability: The activities of the immobilized and free Lasparaginase were evaluated at different pH values in the range between pH 5 and 10 adjusted with Tris–HCl (0.1 M). In the case of pH stability experiment, the immobilized and free enzymes were incubated for 24 h at $4^{\circ}C \pm 1^{\circ}C$ at different pH values (pH 5 to 10).

Effect of Temperature on Enzyme Stability: Thermostability studies were carried out by preincubating the immobilized and free Lasparaginase at different temperatures (20 °C to 50 °C) for 60 min. The percentage of residual activity was determined and calculated based on the untreated control activity, which was taken as 100%.

Fabrication of Fiber Optic Biosensor: Fiber optic asparagine biosensor was fabricated based on the principle of the breakdown of L-asparagine into aspartate and ammonia by the action of Lasparaginase. The ammonium ions released were detected by a change in fluorescence intensity of pH-sensitive dye (Rhodamine 6G). Thus, the quantitative detection of ammonia with the relative change in fluorescence intensity allowed the detection of asparagine concentration. 2% Rhodamine 6G was prepared in a 1:1 ratio of water, and ethanol was analyzed for its emission spectrum. All further readings for fluorescence experiments in terms of intensity counts were taken at this wavelength.

A 20µL solution containing the L-asparaginase loaded chitosan alginate nanoparticles (the activity of 44.4 IU/mg) along with 2% Rhodamine 6G (20µL) were then immobilized on a nylon membrane (0.2 µm pore size) incised in the form of disc and attached to the common end of a bifurcated optical fiber bundle and kept at a right angle to the lamp. The other end of the optical fiber probe was attached to the detector. 20µL of asparagine solution (10^{-1} M) was poured onto the nvlon membrane containing enzyme loaded chitosan alginate nanoparticles. The reaction was constantly recorded for 10 min, and the intensity count was recorded at an interval of 1 min, and the response time for the reaction to be completed was acquired. For the construction of the standard curve, 20µL of varying concentrations of Lasparagine $(10^{-1} \text{ M to } 10^{-10} \text{ M})$ were placed on the nylon membrane disc, containing L-asparaginase chitosan alginate nanoparticles loaded and

fluorescent dye and the change in intensity count with respect to response time were recorded. Further, the performance analysis of the fabricated biosensor was checked in terms of repeatability, reproducibility, and selectivity. L-asparaginase loaded chitosan alginate nanoparticles were dissolved in sodium borate buffer (0.01M) pH 8.6 and stored at 4 ± 1 °C to determine the storage stability. At the time interval of 24 h, sampling was done without replacement for the determination of enzymatic activity.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

L-asparaginase Extraction and Purification: Crude L-asparaginase from *Phyllanthus emblica* leaves showed enzyme activity 20.3IU/mL and a specific activity of 5.2 IU/mg. Purification of the Lasparaginase enzyme was performed using various chromatographic techniques like ammonium sulfate precipitation, dialysis, and ion exchange chromatography. The purified enzyme after ion-exchange chromatography showed higher specific activity of 44.4 IU/mg. About 63.5% of the original enzyme activity was recovered with a purification fold of 8.5.



FIG. 1: MOLECULAR WEIGHT DETERMINATION OF L-ASPARAGINASE FROM *PHYLLANTHUS EMBLICA* LEAVES (1) BROAD RANGE (10-250 kDa) PROTEIN MARKER (2) & (3) AMMONIUM SULFATE PRECIPI-TATION (4) & (5) Q-SEPHAROSE ANION EXCHANGE CHROMATOGRAPHY (6) & (7) CRUDE ENZYME

Purification was examined by native Polyacryl Amide Gel Electrophoresis (PAGE) following coomassie brilliant blue staining **Fig. 1**. The single band in the electrophoresis study further confirmed the purity achieved through purification steps. The approximate molecular weight of the purified enzyme was found to be 85kDa, as determined by comparing it against the protein marker ladder on the gel. The findings are in comparison with L-asparaginase isolated from *Withania somnifera* with a molecular weight of 72 KDa ²⁸ and from *Phaseolus vulgaris* seeds of molecular weight 79 KDa ²⁹.

Screening of **Matrices** for Nanoparticle **Formulation:** Both polymeric matrices and multivalent cations have their characteristic charge and may likely affect L-asparaginase stability and activity. The behavior of L-asparaginase in the polymeric matrices (Chitosan, Gelatin, Dextran, Alginate, Pectin, and Hyaluronic acid) and cation solutions (Sodium Tripolyphosphate and Calcium Chloride) was individually investigated before the preparation of nanoparticles. The percentages of the preserved L-asparaginase activity with respect to untreated enzyme activity was found to be highest in chitosan (87.8%) followed by alginate (83.7%). Among the multivalent cation, calcium chloride had the least effect on L-asparaginase activity, with 93.5% of preserved L-asparaginase activity. Therefore, chitosan among the cationic polymers and alginate among the anionic polymers with calcium chloride as the cation (crosslinker) was selected for L-asparaginase embedded nanoparticle formulation.

Preparation of Blank Nanoparticles: For the preparation of chitosan alginate blank nanoparticles, optimization of chitosan, sodium alginate and calcium chloride concentrations was performed by varying their concentrations. With the sodium alginate solution at a lower concentration (0.3% w/v), macroscopic gel aggregates or microgels were formed instead of a continuous gel when calcium chloride was added at concentrations > 1.5mL of (0.2%) in absence of chitosan **Table 3**.

| Calcium | Sodium Alginate (0.5%) | Sodium Alginate (0.3%) | | | |
|----------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Chloride | Without | Without | With Chitosan (0.05%) | With Chitosan | With Chitosan |
| (0.2%) | Chitosan | Chitosan | 1ml | (0.05%) 1.5ml | (0.05%) 2ml |
| 0.5ml | Solution | Solution | Microgel | Aggregates | Aggregates |
| 1ml | Solution | Solution | Microgel | Aggregates | Aggregates |
| 1.5ml | Gel | Microgel | Microgel | Nanoparticle | Aggregates |
| 2ml | Gel | Microgel | Microgel | Nanoparticle | Aggregates |
| 2.5ml | Gel | Microgel | Microgel | Aggregates | Aggregates |
| 3ml | Gel | Microgel | Aggregates | Aggregates | Aggregates |

Below this concentration of calcium chloride, homogeneous solutions were obtained. When 1.5 mL chitosan (0.05%) was further added to the prenanoparticle gel/microgel, formulation was observed only when calcium chloride concentration was 1.5-2 mL (0.2%). Above 2 mL of calcium chloride (0.2%) aggregates were formed on the addition of chitosan.

Further, the optimization for calcium chloride concentration for nanoparticle formulation was performed using zeta sizer. At constant concentration of sodium alginate (0.3%) and chitosan (0.05%), smaller particle size of 274.1nm with 0.31 polydispersity index was obtained with 2 mL calcium chloride (0.2%) Table 4. The size of nanoparticles prepared was comparable to the related studies where chitosan alginate nanoparticles were prepared by the ionotropic gelation method ³⁰⁻³³.

TABLE 4: OPTIMIZATION CHARACTERISTICS FOR NANOPARTICLE FORMULATION USING ZETASIZER

| Calcium Chloride | Sodium Alginate | Chitosan (0.05%) | Size (nm) | PDI |
|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------|------|
| (0.2%) | | 1.5ml | | |
| 1.5ml | 0.3% | 0.05% | 396±2 | 0.4 |
| 2ml | 0.3% | 0.05% | 274.1±2 | 0.31 |
| Each value is | raproported a | a maana + SD | Sampla Siza | - 3 |

Each value is represented as means \pm SD, Sample Size = 3

Preparation and Characterization of Lasparaginase loaded Nanoparticles: For the preparation of L-asparaginase loaded nanoparticles, the selected ratio of Sodium Alginate: CaCl_{2:} Chitosan ratio for blank nanoparticles with 274.1nm size was applied to optimize enzyme loading with various concentrations (200µL-800µL) of purified L-asparaginase enzyme with specific activity of 44.4 IU/mg. The entrapment

efficiency for all concentrations of purified Lasparaginase was then compared to obtain the Lasparaginase loaded nanoparticles Table 5. The addition of 800 µL of purified enzyme led to the formation of insoluble precipitates, therefore excluded from studies. Entrapment efficiency and yield of the nanoparticles increased proportionally with an increase in the amount of enzyme loaded. increase in enzyme concentration for The nanoparticle loading does not show a sudden change in nanoparticle size. The final size of nanoparticle with 600 µL of L-asparaginase was 396 nm with 87.16 entrapment efficiency. Only 15% size enlargement on the loading of the enzyme in chitosan alginate nanoparticle was observed. The findings were comparable to a similar study of the immobilization of L-asparaginase in chitosan alginate nanoparticles of 340 nm with an entrapment efficiency of 70.6% ³².

TABLE 5: ENTRAPMENT EFFICIENCY AND YIELD OF NANOPARTICLES CHITOSAN ALGINATE ON DIFFERENT LOADINGS OF L-ASPARAGINASE

| Purified L- | Entrapment Yield (% | |
|-------------------|---------------------|-------|
| asparaginase (µL) | Efficiency (%) | |
| 200 | 72.4 | 68.0 |
| 400 | 79.2 | 80.1 |
| 600 | 83.5 | 87.16 |
| 800 | ND* | ND* |

ND stands for Not Determined

The nanoparticle formation was confirmed by Electron microscopy analysis. Both blank and enzyme loaded nanoparticles were studied under Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM). The particle size of blank and L-asparaginase loaded chitosan alginate nanoparticles were found to be in the range of 200-400 nm Fig. 2.



FIG. 2: A. CHITOSAN ALGINATE NANOPARTICLES; B. L-ASPARAGINASE LOADED CHITOSAN ALGINATE NANOPARTICLES

Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) adopted to characterize the molecular was interactions between chitosan alginate nanoparticle

and enzyme (L-asparaginase). FT-IR spectra of blank and L-asparaginase loaded chitosan alginate nanoparticles are shown in Fig. 3.



FIG. 3: COMPARATIVE FTIR SPECTRA OF CHITOSAN ALGINATE NANOPARTICLE-CA (BLUE) AND L-ASPARAGINASE LOADED CHITOSAN ALGINATE NANOPARTICLES-CAE (RED)

After loading of L-asparaginase in chitosan alginate nanoparticles, the -CO stretching vibration at 1635 cm^{-1} shifted to 1622 cm^{-1} . This change in -CO stretching vibration indicates the reaction between Ca^{+2} ions and glucuronic acid residue of alginate

forming an egg box-like structure where enzyme could be immobilized.

Effect of pH on Free and Immobilized Enzyme Activity and Stability: L-asparaginase enzyme is generally active and stable at neutral and alkaline pH. The effect of pH on L-asparaginase activity and stability in free and immobilized preparations was studied in the range from 5 to 10. The enzymatic activity of both free and immobilized enzyme was optimal in pH 8.5 to 9.0, with a maximum pH of 8.5 for the free enzyme and 9 for the immobilized enzyme **Fig. 4A**. The pH stability **Fig. 4B** after 24-h incubation at 4°C \pm 1°C showed that the free L-asparaginase retained 81% of its original activity at pH 8.5 whereas Immobilized Lasparaginase retained 98% of its original activity at pH 9.0.



FIG. 4: A. EFFECT OF PH ON THE ACTIVITY OF FREE AND IMMOBILIZED L-ASPARAGINASE; B. EFFECT OF pH ON STABILITY OF FREE AND IMMOBILIZED L-ASPARAGINASE (AFTER 24-h INCUBATION AT $4^{\circ}C \pm 1^{\circ}C$). THE ACTIVITY WAS MEASURED AT STANDARD CONDITIONS

The Thermostability of the Free and Immobilized L-asparaginase: The thermostability of free and immobilized enzyme was measured at different temperatures ranging from 20-80 °C. The free and immobilized L-asparaginase was active at temperatures from 20 °C to 80 °C, with the highest stability at 35 °C and 40 °C, respectively. Both

forms retained about 90% activity after 60 min of incubation (up to 50 °C), but the process of the loss of activity was faster for the free enzyme than immobilized enzyme when the temperature was increased beyond 50 °C **Fig. 5**. As a result, the immobilized L-asparaginase was more thermostable than the free enzyme.



FIG. 5: A. EFFECT OF TEMPERATURE ON THE ACTIVITY OF FREE AND IMMOBILIZED L-ASPARAGINASE; B. EFFECT OF TEMPERATURE ON THE STABILITY OF FREE AND IMMOBILIZED L-ASPARAGINASE (AFTER 60 min INCUBATION). THE ACTIVITY WAS MEASURED AT STANDARD CONDITIONS

International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research

Fabrication and Performance Analysis of Asparagine Biosensor: Absorption maxima for indicator Rhodamine 6G were found to be 600.21 nm using fiber optic spectrofluorimeter. Response time was observed to be 2 min **Table 6**. In similar studies, biosensors for the detection of asparagine in fruit juices have been developed with a response time of 7 min 34 and 5 min 35 .

 TABLE 6: OPTIMIZATION OF THE RESPONSE TIME

 OF FIBER OPTIC BASED ASPARAGINE BIOSENSOR

| Time (min) | Fluorescence Intensity Count |
|------------|------------------------------|
| 0 | 16700±33.9 |
| 1 | 16170±21.2 |
| 2 | 15900 ± 20.1 |
| 3 | 15900 ± 22.1 |
| 4 | 15900±20.9 |
| 5 | 15900±31.2 |

Each value is represented as means \pm SD, Sample Size = 3

The sensitivity of the developed biosensor was investigated by varying concentrations of asparagine. The developed biosensor showed a linear correlation **Fig. 6** with regression equation y = 75.21x + 851 in the concentration range from 10^{-10} to 10^{-1} M. The detection limit obtained for the developed biosensor was as low as 0.04×10^{-10} M which is about two orders of magnitude lower than the detection limit of reported asparagine biosensors ^{35, 36, 37}.



FIG. 6: STANDARD CURVE OF ASPARAGINE WITH FIBER OPTIC SPECTROPHOTOMETER

The repeatability of the fabricated biosensor was further evaluated by performing three experiments with the same biosensor system i.e. single nylon membrane containing L-asparaginase loaded chitosan alginate along with Rhodamine 6G dye immobilized on fiber optic probe. The results showed good repeatability performance for at least three consecutive experiments with the same biosensor system for asparagine concentration ranging from 10^{-10} to 10^{-1} M Fig. 7A.

Also, the reproducibility of the developed biosensor was examined to determine the consistency in the fabrication system of the biosensor. The same biosensor system was fabricated six times under the same conditions, and the fluorescence response for all six biosensors was checked against 10^{-1} , 10^{-5} , and 10^{-10} M asparagine concentration. As depicted in **Fig. 7B** the development of chitosan alginate nanoparticle-based asparagine biosensor is easy to reproduce as the relative standard deviation during the reproducibility of the biosensor was found to be less than 3%.

The selectivity of the developed asparagine biosensor was determined by investigating the effect of other amino acids and components present in fruit juice samples on the fluorescence signal. **Fig. 7C** indicates that the addition of other amino acids like L-proline, L-glycine, L-alanine, L-threonine, L- serine, L-glutamine, and other components like Fructose and Glucose, when added individually, did not significantly affect the measurement of 10^{-5} M of L-asparagine.

However, Citric acid showed a little interference by increasing the intensity count measurement of the sensor, which could be due to a change in the pH of the sample which can be overcome by diluting the sample.

Storage Stability of biocomponent (L-asparaginase loaded chitosan alginate nanoparticles) was checked at regular intervals and found to be stable for 72 days stored in sodium borate buffer (0.01M) pH 8.6 at 4°C **Fig. 7D**. The storage stability of Lasparaginase loaded nanoparticles was found to be higher than free L-asparaginase.

Similar studies with immobilized L-asparaginase has till now shown storage stability of 32 days when immobilized in TEOS-hydrosol gel-chitosan matrix ³⁷; 40 days when immobilized on to plastic chips of 5mm through hydro sol-gel approach ⁴; 46 days when immobilized in chitosan alginate nanoparticles formed with TPP as crosslinker ³² and half-life of 6 days in conjugation with silica nanoparticles ³⁸.



FIG. 7: A. CALIBRATION CURVE SHOWING THE BIOSENSOR REPEATABILITY FOR LEAST THREE CONSECUTIVE EXPERIMENTS WITH THE SAME BIOSENSOR SYSTEM FOR L-ASPARAGINE CONCENTRATION RANGING FROM 10⁻¹⁰ TO 10⁻¹M; B. REPRODUCIBILITY RESPONSE FROM SENSOR TO SENSOR FOR SIX BIOSENSOR SYSTEM AGAINST 10⁻¹, 10⁻⁵ AND 10⁻¹⁰ M L-ASPARAGINE CONCENTRATION; C. RESPONSE OF BIOSENSOR FOR SOLUTIONS OF 10⁻⁵M L-ASPARAGINE (STD) AND 10⁻⁵M OF EACH COMPONENT L-PROLINE (PRO), L-GLYCINE (Gly), L-ALANINE (Ala), L-THREONINE (Thr), L- SERINE (Ser), L-GLUTAMINE (Gln), FRUCTOSE (Fru), GLUCOSE(Glc) AND CITRIC ACID (Cit); D. VARIATION OF RESIDUAL ACTIVITY (IU/MG) OF DIFFERENT L-ASPARAGINASE LOADED CHITOSAN ALGINATE NANOPARTICLE AT DIFFERENT TIME INTERVALS (IN DAYS) SHOWING STORAGE STABILITY. THE ACTIVITY WAS MEASURED AT STANDARD CONDITIONS

CONCLUSION: А fiber optic asparagine biosensor with L-asparaginase (biocomponent) immobilized in polymeric nanoparticle was developed. L-asparaginase was immobilized in chitosan alginate nanoparticles formed by the ionotropic gelation method. The L-asparaginase immobilized in chitosan alginate nanoparticles showed more resistance to alkaline pH, high temperature and improved storage stability. These properties assisted in the development of a rapid, selective and stable biosensor. The fabricated biosensor proved to be a rapid approach for the detection of asparagine levels with a detection limit as low as 0.04×10^{-10} M and a response time of 2min. Further, the easy reproducibility of the proposed biosensor exhibits an advantage to its facile construction.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: The authors wish to thank the Modi Education Society, and Dr. Khushvinder Kumar, Principal, M. M. Modi College, Patiala, India, for encouragement.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST: There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES:

- 1. Newburg DS and Fillios LC: Brain development in neonatal rats nursing asparagine-deprived dams. Developmental Neuroscience 1982; 5(4): 332-44.
- Henry LK, Iwamoto H, Field JR, Kaufmann K, Dawson ES, Jacobs MT and Blakely RD: A conserved asparagine residue in transmembrane segment 1 (TM1) of serotonin transporter dictates chloride-coupled neurotransmitter transport. Journal of Biological Chemistry 2011; 286(35): 30823-36.
- 3. Patil S, Coutsouvelis J and Spencer A: Asparaginase in the management of adult acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: Is it used appropriately? Cancer Treatment Reviews 2011; 37(3): 202-07.
- 4. Verma N, Bansal M, Perrot H and Kumar S: Miniaturized fiber-optic biosensor to monitor asparagine in clinical samples. Advances in Applied Science Research 2013; 4(5): 165-72.
- Babsky NE, Toribio JL and Lozano JE: Influence of storage on the composition of clarified apple juice concentrate. Journal of Food Science 1986; 51(3): 564-67.
- 6. Hurst PL, Boulton G and Lill RE: Towards a freshness test for asparagus: spear tip asparagine content is strongly related to post-harvest accumulated heat-units. Food Chemistry 1998; 61(3): 381-84.
- 7. Ciesarova Z, Kiss E and Boeg P: Impact of L-asparaginase on acrylamide content in potato product. Journal of Food and Nutrition Research 2006; 45(4): 141-46.
- 8. Vigneshvar S, Sudhakumari CC, Balasubramanian S and Hridayesh P: Recent advances in biosensor technology for

potential applications – an overview. Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology 2016; 4(11): 1-9.

- 9. Nguyen HH, Lee SH, Lee UJ, Fermin CD and Kim M: Immobilized Enzymes in Biosensor Applications. Materials 2019; 12(1): 121.
- Matsushima A, Nishimura H, Ashihara Y, Yokota Y and Inada Y: Modification of *E. coli* asparaginase with 2,4bis(O-methoxypolyethyleneglycol)-6-chloro-S-triazine (activated PEG2); disappearance of binding ability towards anti-serum and retention of enzymatic activity. Chemistry Letters 1980; 103: 773-76.
- 11. Uren JR, Hargis BJ and Beardsley P: Immunological and pharmacological characterization of poly-DL-alanylmodified Erwinia carotovora L-asparaginase. Cancer Research 1982; 42: 4068-71.
- 12. Wileman TR, Foster L and Elliot PNC: Soluble asparaginase-dextran conjugates show increased circulatory persistence and lowered antigen reactivity. Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology 1986; 38: 264-71.
- 13. Datta S, Christena LR and Rajaram YRS: Enzyme immobilization: an overview on techniques and support materials. 3 Biotech 2013; 3: 1-9.
- 14. Bezerra CS, de Farias Lemos CMG, de Sousa M and Gonçalves LRB: Enzyme immobilization onto renewable polymeric matrixes: Past, present, and future trends. Journal of Applied Polymer Science 2015; 132(26): 1-15.
- Guzik U, Hupert-Kocurek K and Wojcieszynska D: Immobilization as a strategy for improving enzyme properties-application to oxidoreductases. Molecules 2014; 19(7): 8995-9018.
- Ulu A and Ates B: Immobilization of l-Asparaginase on carrier materials: a comprehensive review. Bioconjugate Chemistry 2017; 28(6): 1598-1610.
- 17. Feng W and Ji P: Enzymes immobilized on carbon nanotubes. Biotechnology Advances 2011; 29: 889-95.
- Gupta MN, Kaloti M, Kapoor M and Solanki K: Nanomaterials as matrices for enzyme immobilization. Artificial cells, Blood substitutes, and Immobilization Biotechnology 2011; 39: 98-109.
- Asal M, Ozen O, Sahinler M and Polatoglu I: Recent Developments in Enzyme, DNA and Immuno-Based Biosensors. Sensors 2018; 18(6): 1-16.
- Kumar S, Dilbaghi N, Saharan R and Bhanjana G: Nanotechnology as emerging tool for enhancing solubility of poorly water-soluble drugs. Bio Nano Science 2012; 2(4): 227-50.
- Erdogar N, Akkin S and Bilensoy E: Nanocapsules for drug Delivery: An Updated review of last decade. Recent Patents on Drug Delivery & Formul 2018; 12(4): 252-66.
- 22. Ahirrao SP, Gide PS, Shrivastav B and Sharma P: Ionotropic gelation: A promising cross linking technique for hydrogels. Research and Review: Journal of Pharmaceutics and Nanotechnology 2013; 2(1): 1-6.
- 23. Desai KG: Chitosan nanoparticles prepared by ionotropic gelation: an overview of recent advances. Critical Review in Therapeutic Drug Carrier Systems 2016; 33(2): 107-58.
- 24. Kunjachan S, Jose S and Lammers T: Understanding the mechanism of ionic gelation for synthesis of chitosan

nanoparticles using qualitative techniques. Asian Journal of Pharmaceutics 2010; 4(2): 148-53.

- 25. Patel MA, AbouGhaly MHH, Schryer-Pragaa JV and Chadwicka K: The effect of ionotropic gelation residence time on alginate cross-linking and properties. Carbohydrate Polymers 2017; 155: 362-71.
- 26. Singh A, Verma N and Kumar K: Screening and identification of medicinal plants for L-asparaginase production. International Journal of Recent Scientific Research 2017; 8(11): 22029-34.
- 27. Scopes KR: Protein purification: Principles and practice; Narosa publishing house: New York, Third Edition 1994.
- Oza VP, Trivedi SD, Parmar PP and Subramanian RB: Withania somnifera (Ashwagandha): a novel source of Lasparaginase. Journal of Integrative Plant Biology 2009; 51(2): 201-06.
- Mohamed SA, Elshal MF, Kumosani TA and Aldahlawi AM: Purification and Characterization of Asparaginase from *Phaseolus vulgaris* Seeds. Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine 2015; 1-6.
- 30. Li P, Dail YN, Zhang JP, Wang AQ and Weil Q: Chitosan-alginate nanoparticles as a novel drug delivery system for nifedipine. International Journal of Biomedical Science 2008, 4(3): 221-28.
- 31. Koukaras EN, Papadimitriou SA, Bikiaris DN and Froudakis GE: Insight on the formation of chitosan nanoparticles through ionotropic gelation with tripolyphosphate. Molecular Pharmaceutics 2012; 9: 2856-62.
- 32. Bahreini E, Aghaiypour K, Abbasalipourkabir R, Mokarram AR, Goodarzi MT and Saidijam M: Preparation and nanoencapsulation of L-asparaginase II in chitosantripolyphosphate nanoparticles and *in-vitro* release study. Nanoscale Research Letters 2014; 9: 340.
- Barros APH, Morantes MT, Hoyos AMIC and Ospino LJM: Preparation of chitosan nanoparticles modified with sodium alginate with potential for controlled drug release. Environmental Impact Assessment Review 2016; 12(3): E75-E83.
- 34. Verma N, Bansal M and Kumar S: Whole cell based miniaturized fiber optic biosensor to monitor l-asparagine. Advances in Applied Science Research 2012; 3: 809-14.
- 35. Kataria M, Kaur N, Narula R, Kumar K, Kataria S and Verma N: L-Asparaginase from novel source *Solanum nigrum* and development of asparagine biosensor. Pharma Innovation 2015; 4(5): 1-84.
- 36. Labrou NE and Muharram MM: Biochemical characterization and immobilization of Erwinia carotovora l-asparaginase in a microplate for high-throughput biosensing of l-asparagine. Enzyme and Microbial Technology 2016; 92: 86-93.
- Verma N, Kataria M, Kumar K and Saini J: TEOS hydrosol gel-chitosan matrix based biosensor for monitoring asparagine in various fruit juices. Annals of Biological Research 2013; 4(1): 265-70.
- Golestaneh D and Varshosaz J: Enhancement in biological activity of l-asparginase by its conjugation on silica nanoparticles. Recent Patents on Nanotechnology 2018; 12(1): 70-82.

How to cite this article:

Singh A, Verma N and Kumar K: Fabrication of chitosan alginate nanoparticle based fibre optic biosensor. Int J Pharm Sci & Res 2021; 12(1): 559-68. doi: 10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.12(1).559-68.

All © 2013 are reserved by the International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research. This Journal licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License.

This article can be downloaded to Android OS based mobile. Scan QR Code using Code/Bar Scanner from your mobile. (Scanners are available on Google Playstore)